

For the attention of Sofie Fieldsend

We spoke about this planning application about 10 days ago and I have now submitted my comments on the Camden web site (see below).

I do feel very strongly that planning permission should be refused, especially as it was refused in the previous application.

If you require any further information, please let me know.

Kind regards



-



In 2013, the current owners of 2 Marston Close put in a planning application 2013/4361/P. I was very concerned because the proposed plan would have meant a window very much overlooking my property and consequently when the final decision was approved, it was subject to the condition:

The hereby approved side window shall be obscured-glazed and permanently retained obscured-glazed unless otherwise approved in writing by the local planning authority. Reason: In order to prevent unreasonable overlooking of neighbouring premises in accordance with the requirements of policy CS5 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policy DP26 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.

I am concerned by the owners new application which appears to request for this constraint to be removed. In the submission no measurements are given for the new first floor side window (only a diagram), which in any case should not give access to the flat roof, and should as previously be fixed and opaque. It should be no bigger than the present one.

There seem to be some inconsistencies in the submission. It states that the house is two storey when in fact it is three storey. The comparison with 12 Marston Close is invalid, as it only has a very small terrace which does not overlook other people's properties.

I oppose any changes to the side window but if planning permission is given, I would be grateful, as a condition of the planning that the window remains obscured-glazed and cannot be opened.



Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Comment Made