
From: Robert Dacre [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 October 2018 16:13
To: Debbie Cook; Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea
Cc: caroline Hill; lhcooke@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Planning Application: 2018/3529/P - Objection Letter

Dear Nora,

I have looked at the new plans and agree with what Debbie has set out below. I also object to the new plans on the same grounds as the objection letter. I am still concerned that the terrace will allow No. 3 to overlook our garden, bathroom and bedroom.

Many thanks,

Rob

Robert Dacre [REDACTED]



From: Debbie Cook [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 October 2018 17:48
To: Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea <Nora-Andreea.Constantinescu@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Planning Application: 2018/3529/P - Objection Letter

Dear Nora,

Thank you. I am coping in Caroline, Robert and Lette so they can see my concerns.

While the size of the terrace is reduced (and appreciated) however the detailing of the screening and railings remains problematic.

1. The screen has increased in height from 1.6m to 1.7m. I would prefer it was 1.8m as you requested previously. The screen is still not solid - it is slated with both solid and open areas. I am concern this does not provide adequate privacy. A solid screen is more suitable due to the direct viewpoints into my home.

2. There are no details on the railings. "Similar to the railings at 2 Leverton Place at the perimeter of the balcony area" is not sufficient. The railings need to be specified, fixed (so they can not be used as a gate to the green roof) and at a height that can't be easily stepped over. I am also seriously concerned that railings at the perimeter of the balcony would allow the owners to lean over the railing and have direct views into my entire home. This is extremely intrusive and I feel very vulnerable here. If the railings were set back from the perimeter of the balcony by a least 18 inches (and/or at chest height to prevent leaning over) this would solve the problem. A combination of a slight extension of screening length **in addition** to setting back the railings could achieve the required distance to prevent overlooking

3. The plants are not specified - leaving these open to interpretation by the owners to use plants that need maintenance and require regular access to the green roof with the resulting invasion of privacy for all residents on 9, 11, 13 Leverton Street. Plants should be specified.

Given the history of the owners in bending/stretching every possible restriction I feel it's extremely important to specify every detail.

As always I really appreciate you help and consideration.

Thank you.

With best wishes
Debbie

On 19 Oct 2018, at 11:20, Constantinescu, Nora-Andreea <Nora-Andreea.Constantinescu@camden.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Debbie,

