Delegated Repor	t Ana	Analysis sheet		19/03/2018		
	N/A	/ attached	Consultation Expiry Date:	28/02/2018		
Officer		Applica	tion Number(s)			
Charlotte Meynell	2018/03	2018/0341/P				
Application Address	Drawin	Drawing Numbers				
Pavement outside 241 Car London NW1 7BU		Refer to draft decision notice				
PO 3/4 Area Team	Signature C	&UD Authori	sed Officer Signature			
Proposal(s)						
Installation of 1 x telephone	e kiosk on the p	pavement.				
Recommendation(s):	rior Approval	Required – Approv	al Refused			
Application Type:	GPDO Prior Approval Determination					

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:									
Informatives:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Consultations Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00			
Summary of consultation responses:	A site notice was displayed on 07/02/2018 and expired on 28/02/2018. Metropolitan Police — Designing Out Crime Officer objects on the follow grounds: • Telephone kiosks are no longer used for their original purpose due the fact that nearly every person is in possession of some kind mobile device thus negating the need to use fixed land I telephones. As a result of this the phone boxes in the Lond Borough of Camden have now become 'crime generators' and a for point for anti-social behaviour (ASB). • My own previous experience of policing Camden highlights the about ASB, ranging from witnessing the taking of Class A drugs, urinatil littering, the placing of 'Prostitute Cards', graffiti, sexual activities a fixed location for begging. All of which have occurred within current telephone kiosks. Also, due to poor maintenance any that adamaged or are dirty do not get cleaned, which makes the telephore kiosk unusable and an eye sore. Following the 'Broken Windu theory, if a location looks and feels that it is uncared for and in a st of disrepair then this leads to other criminal activity occurring with that location. • The introduction of a telephone kiosk will only increase the about ASB, as it conceals the activities of what is occurring inside the act space and prevents police or passers-by seeing what or who in/near there. This generates for the latter a fear of crime especially regards to begging. As they will use the phone box as a cover and a back rest when they sit on the floor, when the footpath is reduced width even more by their presence pedestrians have to walk p closely and therefore this generates an uncomfortable feeling them. • The extra lighting produced by the kiosk and the space it uses up the public realm will also create an added distraction to an alreaduttered street space. Any CCTV monitoring the area will be effect by this and therefore any crime prevention/detection properties the produce is lost. • Recent media reports have highlighted the increase in plann applications submitted to loc								
	can be used as advertising space. TfL Spatial Planning objects on the following grounds: • TfL understands from previous discussions with the Council and statements in the application materials that this proposal for a new								

- phone box is not part of a deal between the Council and Maximus. It is therefore not contingent on removal of more than 1 phone box in exchange for the new unit proposed, leading to an overall reduction in phone boxes in the public realm across Camden.
- TfL reminds the applicant and Council that the current London Plan Policy 6.10 (Walking) refers to 'promoting simplified streetscape, decluttering and access for all' and also states that Planning Decisions 'should ensure high quality pedestrian environments and emphasise the quality of the pedestrian and street space'. TfL Spatial Planning takes the view that the phone box proposed would not contribute in any way to a high quality pedestrian environment or emphasise the quality of pedestrian and street space.
- Decluttering the streetscape is also prioritised in TfL Streetscape Guidance (available from https://tfl.gov.uk/corporate/publications-and-reports/streets-toolkit). TfL expects the standards and principles in this document to be applied to all phone box replacement applications by

Part E, page 241 of the guidance is about phone boxes and states: 'New open-sided units, such as the ST6, are now in use and include a 1.36-metre wide illuminated advert on one side. ST6 units should be fitted so that the advertisement faces the flow of traffic. A footway width of minimum 4,200mm is required but designers should also consider pedestrian flows to determine appropriate placement. They are not appropriate for conservation areas and require planning consent for illuminated advertisements.'

The unit proposed in this application is similar to the ST6 discussed in the current TfL Streetscape guidance.

- We remind the Council that the draft new London Plan was launched for consultation on 1st December 2017. This document is now a material consideration in determining applications and in assessing general conformity of emerging local policy. As such, TfL now has regard to this Plan, inter alia, when assessing and responding to relevant consultations.
 - Policy D7 (Public realm), part I, states: 'Ensure that shade and shelter are provided with appropriate types and amounts of seating to encourage people to spend time in a place, where appropriate. This should be done in conjunction with the removal of any unnecessary or dysfunctional clutter or street furniture to ensure the function of the space and pedestrian amenity is improved. Applications which seek to introduce unnecessary street furniture should normally be refused.' The street furniture proposed would be unnecessary due to the widespread popularity of mobile phones. It is also likely to be

Policy T2 (Healthy Streets), part D, states: 'Development proposals should:

dysfunctional as a telephone kiosk due to extremely low usage.

1) demonstrate how they will deliver improvements that support the ten Healthy Streets Indicators in line with Transport for London guidance.'

This development proposal would not deliver any improvements that support any of the ten Healthy Streets Indicators.

• The site of the proposed development is on Camden High Street, which forms part of the Transport for London Network (TLRN). TfL is the highway authority for the TLRN, and is therefore concerned about any proposal which may affect the performance and/or safety of the TLRN. Section 31 of the Traffic Management Act specifically states that the term "traffic" includes pedestrians. So the duty requires TfL to

consider the movement of all road users: pedestrians and cyclists, as well as motorised vehicles – whether engaged in the transport of people or goods. Unnecessary and dysfunctional street clutter at any location in the footway on the SRN or Transport for London Road Network (TLRN) has an obvious adverse impact on the movement of pedestrians, which goes against TfL's statutory network management duties.

 For the above reasons, TfL Spatial Planning objects to the application on behalf of TfL.

Transport Strategy object as follows:

- The site is located on a busy pedestrian route near Camden Town Underground Station. Pedestrian volumes are extremely high, perhaps the highest in the borough (especially at weekends) and are forecast to increase significantly when Crossrail services become operational later this year along with ongoing economic growth in the borough. Existing footway space is a scarce resource and must be safeguarded for pedestrians both now and in the future to accommodate economic growth.
- The telephone kiosk would be located within a narrow strip of defined street furniture zone on the footway, adjacent to the kerb. This includes lamp columns and slender trees. The telephone kiosk would be significantly wider than these other items of street furniture in the general vicinity of the site. The proposal to install a telephone kiosk would therefore have a harmful and negative impact on the streetscape.
- The telephone kiosk would obstruct and impede pedestrian movement (especially for blind and partially sighted pedestrians) and visibility on and along the footway. This would have a significant impact on pedestrian comfort levels, both now and in the future. It would obstruct inter-visibility between vehicular traffic and pedestrians wishing to cross the road at this location. The proposal therefore constitutes a hazard to public safety.
- The telephone kiosk would obstruct and impede kerbside activity such as deliveries, taxi pick-ups and drop-offs, refuse and recycling collections, and other servicing.
- I am also aware that the Metropolitan Police have raised concerns about this type of application. The telephone kiosk would facilitate crime and anti-social behaviour and would constitute a hazard to public safety. It would also obstruct CCTV visibility.
- The telephone kiosk would be located near an existing telephone kiosk with similar functionality on Camden High Street at the junction with Inverness Street. In addition, 2 BT InLink units with similar functionality to that being proposed are located on Camden High Street between the site and the junction with Parkway. These facilities are wheelchair accessible and provide free calls, free wifi and mobile phone charging points. The proposal to install another telephone kiosk of similar functionality would merely represent unnecessary street clutter in a relatively clear and unobstructed pedestrian environment.
- The proposal must be refused for the above reasons.

The Council's Access Officer objects as follows:

There are a number of requirements for an accessible phone booth that need to be considered. These are all taken from BS8300 (current addition).

• A fold down seat (450mm to 520mm high) or a perch seat (650mm to

800mm high) should be provided for convenience of ambulant disabled people. Drop down arms should be provided for each seat.

- Telephone controls on accessible telephones for wheelchair users should be angled so they can be used by people when seated or when using a perch seat.
- Telephone controls should be located between 750mm and 1000mm above the floor.
- To benefit blind and partially sighted people, telephones should be selected which have well lit keypads, large embossed or raised numerals that contrasts visually with their background and a raised dot on the number '5'.
- Instructions for using telephones should be clear. They should be displayed in large easy-to-read typeface.

There should also be at least 1200mm, preferably 1800mm between the booth and any wall / guilding opposite.

Bloomsbury Ward Councillors Harrison, Francis and Madlani object on the following grounds:

• Street environment: use of space

As ward councillors for a central London ward, and one amongst us with executive responsible for street management and the environment, we are aware of the enormous demands that there are on space on the public highway. There are always a large number of competing claims from different items of street furniture. Their location can also impact on meeting other related demands, such as providing different types of parking or keeping the highway between a phone box and nearby buildings clear. Especially as London's population only grows, with the number of jobs projected to grow in the area (increasing the daytime population), and the arrival of major transport infrastructure developments such as Crossrail and HS2, permitting these new phone boxes to sprout up in these locations will cause significant detriment to the local authority's ability to effectively manage the streets, hindering the achievement of the very valid public aims of keeping the street clear, moving and uncluttered. When set against the virtually zero public benefit of more pay phones in the era of the smartphone – and in an area already with a preponderance of phone boxes – the additional clutter these would bring to the area form a strong reason for refusal. While we are here, one notes the brazenness of the pretence that these items are being proposed for any reason other than to generate income through advertising, which in itself represents zero public benefit.

Bloomsbury Ward Councillors comments:

• Street environment: cleanliness

Phone boxes attract litter and mess of a variety of type, both inside the structures and adjacent. Getting the companies to properly and regularly clean them is a never ending struggle, and it is not a task where they have covered themselves in glory. On occasion the council has stepped in to clean. These applications should be refused on the grounds of (lack of) cleanliness, consequent impact on the appearance of the area, and the drain this can represent to the local authority.

Crime and antisocial behaviour

As noted by the local police, phone boxes can attract and provide a place for crime and antisocial behaviour to take place in. Creating new semi-enclosed spaces runs counter to both good design when it comes to designing out crime, and their creation will also represent a further drain on the time and resources of the police and of the local authority whose community safety officers, we know from our experience of many years as ward councillors, are obliged to respond to residents' complaints about these on-street venues of crime.

Site Description

The application site comprises of an area of the footway adjacent to 241 Camden High Street, on the western side of Camden High Street. One existing BT link panel and one independently owned telephone kiosk are situated approximately 41m south-east of the site, on opposite sides of Camden High Street.

The site is part of Transport for London's (TfL's) Road Network (TLRN). Although the site is not in a conservation area, it is on the border with the Camden Town Conservation Area to the south-east.

Relevant History

Site history:

2017/1089/P - Installation of 1 x telephone box on pavement. Prior Approval refused 05/04/2017

Neighbouring sites:

Outside 221 Camden High Street, London, NW1 7BU

2009/1766/P – Installation of a telephone kiosk on the public highway. **Prior Approval refused** 27/05/2009

O/S 190 Camden High Street, London, NW1 8QP

2017/0535/A – Display of 2 x internally illuminated digital advertisement panels to freestanding BT panel. **Advertisement consent granted 15/05/2017**

2017/0439/P – Erection of freestanding BT panel providing phone and Wi-Fi facilities, with 2 x internally illuminated digital advertisements following the removal of 2 no. BT telephone kiosks. Full planning permission granted subject to a Section 106 Legal Agreement 15/05/2017

Outside Camden Market, Camden High Street, London, NW1 7JE

2009/3563/P - Resiting and installation of a telephone kiosk. Prior Approval given 10/09/2009

Pavement outside 186 & 188 Camden High Street

2017/5418/P – Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. **Prior Approval refused 21/11/2017**

Pavement outside 197 & 199 Camden High Street

2017/5420/P – Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. **Prior Approval refused 22/11/2017**

Pavement outside Camden Town Underground Station, Opposite 197 Camden High Street 2017/5421/P – Installation of 1 x telephone kiosk on the pavement. Prior Approval refused 22/11/2017

Outside 176 Camden High Street

2009/3556/P – Resiting and installation of a telephone kiosk on footpath. **Prior Approval given** 10/09/2009

o/s HSBC Bank at Camden Town Underground Station

2017/0536/A – Display of 2 x internally illuminated digital advertisement panels to freestanding BT panel. **Advertisement consent granted 15/05/2017**

2017/0440/P – Erection of freestanding BT panel providing phone and Wi-Fi facilities, with 2 x internally illuminated digital advertisements following the removal of 2no. BT telephone kiosks.

Planning permission granted subject to a Section 106 Legal Agreement 15/05/2017

Relevant policies

National Planning Policy Framework (2012)

London Plan (2016) Draft New London Plan (2017)

TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance for London (2010)

Camden Local Plan (2017)

A1 Managing the impact of development

C5 Safety and Security

C6 Access

D1 Design

D2 Heritage

G1 Delivery and location of growth

T1 Prioritising walking, cycling and public transport

Camden Planning Guidance

CPG1 Design (2015) – Section 9 Designing safer environments

CPG7 Transport (2011) – Section 8 Streets and public spaces

Camden Town Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy (2007)

Camden Streetscape Design Manual

Assessment

1.0 Proposal

- 1.1 Confirmation is sought as to whether the installation of a telephone kiosk would require prior approval under Part 16 of Schedule 2 of the GPDO. The order permits the Council to only consider matters of siting, design and appearance in determining GPDO prior approval applications. The potential impact on crime and public safety are relevant considerations under siting, design, appearance and access.
- 1.2The kiosk would measure 1.3m in width, 1.1m in depth and 2.6m in height, and would be located on the south-western pedestrian footway along Camden High Street, adjacent to No. 241.
- 1.3It would have a steel frame and casings with 8mm clear polycarbonate toughened glass on two sides, and a solar panel on the roof.

2.0 Assessment

- 2.1 Policy A1 states that the Council will seek to ensure development contributes towards strong and successful communities by balancing the needs of development with the needs and characteristics of local areas and communities, and that the Council will resist development that fails to adequately assess and address transport impacts affecting communities, occupiers, neighbours and the existing transport network. Paragraph 6.10 states that the Council will expect works affecting the highway network to consider highway safety, with a focus on vulnerable road users, including the provision of adequate sightlines for vehicles, and that development should address the needs of vulnerable or disabled users. Furthermore, Policy T1 point e) states that the Council will seek to ensure that developments provide high quality footpaths and pavements that are wide enough for the number of people expected to use them, including features to assist vulnerable road users where appropriate, and paragraph 8.9 of CPG7 (Transport) highlights that footways should be wide enough for two people using wheelchairs, or prams, to pass each other.
- 2.2 Camden's Streetscape Design manual section 3.01 footway width states the following:
 - "Clear footway" is not the distance from kerb to boundary wall, but the unobstructed

pathway width within the footway;

- 1.8 metres minimum width needed for two adults passing;
- 3 metres minimum width for busy pedestrian street though greater widths are usually required;
- Keeping the footway width visually free of street furniture is also important, allowing clear sightlines along the street'.
- 2.3All development affecting footways in Camden is also expected to comply with Appendix B of Transport for London's (TfL's) Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, which notes that active and high flow locations must provide a minimum 2.2m and 3.3m of 'clear footway width' (respectively) for the safe and comfortable movement of pedestrians.
- 2.4 Policy T1 states that the Council will promote sustainable transport choices by prioritising walking, cycling and public transport use and that development should ensure that sustainable transport will be the primary means of travel to and from the site. Policy T1 points a) and b) state that in order to promote walking in the borough and improve the pedestrian environment, the Council will seek to ensure that developments improve the pedestrian environment by supporting high quality improvement works, and make improvements to the pedestrian environment including the provision of high quality safe road crossings where needed, seating, signage and landscaping.
- 2.5 Policy T1 (Public Transport) states that where appropriate, development will be required to provide for interchanging between different modes of transport including facilities to make interchange easy and convenient for all users and maintain passenger comfort.
- 2.6 Paragraph 8.6 of CPG7 (Transport) seeks improvements to streets and spaces to ensure good quality access and circulation arrangements for all. Ensuring the following:
 - Safety of vulnerable road users, including children, elderly people and people with mobility difficulties, sight impairments and other disabilities;
 - Maximising pedestrian accessibility and minimising journey times;
 - Providing stretches of continuous public footways without public highway crossings;
 - Linking to, maintaining, extending and improving the network pedestrian pathways;
 - Providing a high quality environment in terms of appearance, design and construction, paying attention to Conservation Areas;
 - Use of paving surfaces which enhance ease of movement for vulnerable road users; and,
 - Avoiding street clutter and minimising the risk of pedestrian routes being obstructed or narrowed e.g. by pavement parking or by street furniture.
- 2.7 Policy C5 requires development to contribute to community safety and security, and paragraph 4.89 of Policy C5 states that the design of streets needs to be accessible, safe and uncluttered, with careful consideration given to the design and location of any street furniture or equipment. Paragraphs 9.26 and 9.27 of CPG1 (Design) advise that the proposed placement of a new phone kiosk needs to be considered to ensure that it has a limited impact on the sightlines of the footway, and that the size of the kiosk should be minimised to limit its impact on the streetscene and to decrease opportunities for crime and anti-social behaviour.

3.0 Siting

- 3.1 The application site is located on a pavement with an effective footway width measuring roughly 5.5m wide. This area of the footway consistently experiences extremely high pedestrian flows, due to its high street location and close proximity to Camden Town Underground Station and Camden Market.
- 3.2 Section 3.01 of Camden's Streetscape Design Manual requires a minimum unobstructed pathway width within the footway, known as the 'clear footway'. This guidance and Appendix B of TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, outlines the recommended minimum footway widths for different

levels of pedestrian flows.

- 3.3The footprint of the proposed kiosk measures 1.325m in width. Detailed design drawings that include the orientation and exact proposed positioning of the new telephone kiosk on the pavement have not been submitted and so it is unclear as to how wide the 'clear footway' width would be once the proposed telephone kiosk has been installed. However, Camden's Streetscape Design Manual section 4.01, together with TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, states that street furniture should be placed a minimum of 0.45m back from the carriageway, therefore the proposal would result in the loss of a minimum of 1.8m of the footway. This would reduce the 'clear footway' to less than the minimum threshold, which would reduce pedestrian comfort, resulting in overcrowding, issues highway safety through interfering with signals, visual obstructions, visibility splays and may lead to the discouragement of sustainable travel. As such, the proposal would be contrary to Policies A1 and T1 and is considered unacceptable.
- 3.4The applicant states there is a need for children to have access to public telephone kiosks in order to make free calls to Childline. However, there are three existing telephone kiosks within approximately 132m of the site. These include two BT link panels located approximately 132m and 41m south-east of the site on the opposite side of Camden High Street, and one further telephone kiosk located approximately 38m south-east of the site on the same side of Camden High Street. As such, the applicant's reasoning is not considered to be sufficient justification for the installation of a further telephone kiosk. Given the infrequent use of telephone kiosks due to the prevalence of mobile phone use, it is considered that the proposed telephone kiosk would act only as a hindrance to pedestrian movement, adding further clutter to the streetscene rather than providing a public service for the benefit of highways users, contrary to Policy A1.
- 3.5 Furthermore, the installation of a new telephone kiosk on the pavement would obstruct and impede kerbside activity such as existing loading and unloading arrangements, taxi pick-ups and drop-offs, refuse and recycling collections, and other servicing along this part of Camden High Street. The proposal would therefore be contrary to Policy A1 and as such is considered unacceptable.

4.0 Design and Appearance

- 4.1 Policy D1 aims to ensure the highest design standards for developments. Policy D1 states that the Council will require all developments to be of the highest standard of design and to respect the character, setting, form and scale of neighbouring buildings, its contribution to the public realm, and its impact on wider views and vistas. Policy D2 states that the Council will not permit development outside of a conservation area that causes harm to the character and appearance of that conservation area.
- 4.2 Considerable importance and weight has been attached to the harm and special attention has been paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the adjacent conservation area, under s.72 of the Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas Act 1990 as amended by the Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act (ERR) 2013.
- 4.3The Camden Town Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy advises that 'the Council will seek to ensure that its own ongoing investment in the public realm in the Conservation Area respects and enhances its special character and will look for opportunities to make specific appropriate enhancements to the public realm and particularly to the pedestrian environment as one way of supporting the preservation of the area's distinctive character'.
- 4.4 Due to the prominence of the proposal's siting on a clear section of pedestrian footway, it is considered that the proposed development would severely degrade the visual amenity of the area through the creation of further unnecessary street clutter. The proposed structure is considered to be a very poor design in terms of size, scale, massing and proposed materials, and is not an appropriate or acceptable addition in this location. It would be an obtrusive piece of street furniture in this location detracting from the streetscene. The stainless steel incongruous design would provide an intrusive addition to the street. Consequently, the proposed kiosk would seriously affect

- the setting of the adjacent Camden Town Conservation Area, and would thus result in a significant harm to the wider streetscene. As such, the proposal would fail to adhere to Policies D1 and D2.
- 4.5 The National Planning Policy Framework (The Framework) says that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource and that they should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. In this case there would be harm but it is considered that this would be less than substantial harm. In these circumstances the harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposals. As there are already a number of existing telephone kiosks within close proximity of the site there is not considered to be any public benefit from the provision of another kiosk in this location.

<u>Access</u>

4.6 Policy C6 requires new buildings, spaces and facilities that the public may use to be fully accessible to promote equality of opportunity. Although the proposed kiosk would allow for wheelchair users to 'access' the kiosk, this does not amount to the provision of a wheelchair accessible phone. The Council's Access Officer has highlighted that there are a number of requirements which need to be considered for an accessible phone booth, including the height of the telephone controls, which should be located between 0.75m and 1.0m above the floor. The telephone controls in the proposed kiosk would be located at a maximum height of 1.2m above the floor, and so the proposed kiosk is considered unacceptable in terms of providing access for all, contrary to Policy C6.

5.0 Anti-social behaviour

5.1 With regards to community safety matters, a number of issues have been raised by the Metropolitan Police Crime Prevention Design Advisor. In particular it has been noted that existing telephone kiosks within the London Borough of Camden have become 'crime generators' and a focal point for anti-social behaviour (ASB). The design and siting of the proposal on a busy footway would further add to street clutter and safety issues in terms of crime and ASB, through reducing sight lines and natural surveillance in the area, and providing a potential opportunity for an offender to loiter. This would increase opportunities for crime in an area which already experiences issues with crime, therefore the proposal would be contrary to Policy C5 and CPG1 (Design).

6.0 Conclusion

6.1 The proposal would result in unacceptable street clutter, harmful to the character and appearance of the streetscape and the adjacent Camden Town Conservation Area, and to the detriment of pedestrian flows, and would create opportunities for crime and ASB. The proposal, by virtue of its siting and appearance, is considered unacceptable.

7.0 Recommendation

7.1 Refuse Prior Approval