Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 25/10/2018 09:10:05 Response:
2018/4532/T	Jane Hives	12/10/2018 15:59:06	OBJ	It is extremely important that we retain mature trees in Dartmouth Park and I can see no compelling reason why this tree should be felled. As the owner of one of the yew trees in Grove Terrace, I know how easy it is to keep their growth in check and still enjoy a majestic tree.
2018/4532/T	Ian Bostridge	12/10/2018 13:33:49	OBJ	I object strongly to the removal of an old, beautiful and irreplaceable yew tree. An act of vandalism.
2018/4532/T	Tamara Oppenheimer	11/10/2018 16:13:10	OBJ	I strongly object to the removal of the Yew tree. It is a beautiful old tree with great history and associated wildlife. We are battling on a daily basis with pollution and trees should only be felled when they present a real danger to people of integrity of buildings. That is the not the case here.
2018/4532/T	Nicholas Haag	11/10/2018 15:24:01	OBJBOBXI	+++++Corrected for spell check typo!++++
				I am co-chairman of Grove Terrace Association.
				May we confirm our collective objection, already commented directly by a number of residents, to this proposal.
				This tree is of the same age and size as the many yew trees in the gardens of Grove Terrace (The Grade II* listed terrace that backs onto Boscastle Road) where Camden has to date been very clear that it wishes to preserve the 'treescape', green and 'res in urbis' character. If this tree is cut down this will remove one of a group of trees that indicates that this was once sanctified land. Cf Gillian Tindall, "The Fields Beneath" who also points out (p58), that William FitzStephen (d circa 1190), wrote in his Survey of London that St Pancras (which covered this area) was famous for its Yew Trees. It would seem a very sad thing that we could lose a historic yew tree, in perfect health and of good shape for no convincing reason. It would also set an unfortunate precedent that Camden might not find helpful with similar future tree-felling applications where you seek consistency of policy.
				It is part of the character of the gardens of the houses in this area that there are trees; there have even been owls nesting in the garden of Boscastle House and the removal of such a tree will lead to loss of habitat which might be investigated. At the moment this tree shields the houses in Grove Terrace from the view of Boscastle House. Removing this tree will allow a lot of light pollution into Grove Terrace Mews and the houses in Grove Terrace, especially as so much new glazing has been allowed in the developments to the rear of this house.
2018/4532/T	Anthony Wyand	11/10/2018 17:52:31	COMMEMA IL	The new owners of 1, Boscastle Rd, against many of their neighbours objections, have obtained permission for a new development with significant additional windows overlooking the gardens of Grove Terrace. Now they apply for permission to cut down one of the mature trees in their garden. I object strongly. The gardens of Grove Terrace are a sanctuary protected by the large number of trees in gardens on both sides of the Mews. Cutting down this tree would be a bad precedent. To insist that it is "common" is indicative of a failure to understand the value of mature trees in this environment. It is a traditional British tree with strong links to Church land.

Application No.	Consultees Name:	Daasiyada	Commonte	Printed on: 25/10/2018 09:10:05
Application No: 2018/4532/T	John Chamberlain	Received: 09/10/2018 16:47:24	Comment: OBJ	Response: I strongly object to the proposal to fell this healthy tree. Yews are long-lived and offer a year-round environment for birds and other wild-life. This particular tree also offers some protection to the neighbours in Grove Terrace from the intrusive light and visual pollution which will be caused by the recently approved addition of a mansard, changes in fenestration and addition of a terrace. To claim that this tree has no amenity value is absurd.
2018/4532/T	Gus Gazzard	11/10/2018 15:32:43	OBJ	I strongly object to this unnecessary destruction of an historic tree. This tree is of the same age and size as the many yew trees in the gardens of Grove Terrace (The Grade II* listed terrace that backs onto Boscastle Road) where Camden has to date been very clear that it wishes to preserve the 'treescape', green and 'res in urbis' character. If this tree is cut down this will remove one of a group of trees that indicates that this was once sanctified land. Cf Gillian Tindall, "The Fields Beneath" who also points out (p58), that William FitzStephen (d circa 1190), wrote in his Survey of London that St Pancras (which covered this area) was famous for its Yew Trees. It would seem a very sad thing that we could lose a historic yew tree, in perfect health and of good shape for no convincing reason. It would also set an unfortunate precedent that Camden might not find helpful with similar future tree-felling applications where you seek consistency of policy. It is part of the character of the gardens of the houses in this area that there are trees; there have been owls nesting in the garden of Boscastle House and the removal of such a tree will lead to loss of habitat which must be investigated. At the moment this tree shields the houses in Grove Terrace from the view of Boscastle House. Removing this tree will allow a lot of light pollution into Grove Terrace Mews and the houses in Grove Terrace, especially as so much excessive new glazing has been allowed in the developments to the rear of this house.
2018/4532/T	Astrid Sharkey	12/10/2018 12:31:08	OBJ	We object to the removal of this fine old tree. It forms part of a group that in the past has housed barn owls. The trees that face the back lands of Grove Terrace Mews are a rare in London, it is dark and rural and forms an important part of the setting of the Grade 11*listed buildings in Grove Terrace. Light spillage is now becoming a problem and the loss of such a mature tree will eliminate the screening from the increased foot print and associated light pollution from the development of 1, Boscastle Road
2018/4532/T	Caroline Mustill	11/10/2018 10:42:27	OBJ	I was sorry to hear of the possible felling of a yew tree of considerable historical interest. Is the felling absolutely necessary? If not, I would like to add my voice to those who strongly oppose it.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	0.05
2018/4532/T	Caroline Bloch	10/10/2018 16:58:45	OBJ	I would like to strongly OPPOSE the proposed felling if the yew tree in the garden of Boscastle House.	
				This tree is of the same age and size as the many yew trees in the gardens of Grove Terrace (The Grade II* listed terrace that backs onto Boscastle Road). If this tree is cut down this will remove one of a group of trees that indicates that this was once sanctified land. Cf Gillian Tindall, "The Fields Beneath" who also points out (p58), that William FitzStephen (d circa 1190), wrote in his Survey of London that St Pancras (which covered this area) was famous for its Yew Trees. It would seem a very sad thing that we could lose a historic yew tree, in perfect health and of good shape for no particular reason.	
				It is part of the character of the gardens of the houses in this area that there are trees; there have even been owls nesting in the garden of Boscastle House (I owned this house between 1983 and 1991) and the removal of such a tree will lead to loss of habitat.	
				At the moment this tree shields the houses in Grove Terrace from the view of Boscastle House. Removing this tree will allow a lot of light pollution into Grove Terrace Mews and the houses in Grove Terrace, especially as so much new glazing has been allowed in the developments to the rear of the house.	
2018/4532/T	Joh and Jill Lawrence	12/10/2018 09:42:44	OBJBOBXI	To fell a Yew Tree of such an age would be a criminal act. Yew trees are very slow to mature, they are protected and of historic value especially in this case. This tree is part of the historic fabric of the area along with others in Grove Terrace, which is 2* listed and was probably in situ since before the houses were built. John &Jill Lawrence object strongly to this proposal."	
2018/4532/T	Nicholas Haag	11/10/2018 15:19:51	OBJNOT	I am co-chairman of Grove Terrace Association.	
				May we confirm our collective objection, already commented directly by a number of residents, to this proposal.	
				This tree is of the same age and size as the many yew trees in the gardens of Grove Terrace (The Grade II* listed terrace that backs onto Boscastle Road) where Camden has to date been very clear that it wishes to preserve the 'treescape', green and 'res in rubies' character. If this tree is cut down this will remove one of a group of trees that indicates that this was once sanctified land. Cf Gillian Tindall, "The Fields Beneath" who also points out (p58), that William FitzStephen (d circa 1190), wrote in his Survey of London that St Pancras (which covered this area) was famous for its Yew Trees. It would seem a very sad thing that we could lose a historic yew tree, in perfect health and of good shape for no convincing reason. It would also set an unfortunate precedent that Camden might not find helpful with similar future tree-felling applications where you seek consistency of policy.	
				It is part of the character of the gardens of the houses in this area that there are trees; there have even been owls nesting in the garden of Boscastle House and the removal of such a tree will lead to loss of habitat which might be investigated. At the moment this tree shields the houses in Grove Terrace from the view of Boscastle House. Removing this tree will allow a lot of light pollution into Grove Terrace Mews and the houses in Grove Terrace, especially as so much new glazing has been allowed in the developments to the rear of this house.	

Printed on: 25/10/2018

09:10:05