

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/4206/P	Linda Chung	09/10/2018 22:56:18	OBJ	<p>MY OBJECTIONS: It does not meet the criteria for PD. Scrutiny of the application indicates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed development is not incidental. 2. The Study rooms cannot be incidental .. it is extra day space .. why are they required? 3. The sitting area is definitely not incidental, why is this extra space required when there are already 2 houses in existence? 4. Is the so-called washing area really a disguise for a fully plumbed in kitchen? <p>The development covers 2 gardens of two houses. It is over-development and goes against Camden's policies of protecting gardens and open spaces.</p> <p>To allow this development under PD would blatantly flout its principles and set a precedent for other such applications. Please refuse.</p>
2018/4206/P	Anne Diack	09/10/2018 22:58:33	COMMNT	<p>Don't these plans appear to fall outside the intention of permitted development in terms of location. This would appear to make their scale and inclusion of listed facilities inappropriate, as would be the removal of a healthy tree that is currently causing no issue to any nearby housing.</p>
2018/4206/P	Carolyn and Joanthan Paris	09/10/2018 13:04:17	OBJ	<p>The application does not appear on its face as making the necessary arguments and case for establishing that it is in any way incidental to the main dwelling, but appears more to establish the framework for offices or separate living quarters. On this basis we object.</p>
2018/4206/P	Redington Frogmal Association	10/10/2018 22:08:33	OBJ	<p>The application does not provide any evidence that the proposed building with its washing room, sitting room and studies / living rooms cannot be provided within the main house, nor any explanation of how the rear garden building would be incidental to the main building.</p> <p>Redington Frogmal Association would also like to draw your attention to Guideline RF 1 of the Redington Frogmal Conservation Area Statement 2003:</p> <p>"Backland/Rear Gardens RFI Rear gardens contribute to the townscape of the Conservation Area and provide a significant amenity to residents and a habitat for wildlife. Development within gardens is likely to be unacceptable."</p> <p>Redington Frogmal Association exists to preserve and enhance the Redington Frogmal Conservation Area, a heritage asset, and therefore strongly opposes this attempt to use permitted development rights for a proposal which is so clearly ineligible.</p>