CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Case reference number(s)

2017/0891/P

Case Officer:	Application Address:
Hugh Miller	133 Brecknock Road LONDON N19 5AE

Proposal(s)

Erection of a single-storey two-bedroom bungalow including excavation plus hard and soft landscaping on land at rear of numbers 133-137 Brecknock Road with entrance off Ospringe Road.

No. notified	0	No. of responses	2	No. of objections	1	
				No of comments	0	
				No of support	0	
Site Notices [Montpelier Grove & Ospringe Road] displayed 1606/2017, expires 07/07/2017.						
2b Ospringe Road: Objection						
A follow-up email dated 26/06/2017 made largely similar comments set out below.						
a) Concern about insufficient time to make written representations;						
b) Concern about loss of garden space.						
c) Concern about increase in on-street parking;						
d) Concern about noise and disruption;						
	Site Notices [More expires 07/07/20] 2b Ospringe Ro A follow-up emabelow. a) Concern b) Concern c) Concern	Site Notices [Montpelie expires 07/07/2017. 2b Ospringe Road: Ob A follow-up email dated below. a) Concern about if b) Concern about if c) Concern about if	Site Notices [Montpelier Grove & Ospringe Rexpires 07/07/2017. 2b Ospringe Road: Objection A follow-up email dated 26/06/2017 made labelow. a) Concern about insufficient time to made b) Concern about loss of garden space. c) Concern about increase in on-street process.	Site Notices [Montpelier Grove & Ospringe Road] of expires 07/07/2017. 2b Ospringe Road: Objection A follow-up email dated 26/06/2017 made largely sibelow. a) Concern about insufficient time to make write b) Concern about loss of garden space. c) Concern about increase in on-street parking	No of comments No of support Site Notices [Montpelier Grove & Ospringe Road] displayed 1606/2017 expires 07/07/2017. 2b Ospringe Road: Objection A follow-up email dated 26/06/2017 made largely similar comments set below. a) Concern about insufficient time to make written representations; b) Concern about loss of garden space. c) Concern about increase in on-street parking;	

e) Concern about loss of trees;

Officers Comments:-

- a) The Council's decision was made post receipt of the written representation;
- b) The retained rear gardens to 133 -137 are 77sqm, 68sqm and 78sqm, which are considered CPG compliant.
- c) The proposal is 'carfree' and would not be privy to any parking permit from the local authority.
- d) The proposed development would result in some level of noise disruption; however, it is not considered that this would impact detrimentally on the neighbouring residential occupiers. An informative setting out the hours of works forms part of the decision notice. During the course of development works neighbours can report any concerns to the Council.
- e) There are no trees on the application site; and the development would not result in any removal of trees. Conditions are attached to minimise harm to neighbouring trees at The Poplars.

Recommendation:- Grant planning permission subject to S106 agreement