
From: Elaine Chambers [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 October 2018 11:16
To: McClue, Jonathan; Planning
Cc: Callaghan, Patricia (Councillor)
Subject: SCL/001 Fwd: Drop-in Swiss Cottage Open Space licence request

Dear Mr Mc Clue, An OBJECTION for your website relating to the 100Ave rd development Please acknowledged receipt.
To P Callaghan, for her interest in our health . Regard, Elaine Chambers

-----Original Message-----
From: Elaine Chambers [REDACTED]

Sent: Tue, 9 Oct 2018 12:58
Subject: Re: Drop-in Swiss Cottage Open Space licence request

9th October 2018.

FAO **Andrew Hinchley**, Green Space Development Manager and **Adam Harrison**, cabinet member for improving Camden's environment.

Dear Mr Hinchley, I note the semantics you have been asked to deploy in your letter asking residents to engage in a discussion that had you been fully informed, is in no need of discussion.

My answer, and I have no doubt **The Answer** is simply: _

NO LORRIES ON SWISS COTTAGE OPEN SPACE

I also note the obfuscation permitted in your letter:- Temporary! By which is meant three or possibly more years. Further of note, is the absence of the number of lorries. It is of course understandable that the number of lorries likely to use this space is not mentioned because Essential Living has a completely flexible and forever changing plan involving various lorry numbers. This flexibility of EL's lorries has, amongst our community, become a matter of mirth. (Gallows humour, you understand; working out which process more lorries in a shorter period or fewer lorries in a longer period will leave us with heart and lung damage sooner.)

Your letter questions what the impact would be on the open space. The impact is obvious, **Mr.Harrison** will frankly have to demonstrate, at the very least to me, with my bedroom window just 75m from the planned route of these lorries, if he claims to have no doubts about *my health and safety*. Noise and dust, tree loss, etc. etc. will impact. We know the arguments, **but most dangerous will be the unseen lorry pollution, nitrogen oxide and the particulates, PM2.5 & PM10 emissions.** (Knowledge of these dangers now supersedes the outdated building regulations) **The council has a moral duty to inform the public as to what they will be breathing in during such a massively over large development.** You will recall the fact of a children's playground being so very close to these potential lorries. Here is where **the integrity of Adam Harrison, cabinet member for improving Camden's environment** has to be overtly displayed. **Mr Harrison's** willingness to contemplate this proposal while maintaining his cabinet role, and attending lectures concerning particulates will be of public interest.

I trust in your role as Green Space Development Manager that you would not contemplate any part of our treasured **green lung**, a much needed, much loved and greatly used open space being taken away from us, as in no doubt it will. It takes very little intelligence to know that **those lorries** on even the smallest amount of our green and open space **will pollute the entire space**. This is a problem for Mr McClue, EL and TfL, it is not your problem to solve for them. I hope you will stand firm in your role and protect our space from this unnecessary invasion. There is an alternative for EL. I hope *you and your department* will stand firm on behalf of our treasured green lung.

All objections I trust will be displayed on line and democratically sent to Members Briefing. In fact it is my democratic understanding that this should in fact go back to a planning meeting.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

Sincerely, Elaine Chambers, chair, WRRRA