

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/3694P	Pauline and David Cheeseman	05/10/2018 10:50:57	OBJ	<p>We live [REDACTED] We strongly object to the plans for the proposed outbuilding.</p> <p>Because of the houses being on a slope, our house and garden are 1 metre lower than 64a, so in effect any outbuilding in 64a will be one metre higher as seen from our property.</p> <p>While we have no objection in principle to the erection of a garden room at 64a, the proposed development is greatly oversized. We object to this application on grounds of size and location.</p> <p>a) Our garden will be dwarfed by the proposed outbuilding. We have been able to judge its size by comparison with a temporary structure which is currently erected in the garden of 64a to house materials during refurbishment of the flat. This structure is visible over the boundary fence from our house and garden. It is 2.20 metres high and 2.40m wide. The size of the proposed outbuilding is almost twice as large as 4 metres high, and 5 metres wide. It will therefore block our view and impede our light.</p> <p>b) The garden of 64a is not large: about 9 metres wide and 14 metres long. Because of trees at the end of the garden, the site of the proposed building is not at the end but in the centre of the garden. It will be 2 metres from the shared boundary fence. It will therefore overlook our garden, and be very intrusive. The proposed outbuilding will change the nature of the area.</p> <p>Please note that we made an email submission on 21 September, attention Mr Hope, as there was not then a link for comments on the web-site. It included photographs of the temporary structure, only half the size of the proposed outbuilding, as seen from our garden and lounge.</p>
