

Printed on: 09/10/2018 09:10:07

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/3329/P	S Holmes	03/10/2018 15:39:36	COMMENT	<p>My wife and I met the [REDACTED] During the meeting it was explained that the rear basement extension application was designed to fill the outside lightwell area, the application was not to extend the rear basement extension into the current outdoor storage area which runs parallel to our property. The new owners explained they did not to expect to excavate into any ground just lay on top of the current basement floor level.</p> <p>The proposed application drawings are for the extension to include the area inside the outdoor storage. The proposed application drawings also suggest a deep level of concrete to be put into/below the current basement floor level with a fairly high level of excavation.</p> <p>I am concerned that the high level of excavation in order to place the new rear extension at basement level could cause damage our property.</p> <p>The assumed boundary line on drawing number 10CHR-300 looks to overstep the mark with respect to actual boundary line to 13 Gainsborough Gardens, please review. I am concerned the proposed extension is overstepping the boundary and therefore is of obvious concern.</p> <p>In connection to the rear basement proposal I would like a better understanding of the proposed rainwater to rainwater drain plans. I do not see from the architects drawings how the rainwater will be collected from the new design and delivered to the rainwater drain. I am concerned that this could cause rainwater damage and/or damp to my property. Our property lies down the hill so unless channelled to an appropriate drain rainwater would naturally run in the direction of our property.</p> <p>After careful consideration of the above concerns I look forward to hearing a response from the owners / owners architect and related response from the Camden Planning Committee.</p>
