From: Hope, Obote

Sent: 08 October 2018 09:52

To: Planning

Subject: FW: 2018/4008/P - Objection to application

Please log as an objection.

Thanks.



From: KR

Sent: 05 October 2018 20:44

 $\textbf{To:} \ Smith, \ Kristina < \underline{Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk} >; \ Hazelton, \ Laura < \underline{Laura.Hazelton@camden.gov.uk} >; \ Hazelton, \ Laura < \underline{Laura.Hazelton.gov.uk} >; \ Hazelton, \ Laura < \underline{Laura.Hazelton.gov.uk} >; \ Hazelton, \ Laura < \underline{Laura.Hazelton.gov.uk} >; \ Hazelton, \ Laura.Hazelton, \ Laura.H$

Subject: RE: 2018/4008/P - Objection to application

Dear Ms Smith and Ms Hazelton

My neighbours have made me aware of this application. Despite being alert in the mews (not always a relaxing environment in the first place) and having an online monitoring on the Camden planning site for my area, I was not aware.

My objections to this application will sound familiar to you:

As on prior occasions, this application concerns a building that was before a shed that was converted to a "cheap" rental dwelling. This is not a resident improving the place he lives.

As I have pointed out before, there are too many little dark rental dwellings already and adding more serves no legitimate purpose and will severely impact the neighbours in the mews.

I strongly protest against the development for reasons that bear repeating:

- Overdevelopment and excessive residential density: As pointed out by other residents too, the mews is already heavily over-developed.
- Parking and access issues cause frictions: There are huge issues with the by Camden Council
 labelled "Car free" developments in the mews. We have tenants in some of the rental dwelling
 literally parking "at will" and spending whole days in front of the Rose Joan Mews front door
 because it is the "only" pleasant area for them... It is increasingly anti-social behaviour and it is
 starting to feel like a slum!
- Social cohesion in the mews: The overdevelopment is causing friction amongst residents, irritations come from sheer density and are notable in a) planning permissions have been granted that has allowed new developments to have close and direct line of sight from the Mews into 7 Rose Joan Mews and my house 10 Rose Joan Mews b) antisocial behaviour by large amount of renters in the 1-2 and 3 storey developments that replaced prior 1 storey derelict sheds facing the mews c) access and parking issues raise tensions given high residential density and the Pizza Micco and Bombay Nights restaurant delivery vehicles in/out and staff hanging about in the mews during evenings d) disorderly use of the mews which is not cleaned or policed by Camden Council, nor any particular landlord it is a legal no-mans land and behaviour is increasingly uncivilised!

In short, the mews is used heavily by renters, long term owner residents and restaurants with severe traffic. It is time the council relates to that reality!

The overdevelopment is also indisputable and easily demonstrated with the lack of real estate price growth vs the surrounding area. Clearly the decisions by Camden Council have not created value for the area!

If Camden Council planners came to inspect the mews you would note the "canyon" created between the main buildings facing Fortune Green Road and Rose Joan Mews, by all the planning applications granted in recent years. It is a case study in poor city planning, without a vision or a neighbourhood in mind!

The question to the council remains, when is this overdevelopment enough? What is the vision for the mews environment?

Please confirm receipt of my objection and inform if I can attend the hearing.

Kind regards,

Klaus Rasmussen



From: KR

Sent: 22 August 2018 18:22

To: 'Smith, Kristina' <Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk>; 'Hazelton, Laura' <Laura.Hazelton@camden.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: 2018/3408/P - Objection to application

Dear Ms Smith and Ms Hazelton

Attached is a picture clearly demonstrating the fire and emergency hazard of almost permanent parking issues in Rose Joan Mews.

The car in the picture belongs to the tenant in house 14 which it clearly states in the window here. The residence is what you describe as "Car free housing" and I can get you as many signed statements as you want from Rose Joan Mews that this car is almost permanently parked in the mews.

She is not the only anti-social resident who has no parking, but takes it to the detriment of others. So far no one at Camden Council has shown the slightest interest in solving these issues, but you seem willing to allow it to get

I hope you will visit the views and see how the many failed planning decisions have created an undesirable residential road.

Kind regards Klaus Rasmussen

From: KR

Sent: 13 August 2018 21:41

To: 'Smith, Kristina' <Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk>; 'Hazelton, Laura' <Laura.Hazelton@camden.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: 2018/3408/P - Objection to application

Dear Ms Smith, Hazelton and Councillor Flick

Once again Rose Joan Mews is getting an application for another round of overdevelopment.

I note a few of my neighbours in Rose Joan Mews have responded already. I am a bit late due to the holiday season, and would urge a further extension of the deadline so I can talk to more residents about it. I know most of us share the same views as you will hear below.

As on prior occasions, this application concerns a building that was before a shed that was converted to a "cheap" rental dwelling. I say this because this is, yet again, not an application by a resident to improve his own accommodation. And yet again it appears the first development was just set up to get permission and then be extended later. Even the design suggests that! Which is where the current application seems to start - "just a bit more"!

Well my view is clear, there are too many little dark rental dwellings already and adding more serves no legitimate purpose and will severely impact the neighbours in the mews.

I strongly protest against the development for reasons that bear repeating, even if they apparently continue to fall on deaf ears:

The last development was only a few years ago. Hence one should ask, would he extended plan now presented have been approved back then? Why now?

- As pointed out by other residents too, the mews is already heavily over-developed and there are huge issues with the by Camden Council labelled "Car free" developments in the mews. We have tenants in some of the rental dwelling literally parking "at will" and spending whole days in front of the Rose Joan Mews front door because it is the "only" pleasant area for them... It is increasingly anti-social behaviour and it is starting to feel like a slum!
- The overdevelopment is causing friction amongst residents, irritations come from sheer density and are notable in a) planning permissions have been granted that has allowed new developments to have close and direct line of sight from the Mews into 7 Rose Joan Mews and my house 10 Rose Joan Mews b) antisocial behaviour by large amount of renters in the 1-2 and 3 storey developments that replaced prior 1 storey derelict sheds facing the mews c) access and parking issues raise tensions given high residential density and the Pizza Micco and Bombay Nights restaurant delivery vehicles in/out and staff hanging about in the mews during evenings d) disorderly use of the mews which is not cleaned or policed by Camden Council, nor any particular landlord it is a legal no-mans land and behaviour is increasingly uncivilised!
- In short, the mews is used heavily by renters, long term owner residents and restaurants with severe traffic. It is time the council relates to that reality!

The overdevelopment is also indisputable and easily demonstrated with the lack of real estate price growth vs the surrounding area. Clearly the decisions by Camden Council have not created value for the area!

If Camden Council planners came to inspect the mews you would note the "canyon" created between the main buildings facing Fortune Green Road and Rose Joan Mews, by all the planning applications granted in recent years. It is a case study in poor city planning, without a vision or a neighbourhood in mind!

The question to the council remains, when is this overdevelopment enough? What is the vision for the mews environment?

Can we please relate to the neighbourhood environment now and stop talking about how there is or is not a certain architectural style in the cheap residential box dwellings being put up?

Please confirm receipt of my objection and inform if I can attend the hearing.

Kind regards,

Klaus Rasmussen



----Original Message----

From: KR

Sent: 02 March 2018 22:36

To: 'Smith, Kristina' < Kristina. Smith@camden.gov.uk >; 'Hazelton, Laura'

<Laura.Hazelton@camden.gov.uk>

Сс

Subject: 2018/0282/P - Objection to application

Dear Ms Smith,

Once again Rose Joan Mews is getting an application for another round of overdevelopment.

I attach a letter outlining my objections and sincere concerns for the continued lack of planning vision for Rose Joan Mews.

There is sincere concerns amongs residents in the Mews about the lawfulness of the developments in the Mews and as per my earlier correspondence with you I can only urge the Council to start thinking about residents rights to privacy and protecting their property, not just the rights of developers to seek profits.

Kind regards,

Klaus Rasmussen



<<...>>

----Original Message----

From: planning@camden.gov.uk [mailto:planning@camden.gov.uk]

Sent: 02 March 2018 22:21

To:

Subject: Comments on 2018/0282/P have been received by the council.

A letter will be sent by mail

Comments made by Klaus Rasmussen o



Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Objection- Letter

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.