

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 October 2018 16:39  
**To:** McClue, Jonathan  
**Cc:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2018/4239/P 100 Avenue Road - Objection to proposals to remove fire exits and other proposed changes

Dear Mr McClue,

It would appear that, having previously withdrawn their earlier contentious application to reduce the number of fire exits on the 24 storey tower by two, the developer is now reapplying to again seek permission to amend their original planning application to remove these 2 fire exits, along with some further changes. They also want to reduce the amount of bin storage in the "affordable housing" section, and to reduce the basement area in order that they may turn their trucks around on site during demolition and construction. This despite the objections to this aspect of the CMP being submitted by every responding resident at having 50+ HGVs per day entering the Open Space site and spewing their noxious NOx and PM10/PM2.5 gases all around the Open Space.

**These do indeed appear to be material changes, and I wish to register my objection to them.**

Particularly after the tragedy of the Grenfell Tower fire, I believe it is appalling and deeply cynical of the developer to be reducing the number of fire exits in the tower, as well as generally reducing the width of many of the corridors that would need to be used as a fire escape route, if the worst were to happen, in favour of slightly enlarging the residential units.

I strongly object to any measures that will reduce the safety of residents in the tower.

The changes to the bin store arrangements will mean the complete removal of the affordable bin store and a reduction of space for the private rented sector bin store with some bins being virtually inaccessible. Whilst I have real concerns about the way these changes will affect waste management in this massive development, encouraging fly-tipping in the area, and reducing recycling rates, I am even more troubled about the implications for social justice.

Along with many others, I have previously been critical of the way this development separates those in the private rental section from those in the so-called "affordable" units and offers the latter poorer facilities ("poor doors"). This removal of the bin store for residents of the affordable units is yet another new example of discrimination against those living there. In addition all the corridors in the development have been made narrower to make the units bigger.

I strongly urge you to reject this application as it is an adverse and material change to the original application, with unacceptable adverse safety and social implications.

Best regards,

Eric Peel

Swiss Cottage Ward resident