



Subject:

Ref:2018/4239/P 100Ave rd



Dear Mr McClue,

1. With regard to the conversation about fire exits; we have been there and dealt with that. It is therefore not open to discussion again after the people have spoken and the objection has been accepted. Why else bother with planning meetings if the outcome can so easily be overturned at whim? It is important that the planning c/tee has current access to the historical objections about fire exits that were previously sent in. I therefore request that as they are now of current importance that they are placed on Camden's web site again and are fully available for any current planning c/tee to digest.

2. There are now new structural changes being proposed for the basement, i.e. reduction of the basement to enable lorries to turn around on our open space. This has consequence:-

a) it ignores our concerns regarding the use of lorries on our open space sending massive does of unseen PM2.5 PM10's emitted from these lorries into the lungs of those residents who live surrounding this site,

b) it pollutes the lungs of any unsuspecting visitors to the green, predominantly mothers and babies,

c) it reduces this much loved and used peaceful amenity to more noise, vibration and dust,

d) it will reduce the bin storage space for the area labelled with the now discredited term 'affordable', further burdening this section of the proposed property already potentially subject to commercial noise and its various activities, late people traffic, late cafe/restaurant hours, music emanating from such commercial premises, and the obvious overload of rubbish.

e) It so overtly cocks a snook at a Labour council's acclaimed concern about 'poor' doors.

d) It reduces the space offered to The Winch which Paul Perkins, the previous director, negotiated with EL.

Yours sincerely, Elaine Chambers, chair, WRRRA