

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/3570/P	Barbara Crofts	01/10/2018 16:26:09	OBJ	My comments are based on the following concerns:

THE INACCURACY OF THE DRAWINGS

The drawings are inaccurate and grossly misleading.

- a) on A/02/106 the side elevations imply that the existing and the proposed extensions have the same dimensions. In reality, the existing ground floor extension protrudes no further than the two- storey extension beside it whereas the proposed extension and roof terrace will be built out beyond it as shown in the drawing.
- b) on A/02/104 the portrayal of the back extension at 110 is unrecognisable.

THE SIZE OF THE EXTENSION/ROOF TERRACE AND SUBSEQUENT LOSS OF PRIVACY

The garden at 110 is currently not overlooked by my neighbours on either side, neither are their gardens overlooked by me.

Since the proposed roof terrace will not only extend further but also become L-shaped, it will consequently adjoin 110. This will mean that the entire garden at 110 including the patio nearest the house will be completely overlooked, resulting in a serious loss of privacy.

THE NOISE FROM USE OF THE ROOF TERRACE

In this small triangle between Leighton Rd, Torriano Avenue and Charlton Kings Rd, noise is a big issue. All sounds bounce off and are amplified by the position, proximity and size of the numerous surrounding buildings.

Not only am I concerned generally about any additional noise arising from the use of yet another roof terrace but this one will be very close to my bedroom/ensuite bathroom.

In conclusion, and with reference to Camden's planning policy on privacy and noise (CPG 1 Balconies and Terraces 5.23/5.24) I am objecting to this planning application.
