

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/1968/P	Don McGibbon	26/09/2018 11:03:11	SUPPRT	<p>As the head teacher of a local Camden Primary School who works closely with Primrose Hill through our cluster work I would like to wholeheartedly support this innovative and exciting project. A floating barge classroom will give the children of Primrose Hill a unique opportunity to use a creative and exciting learning space. This is a fantastic use of the local environment and one which should be applauded as well as having real impact on the children's learning. I look forward to the children of my school, Fleet Primary School, having the opportunity to join the children and staff at Primrose hill for a variety of community events in this space. This space will provide the Primrose Hill community with the opportunity to engage in many activities such as shared reading, parent groups and community meetings. I have no doubt this development will make a real difference to the children and families of the Primrose Hill Community.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/1968/P	Peter Herbert	28/09/2018 09:35:13	OBJ	<p>1) Intent of use falls outside of the D1 usage classification: The school intends to utilise the barge for commercial purposes which would seem to contradict the D1 status of the usage class: The schools website states (http://www.primrosehill.camden.sch.uk/news/floating-classroom-project/) "The Pirate Castle is a local business along the canal and is in full support of our application. They are keen to help us maintain the barge and in return, if they get extra party bookings themselves we will let them use our vessel." The D1 classification of Non-residential institutions does not include discos or party premises, indeed the intention of the D1 classification in this case is clearly a premises of education. On this ground alone, the application should be rejected and if not, it should be made clear that a party boat would be in contravention of the D1 classification. The applicant should formally acknowledge the use of the barge cannot be for this purpose.</p> <p>2) Poor & misleading consultation process: The consultation carried out by the applicant has fallen short with promises made not filtering through to the application. As such we object on the grounds that the consultation process has been undertaken in a purposefully misleading manner.</p> <p>3) Noise and Disruption: The Waterside Place community has numerous elderly residents who endure the regular noise emanating from the schools' playground which abuts our south western boundary. Although we are happy to live with this most important institution as a neighbour, we must be mindful of the current noise and disruption caused by them. The noise emanating from passers-by along the canal, the usual canal boats which pass regularly and the unfortunate antisocial behaviour of some users all contribute to the existing noise stress. It is unreasonable to add additional noise stress on the residents by placing a barge on our south east boundary as well. Although the revised application has moved the barge from directly outside our back doors, to 3.5m away from our boundary this will have little effect on mitigating the noise impact. We all know the ability of sound to travel and certainly 3.5m will do nothing to mitigate this. It seems highly logical for the barge to be located more centrally to the school's own premises and we can see no reason for this not to be done. The schools' south east boundary runs along the canal for approx. 120m, why would anyone propose to site the barge 3.5m away from the residential end. This shows a huge lack of appreciation of the everyday sacrifices we make as residents to ensure schools continue to thrive in these central locations. The barge should be located central to the schools canal boundary which would site it almost 55m away from each residential end.</p> <p>4) Hours of operation: Under no circumstances should the hours of operation of the barge fall outside normal school hours allowing for after school clubs hours etc. During the consultation process the hours of 8am till 4pm was agreed and now the promise of a party boat running through the night clearly contradicts the agreement. The hours must remain limited to school hours. For the above reasons we hope the planning department will reject this application or ensure the necessary revisions and Section 106 agreement is in place to ensure the obligations above are complied with.</p>