

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2018/1890/P	Jane Palm-Gold	27/09/2018 19:56:52	OBJ	<p>I object to the proposed 12 air conditioning units newly proposed by the developer. These will cause detrimental noise and have a negative air quality impact adding to an already highly polluted area of central London. We should be trying to clean the air up here, not add to the pollution of the area.</p> <p>We already have a poor quality of life here due to years of building construction and traffic noise all day and the latter in particular at night. Nine flats at this end of Pendrell House will be impacted by the air conditioner unit noise - No's 1, 2, 10, 11, 16, 17, 22, 23 and 25. This is completely unacceptable to us.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/1890/P	Chris Baker	28/09/2018 17:09:12	COMNOT	<p>I am surprised by the very late amendment of these proposals to include additional chiller units not identified in previous submissions. The visual intrusion of such plant and the significant additional noise generated in close proximity to existing housing should have been identified at an earlier stage, not at the last minute when all other objections have been submitted and at a time of the year when many are away on holiday. Was this a somewhat unprofessional oversight by the architect or a manouvre designed to frustrate residents' objections?</p> <p>The units intended for the roof of the proposed new rear extension will be exceptionally close to the rear windows of flats - particularly those on the lowest level of the existing building for whom these metal-cased refrigerator units will be just below the level of their windows - but likely to adversely affect the peaceful enjoyment of all existing residents with windows to the rear – the large majority of flats. They are placed hard against the existing rear elevation, so will be immediately below the existing windows, which open outwards on hinges in a manner likely to funnel noise into the existing flats when opened.</p> <p>There can be no need for such chiller units as none of the existing flats has them or has ever needed them. The climate and local weather is such that air conditioning has never been seen in London as a civil engineering necessity within properly designed residential units and passive ventilation systems are not only preferred, but increasingly so. Air conditioning is therefore viewed as a luxury rather than a necessity. The provision of air conditioning to the proposed new flats would create an additional burden ecologically as such systems draw significantly on the electrical grid.</p> <p>No indication appears to have been provided as to where the associated air extract vents will be located, but stale exhaust air can reasonably be expected potentially to plume towards the rear windows of existing flats due to the nature of the semi-enclosed rear space.</p> <p>I have referred in a previous objection to the parasitic nature of this proposed development in that the structure of the existing building is used cynically as a host for new proposed structures that would add nothing to the life of the present building, only sapping from it. This is seen particularly in these compressor unit proposals: residents of proposed new flats will not suffer from the noise of their own air conditioning, whilst existing residents will have to tolerate the noise of the proposed new compressor units below their windows and, potentially, the foul exhaust air from the new residents. They are therefore likely to have a greater need to keep their windows closed – but without the comforts of air conditioning.</p> <p>I understand that one principle of the proposals for the additional developments to 45 New Compton Street is that any new structure at ground level is not visible over the church wall from the vantage point of the church and the church yard. However, from my own studies, the proposed chiller units would seem to be in breach of this principle. The proposed units would also create an unsightly addition to existing views from the existing flats, entirely inappropriate in the proximity of such a historically and architecturally important church.</p> <p>The noise report submitted makes little sense really to anyone not versed in such protocols and can, in any case, never be substitute for the perceptions and needs of local residents; the background noise in the area is already very significant and should, for health reasons, be already subject to an improvent program by any responsible authority. New compressor units, however shrouded, shielded, muffled, baffled etc will always create an additional noise burden and this is totally unwanted by myself and all other residents I have spoken with. Improvements made by shrouding to compressor units is accepted, even by the industry, to be only moderate. The proposed units would be too near the existing windows and in too confined a space to ever be</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>acceptable. It should be noted that the noise these proposed units emit will be audible at any time of the day and night and it is to be expected that some, or all will be on all the time. At night time, in this building, we enjoy occasional, but exceptionally welcome, relief from the continuous drone we hear all day. This relief would end with the arrival of the proposed units.</p> <p>The proposals also add significant additional height to the proposed lift tower immediately abutting the roadside. I have previously objected to this and the new height adds to my perception that the plans now potentially create a Frankenstein monstrosity – within a highly important Conservation Area. Again, I note with surprise that an error in previously submitted documents has necessitated such late correction. What does this say about the overall quality of the submission or the credibility of the entire project?</p> <p>I would ask these objections be considered by the committee in addition to others previously submitted on this portal.</p>

---

2018/1890/P	A Garrigues	29/09/2018 12:24:19	OBJCOMPA P	<p>1 ? Parking space should not be lost</p> <p>2 ? Daily construction work noise and building site noise that 45NCS will become would be unbearable for the resident of 45ncs. Without mentioning dust levels combined with already existing pollution would reach unhealthy standards exceeding acceptable norms for the health of residents.</p> <p>3 ? Charges - after landscaping common parts &amp; upgrading the building at 45ncs - will go through the roof, this will become unaffordable long term for some residents or other vulnerable residents and others who are council tenants in the buildig.</p> <p>4 ? The building of one storey standalone unit will obstruct the light and the view for 1st floor tenants on that side of the building, erecting new one storey will create neighbourly windows overlooking in each others flats and will be an inconvenience and nuisance for existing residents.</p> <p>5 ? It has been mentioned that the plan for the ground floor of the single storey 2 dwelling house could be commercial space, if this is still the case concerns are raised about noise pollution this would be brought to the adjacent and nearby residents at 45ncs.</p> <p>6 ? If it is agreed a little refreshing, updating would do no harm to the building, raising concerns about the fact that this planing application is looking like aiming at being maximised and is in danger of being overdeveloped / an overdevelopment.</p> <p>7 ? Not sure what the Plant is, and what the plan would be/is for a Plant on the roof.</p> <p>8 ? The garbage and domestic refuse set up should be within easy reach of residents, it should be disabled friendly, with easy access for residents who have physical difficulties or physical limitations.</p>
-------------	-------------	---------------------	---------------	--

- - -

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2018/1890/P	K Woodward	28/09/2018 17:42:03	COMNOT	<p>I wish to object strongly to the proposals for compressor units to be installed on the proposed new additional building at the ground floor of 45 New Compton Street. This will cause additional noise disturbance to me and many others whose windows are above the proposed units and I am not reassured that the Noise Study document adequately reflects reality.</p> <p>We already suffer a great deal of continuous noise from heavy traffic and idling buses and there is a kitchen extract unit at the rear of the Angel pub that creates a continuous din which is audible at a disturbing level throughout the area at the back of the building. It is not reasonable that residents suffer any additional noise at all, as it adversely affects our sleep and our health.</p>

---