

From: Gordon Maclean

Sent: 18 September 2018 15:23

To: Planning <Planning@camden.gov.uk>

Cc: David Castle; Marc Hutchinson; Douglas Maxwell; Vicki Harding; Judy Alderman; David Evans; Andrew Dutton Parrish; Jeremy Walker; Mojgan Green; Nancy Mayo Redfrog; John Malet-Bates

Subject: Re: Certificate of lawfulness (proposed) application - 2018/4206/P - 4-4a Lindfield Gardens

Devcon

Attn Tony Young

4-4a Lindfield Gardens NW3

Your comments concerning Permitted Development are noted, but it is clear that the proposed "garden room" does not comply with the PD regulations quoted.

The building is a separate structure, not attached to the host house, and could be used separately as a "Granny Flat", or office, or as rentable accommodation, either by the residents of No 4, or by those of No 4a; access from either or both is readily available. It is not therefore an extension or annexe to No 4/4a, and does not fall within the context of PD regulations.

On this basis, the proposal might be re-submitted as a new separate habitable building/dwelling/office. We would be likely to raise objection to such a proposal.

We repeat our previous objection to the destruction of a significant tree; this is a matter of public concern, whether protected by Planning rules or not.

This objection is, as advised by you, based solely on PD considerations, not Planning legislation,

We consequently renew our request (see our objections to 2018/0512/P) for refusal of this application

Regards

Gordon Maclean

Planning Subcommittee

Heath and Hampstead Society