

Hazelton, Laura

From: Ginny Kirsch [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 September 2018 12:55
To: Hazelton, Laura
Cc: Simon Kirsch
Subject: Re: Demolition of Gowan's Pool

Dear Laura

Thank you for doing this.

We would also like to object to the removal of the hedge which runs along the top of the wall.

The wall and hedges combined height are well over 20 foot - their presence obscure the 'Boncara' development from the road and Heath. Removal of the hedge would have a significant negative visual impact on this pristine stretch of West Heath Road, damaging the character and green aspect enjoyed by the public of the Conservation Area.

Please could you post this objection also.

Thank you

Virginia Kirsch

[REDACTED]

On Mon, Sep 10, 2018 at 10:30 AM, Hazelton, Laura <Laura.Hazelton@camden.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Virginia,

Thank you for your email. I've just uploaded your comments so no need to submit via the website as well.

Kind regards,

Laura Hazelton

Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 1017

From: Ginny Kirsch <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>

Sent: 10 September 2017

To: Hazelton, Laura <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>

Cc: Simon Kirsch <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>

Subject: Demolition of Gowan's Pool

Dear Laura,

Below are a few points that we wanted to make:

- ∞ With regards to comments on objections by Simoni Devetzi on behalf of the applicant, 'the building when relocated will retain its listed status although at some point Heritage England may want to update their listing to reflect the new position', Grace Etherington from **The Twentieth Century Society** says otherwise. To quote

'dismantling and rebuilding the pool classifies as demolition. This means the **structure will no longer be listed in its new location**, and it would have to be reassessed by Historic England to see if it still holds sufficient architectural and historic interest to be worthy of listing.'

- ∞ It seems increasingly relevant to draw Camden's attention to the fact that we (the current owners of the Schreiber House), were contacted by Savills estate agents early in 2017, straight after the applicant acquired the property. Savills had been instructed to enquire on behalf of their client whether we would be interested in having the pool relocated to our garden. This again casts doubt over the bona fides of the application, clearly demonstrating the applicant intended to move the pool before acquisition and prior to 'engineer investigations'.
- ∞ In the applicant's proposed drawings from Heath Road, the wall which extends around the corner of Templewood Avenue is considerably lower, the relocated pool clearly visible from the Heath. However, if the garden is lowered to street level and the wall retained as is, **the pool will be under a high wall** and not visible at all.

We believe that the wall, constructed from blue rustic Staffordshire engineering **bricks** with rounded specials, is part of the listing. Alteration to the walls height would not only ruin the seamless 'flow' of the wall which extends all the way around the corner of West Heath Road to

Templewood Avenue, but would further expose 'Boncara', which is currently obscured by the wall, hedge and trees when viewed from the Heath (not apparent from the existing drawings).

Can we still we put comments on the website?

Thank you

Virginia and Simon Kirsch



This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.