



Eden Green Environmental



Air Quality Assessment

Site: 212-214 High Holborn, London, WC17 7BW

Client

Austringer Properties Limited

6 Mount Pleasant, Douglas, Isle of Man, IM1 2PU

Version Control

Date: 05/09/2018

Report No: EGE_170

Revision: D

Status: Final

Disclaimer

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party, and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party. This report may include data obtained from trusted third-party consultants/laboratories that have been supplied to us in good faith. Whilst we do everything we can to ensure the quality of all the data we use, we cannot be held responsible for the accuracy or integrity of third party data.

Prepared by

Eden Green Environmental Limited

169 Regent Farm Road

Newcastle upon Tyne NE3 3HE

info@eden-environ.co.uk

www.eden-environ-co.uk

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Objective	1
1.3 Site Location	2
1.4 Key Pollutants	2
2. Legislation and Policy	3
2.1 European Union	3
2.2 National Level – England	3
2.2.1 National Planning Policy Framework	4
2.2.2 Relevant National Planning Practice Guidance	5
2.2.3 Statutory Nuisance	5
2.2.4 Relevant National Air Quality Standards	6
2.3	7
2.4 Regional (London)	7
2.4.1 The Mayor of London’s Air Quality Strategy	7
2.4.2 London Plan	8
2.4.3 The Mayor of London Transport Strategy	9
2.5 Local Level – (London Borough of Camden)	10
2.5.1 London Borough of Camden Local Plan	10
2.5.2 London Borough of Camden Air Quality Action Plan	11
3. Methodology	13
3.1 Overview	13
3.2 Scope of the Assessment	13
3.2.1 Construction Phase	13
3.2.2 Operational Phase	13
4. Baseline Conditions	15
4.1 Overview	15
4.2 Existing Baseline Conditions	15
4.3 Defra Background Pollution Concentrations	17
5. Potential Impacts	18
5.1 Construction Phase	18
5.2 Operational Impacts	19
6. Proposed Mitigation Measures	21
6.1 Construction Phase Mitigation Measures	21
6.2 Operational Phase Mitigation Measures	21

7. Conclusion	22
Appendix A: Key Pollutants	23
A1. Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	23
A2. Particulate Matter	23
A3. 'Disamenity' Dust	23
Appendix B: Operational Impact Assessment Methodology	24
Appendix C: Construction Dust Assessment Criteria	26
Appendix D: Mitigation Measures for Construction Impacts	32
Appendix E: Site Drawings	34

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Proposed site location	2
Figure 4.1: Nearest local air quality monitoring sites	17
Figure 5.1: Construction assessment buffers	18

List of Tables

Table 2.1: AQO Relevant to the Proposed Development	6
Table 2.2: Examples of Where the AQO Should Apply	6
Table 4.1: LBC Annual and Hourly Mean NO ₂ Monitoring Results for relevant existing monitoring sites	16
Table 4.2: LBC Annual and Hourly Mean PM ₁₀ Monitoring Results for relevant existing monitoring sites	16
Table 4.3: Defra Projected Background Concentrations (for all receptors)	17
Table 5.1: Dust Emission Magnitude	19
Table 5.2: Sensitivity of Study Area	19
Table 5.3: Summary of the Risk of Construction Effects	19

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

Austringer Properties Limited (APL) is seeking consent for the change of use, conversion, part demolition and rebuild from a mix of Class A2 financial and professional services and Class B1 office uses to a mix of Class A1 shops at 212-214 High Holborn, London, WC17 7BW (hereafter referred to as the 'proposed development').

Eden Green Environmental (EGE) was instructed by APL to produce an Air Quality Assessment to accompany the planning application to the London Borough of Camden (LBC) for consent to undertake the extension.

The proposed development is located within a highly developed urban area within the London Borough of Camden. The proposed site currently includes the existing Grade II listed building and extension originally occupied a bank. The ground floor is currently occupied by a NatWest bank. The plot fronts on to High Holborn to the north, a seven-storey building to the west and a nine-storey building to the south and east.

The potential local air quality effects of the proposed development have been assessed using the latest planning guidance from Environmental Protection UK (EPUK), the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM)¹, the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)², Camden Air Quality Action Plan³ and Camden Local Plan (2017).

A construction dust risk assessment has been undertaken, to consider the potential risk from dust generating activities during the construction phase of the development. This has been carried out in accordance with the latest IAQM guidance on construction dust⁴.

An Air Quality Neutral Assessment has been also considered to support the planning application. This has been undertaken in line with the methodology outlined in the Sustainable Design and Construction SPG⁵

1.2 Objective

This report provides an assessment on the following key impacts associated with the constructional and operational phase of the proposed development:

- Nuisance, loss of amenity and health impacts associated with the construction phase of the development on sensitive receptors;
- Changes in traffic related pollutant concentrations associated with the operational phase of the proposed development; and

¹ IAQM (2017): 'Land Use Planning and Development Control: Planning for Air Quality v1.2'

² Defra (2016): 'Local Air Quality Management – Technical Guidance (TG16)'

³ Camden's Clean Air Action Plan 2016-2018

⁴ IAQM (2016): 'Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction v1.1'.

⁵ Mayor of London (2014): 'Sustainable Design and Construction Supplementary Planning Guidance'

- Commercial suitability of the proposed development location to introduce new receptors.

1.3 Site Location

Figure 1.1 shows the proposed site location. The proposed development is within the borough-wide Air Quality Management Area (AQMA), designated by LBC in 2002 for exceedance of the NO₂ annual mean and PM₁₀ 24 hour mean objectives. There are no nationally designated ecological sites within close proximity to the proposed development. Further site drawings are presented in Appendix E.




Figure 1.1: Proposed site location

1.4 Key Pollutants

The key pollutants associated with the construction phase of the project will be 'disamenity' or 'nuisance' dust. The key pollutants associated with the operational phase of the proposed development will be road traffic emissions including nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}). These pollutants are therefore considered as part of this assessment. Further details of the key pollutants are presented in Appendix A.

2. Legislation and Policy

This section summarises all legislation, policy, statutory and non-statutory guidelines relevant to the proposed development. Furthermore, the latest regional and local planning policy guidance specifically applicable to the proposed development has been reviewed.

2.1 European Union

The EU sets legally binding limit values for outdoor air pollutants to be met by EU countries by a given date. These limit values are based on the World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines on outdoor air pollutants. These are legally binding and set out to protect human health and the environment by avoiding, preventing or reducing harmful air pollution effects.

The current air quality directive is the Directive 2008/50/EC⁶ on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe entered into force in June 2008. This merged most of the existing 'Daughter' Directives⁷ (apart from the fourth Daughter Directive); maintaining existing air quality objectives set out by 'Daughter' Directives for sulphur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and oxides of Nitrogen (NO_x), particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}), lead (Pb), benzene (C₆H₆), carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃). It also includes related objectives, exposure concentration obligation and exposure reduction targets for PM_{2.5} (fine particles). The 'Daughter' Directives were based upon requirements set out in the first EU Ambient Air Quality Framework Directive 96/92/EEC⁸.

2.2 National Level – England

The UK government has a legal responsibility to meet the EU limit values. Part IV of the 1995 Environment Act⁹ sets guidelines for protecting air quality in the UK and forms the basis of the local air quality management. The Environment Act requires local authorities in the UK to review air quality in their area periodically and designate 'Air Quality Management Area' (AQMAs) if improvements are necessary. Where an AQMA is designated, local authorities are also required to produce an 'Air Quality Action Plan' (AQAP) detailing the pollution reduction measures that need to be adopted to achieve the relevant air quality objectives within an AQMA.

As part of the Environment Act, the UK Government was required to publish a National Air Quality Strategy (NAQS) to establish the system of 'local air quality management' (LAQM) for the designation of AQMAs. This led to the introduction of the first Air Quality Strategy (AQS) in 1997¹⁰ which since has progressed through several revisions until it was replaced by the Air Quality Strategy for England,

⁶ European Union (2008): 'Ambient air quality assessment management', Framework Directive 2004/50/EC.

⁷ European Union. (1999), 'Ambient air quality assessment management', Framework Directive 1999/30/EC;

European Union. (2000), 'Ambient air quality assessment management', Framework Directive 2000/3/EC;

European Union. (2002), 'Ambient air quality assessment management', Framework Directive 2002/3/EC;

European Union. (2004), 'Ambient air quality assessment management', Framework Directive 2004/107/EC.

⁸ European Union. (1996), 'Ambient air quality assessment management', Framework Directive 96/62/EC.

⁹ Parliament of the United Kingdom. (1990), 'Environmental Protection Act', Chapter 43. Queen's Printer of Acts of Parliament.

¹⁰ Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs. (1997), 'The United Kingdom National Air Quality Strategy', Cm 3587, Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs.

Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007¹¹. Each revision introduced strategies and regulations that considered measures for different pollutants by tightening existing objectives and also by introducing new ones to establish a common framework to protect human health and the environment by achieving ambient air quality improvements.

The 2008 EU ambient air quality directive 2008/50/EC was transposed to England law through the introduction of the Air Quality (Standards) Regulations in 2010¹² which also incorporated the fourth EU Daughter Directive (2004/107/EC) that set target values for certain toxic heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, (PAH).

2.2.1 National Planning Policy Framework

The principal national planning policy guidance in respect of the proposed development is the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)¹³. The most recent update of the NPPF was published in March 2012 by Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG). The NPPF Section 109 states that:

“The planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:..... preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or land instability.....”

Section 120 states that:

“To prevent unacceptable risks from pollution and land instability, planning policies and decisions should ensure that new development is appropriate for its location. The effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, the natural environment or general amenity, and the potential sensitivity of the area or proposed development to adverse effects from pollution, should be taken into account.....” and

Section 124 states that:

“Planning policies should sustain compliance with and contribute towards EU limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of Air Quality Management Areas and the cumulative impacts on air quality from individual sites in local areas. Planning decisions should ensure that any new development in Air Quality Management Areas is consistent with the local air quality action plan.”

¹¹ Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs. (2007), 'The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland', Cm 7169, Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs.

¹² Statutory Instrument. (2010), 'The Air Quality Standards Regulations', No. 1001. Queen's Printer of Acts of Parliament.

¹³ National Planning Practice Guidance 'Air Quality Section'. Accessible at: <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/air-quality-new/why-should-planning-beconcerned-about-air-quality/>

2.2.2 Relevant National Planning Practice Guidance

The DCLG published a number of supporting web based resources of Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)¹⁴ to supplement the NPPF. With respect to air quality PPG provide guidance on when air quality is relevant to a planning application. It states that:

“Concerns could arise if the development is likely to generate air quality impact in an area where air quality is known to be poor. They could also arise where the development is likely to adversely impact upon the implementation of air quality strategies and action plans and/or, in particular, lead to a breach of EU legislation (including that applicable to wildlife).”

The PPG also states that, when deciding whether air quality is relevant to a planning application, the applicant should consider whether the proposal will:

“Significantly affect traffic in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development site or further afield. Introduce new point sources of air pollution.....,

Expose people to existing sources of air pollutants.....,

Give rise to potentially unacceptable impact (such as dust) during construction for nearby sensitive locations.....,

- *Affect biodiversity.....”*

2.2.3 Statutory Nuisance

It is recognised that the planning system presents a way of protecting amenity. However, in cases where planning conditions are not applicable to a development/installation, the requirements of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 still apply. Under Part III of the Environmental Protection Act 1990, local authorities have a statutory duty to investigate any complaints of:

- *“any premises in such a state as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance*
- *smoke emitted from premises so as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance*
- *fumes or gases emitted from premises so as to be prejudicial to health or a nuisance*
- *any dust, steam, smell or other effluvia arising on industrial, trade or business premises and being prejudicial to health or a nuisance*
- *any accumulation or deposit which is prejudicial to health or a nuisance”*

Where the local authority establishes any one of these issues constitutes a statutory nuisance and believes it to be unreasonably interfering with the use or enjoyment of someone’s premises and/or is prejudicial to health, an abatement notice will be served on the person responsible for the offence or the owner / occupier. Failure to

¹⁴ National Planning Practice Guidance web-based resource. Accessible at: <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

comply with the notice could lead to a prosecution. However, it is considered as a defence if the best practicable means to prevent or to counteract the effects of the nuisance are employed.

2.2.4 Relevant National Air Quality Standards

A summary of the relevant Air Quality Standards/Objectives (henceforth referred to as 'AQO') and the types of receptors that are relevant to this assessment are presented in Table 2.1 and Table 2.2. The AQO listed in Table 2.1 applies only at locations with relevant exposure where a member of the public could be exposed to a level of pollution concentration for the specific averaging periods for that pollutant as stated in Table 2.2.

Table 2.1: AQO Relevant to the Proposed Development

Pollutant	Air Quality Objectives		Concentration measured as:	Applicable to:
	Concentration	Allowance		
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)	200 µg/m ³	18 per calendar year	1 hour mean	All local authorities
	40 µg/m ³		Annual mean	All local authorities
Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)	50 µg/m ³	35 per calendar year	24 hour mean	All local authorities
	40 µg/m ³		Annual mean	All local authorities
Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) Exposure reduction^(a)	25 µg/m ³ ^(a)		Annual	England only

Notes: ^(a) This is a target value set for a 15% reduction in concentrations at urban background aimed to achieve between 2010 and 2020

Source: Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (2014): 'Local Air Quality management Technical Guidance' (TG.16).

Table 2.2: Examples of Where the AQO Should Apply

<i>Averaging period</i>	<i>Objectives should apply at</i>	<i>Objectives should not apply at</i>
<i>Annual</i>	<i>All locations where members of the public might be regularly exposed. Building façades of residential properties, schools, hospitals, care homes etc.</i>	<i>Building façades of offices or other places of work where members of the public do not have regular access. Hotels, unless people live there as their permanent residence. Gardens of residential properties.</i>

		<i>Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building façade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short-term.</i>
<i>24 Hour</i>	<i>All locations where the annual mean objective would apply, together with hotels. Gardens of residential properties.^(a)</i>	<i>Kerbside sites (as opposed to locations at the building façade), or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short-term.</i>
<i>1 Hour</i>	<i>All locations where the annual mean and 24 and 8-hour mean objectives apply. Kerbside sites (for example, pavements of busy shopping streets). Those parts of car parks, bus stations and railway stations etc. which are not fully enclosed, where members of the public might reasonably be expected to spend one hour or more. Any outdoor locations where members of the public might reasonably be expected to spend one hour or longer.</i>	<i>Kerbside sites where the public would not be expected to have regular access.</i>

Note: ^(a) “Such locations should represent parts of the garden where relevant public exposure to pollutants is likely, for example where there is seating or play areas. It is unlikely that relevant public exposure to pollutants would occur at the extremities of the garden boundary, or in front gardens, although local judgement should always be applied.”

Source: Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (2014): ‘Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance’ (TG.16).

2.3

2.4 Regional (London)

2.4.1 The Mayor of London’s Air Quality Strategy

The Mayor of London’s Air Quality Strategy¹⁵ was published in December 2010 which includes transport and non-transport related policy measures. The document also include guidance on how regional and local planning processes will be used to enable future developments to be ‘air quality neutral or better’.

¹⁵ Greater London Authority (2010). Clearing the Air: The Mayor’s Air Quality Strategy.

Policy 15 within the Mayor's air quality strategy is committed to reporting back regularly on the progress made since the strategy has been delivered. The latest progress report was published in July 2015¹⁶ and includes:

- Analysis of recent trends in air pollution in London;
- An update on the latest understanding of health impacts of air pollution in London;
- An update on the implementation of the transport and non-transport policies included in the Mayor's Air Quality Strategy, including measures announced by the Mayor in February 2013 such as the Ultra-Low Emission Zone;
- Setting out what further action the Mayor will take to improve air quality.

2.4.2 London Plan

The London Plan¹⁷ is the spatial development strategy for London which was first published by then-Mayor Ken Livingstone in 2004. The document has gone through number of alterations and the with most recent alterations published in March 2016.

The London Local Plan sets out the overall strategic plan for London with an integrated approach for economic, environmental, transport and social framework for the development of London over the next 20–25 years and covers a number of strategies including transport and environmental issues such as climate change and air quality.

Policy 3.2 "Improving Health and Addressing Health Inequalities" states:

- *"The policies in this Plan are intended to enable Londoners to live in well designed, high quality homes...limiting...exposure to poor air quality."*
- *"The Mayor...has also produced other strategies related to...Air Quality...The Mayor will ensure that policies in this Plan are complemented by those in other mayoral strategies (particularly the Mayor's Transport Strategy, which sets carbon dioxide reduction targets to be achieved in the transport system)."*

Policy 5.1 "Climate Change Mitigation" states:

- *"The Mayor seeks to achieve an overall reduction in London's carbon dioxide emissions of 60 per cent (below 1990 levels) by 2025. It is expected that the GLA Group, London boroughs and other organisations will contribute to meeting this strategic reduction target, and the GLA will monitor progress towards its achievement annually."*

Policy 5.3 "Sustainable design and Construction" states:

¹⁶ Greater London Authority (2015). Cleaner Air for London: Progress Report on the Delivery of the Mayor's Air Quality Strategy.

¹⁷ Greater London Authority (2016). The London Plan: Spatial Development Strategy for London Consolidated with Alterations Since 2011.

- *“Minimising carbon dioxide emissions across the site, including the building and services (such as heating and cooling systems)”*
- *“Minimising pollution (including...air)”*

Policy 7.14 “Improving Air Quality” states that:

- *“Minimise increased exposure to existing poor air quality and make provision to address local problems of air quality (particularly within Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) and where development is likely to be used by large numbers of those particularly vulnerable to poor air quality, such as children or older people) such as by design solutions, buffer zones or steps to promote greater use of sustainable transport modes through travel plans...;*
- *Promote sustainable design and construction to reduce emissions from the demolition and construction of buildings following the best practice guidance in the GLA and London Councils’ ‘The control of dust and emissions from construction and demolition’;*
- *Be at least ‘air quality neutral’ and not lead to further deterioration of existing poor air quality (such as areas designated as Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs));*
- *Ensure that where provision needs to be made to reduce emissions from a development, this is usually made on-site. Where it can be demonstrated that on-site provision is impractical or inappropriate, and that it is possible to put in place measures having clearly demonstrated equivalent air quality benefits, planning obligations or planning conditions should be used as appropriate to ensure this, whether on a scheme by scheme basis or through joint area based approaches*
- *Where the development requires a detailed air quality assessment and biomass boilers are included, the assessment should forecast pollutant concentrations. Permission should only be granted if no adverse air quality impacts from the biomass boiler are identified.”*

2.4.3 The Mayor of London Transport Strategy

On the 21st June 2017 the Mayor of London published the draft, The Mayors Transport Strategy¹⁸ setting out the Mayor’s “policies and proposals”, enabling transport in London to be reshaped over the next 25 years.

The key themes within the strategy are; healthy streets and healthy people, good public transport experiences, new homes and jobs.

Chapter 3, section C “Improving air quality and the environment” includes policy 5 and 6 which relate to transport and air quality.”

Policy 5 states:

“The Mayor, through TfL and working with the boroughs, will take action to reduce emissions – in particular diesel emissions – from vehicles on London’s streets, to

¹⁸ Greater London Authority (2017). Mayor’s Transport Strategy.

improve air quality and support London reaching compliance with UK and EU legal limits as soon as possible. Measures will include retrofitting vehicles with equipment to reduce emissions, promoting electrification, road charging, the imposition of parking charges/ levies, responsible procurement, the making of traffic restrictions/ regulations and local actions.”

Policy 6 states:

“Boroughs, and working with other transport providers, will seek to make London’s transport network zero carbon by 2050, which will also deliver further improvements in air quality, by transforming London’s streets and transport infrastructure so as to enable zero emission operation, and by supporting and accelerating the uptake of ultra-low and zero emission technologies.”

2.5 Local Level – (London Borough of Camden)

2.5.1 London Borough of Camden Local Plan

The LBC Local Plan, adopted on 3rd July 2017, sets out LBC’s planning policies and replaces the Core Strategy and Development Policies planning documents. The LBC local Plan contains two policies relating to dust and air quality.

Policy A1 states:

‘The Council will seek to protect the quality of life of occupiers and neighbours. We will grant permission for development unless this causes unacceptable harm to amenity. We will:

- a) seek to ensure that the amenity of communities, occupiers and neighbours is protected;*
- b) seek to ensure development contributes towards strong and successful communities by balancing the needs of development with the needs and characteristics of local areas and communities;*
- c) resist development that fails to adequately assess and address transport impacts affecting communities, occupiers, neighbours and the existing transport network; and*
- d) require mitigation measures where necessary.*

The factors we will consider include:

- e) visual privacy, outlook; sunlight, daylight and overshadowing; artificial lighting levels;*
- f) transport impacts, including the use of Transport Assessments, Travel Plans and Delivery and Servicing Management Plans;*
- g) impacts of the construction phase, including the use of Construction Management Plans;*

- h) noise and vibration levels;
- i) odour, fumes and dust;
- j) microclimate;
- k) contaminated land; and
- l) impact upon water and wastewater infrastructure.’

Policy CC4 states that:

‘The Council will ensure that the impact of development on air quality is mitigated and ensure that exposure to poor air quality is reduced in the borough.

The Council will take into account the impact of air quality when assessing development proposals, through the consideration of both the exposure of occupants to air pollution and the effect of the development on air quality. Consideration must be taken to the actions identified in the Council’s Air Quality Action Plan.

Air Quality Assessments (AQAs) are required where development is likely to expose residents to high levels of air pollution. Where the AQA shows that a development would cause harm to air quality, the Council will not grant planning permission unless measures are adopted to mitigate the impact. Similarly, developments that introduce sensitive receptors (i.e. housing, schools) in locations of poor air quality will not be acceptable unless designed to mitigate the impact.

Development that involves significant demolition, construction or earthworks will also be required to assess the risk of dust and emissions impacts in an AQA and include appropriate mitigation measures to be secured in a Construction Management Plan.’

2.5.2 London Borough of Camden Air Quality Action Plan

LBC has produced its own Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) for the period 2016 to 2018¹⁹ to replace the previous action plan which was in place from 2013 to 2015.

The key objectives for the plan are to:

- *“Encourage reductions in fossil fuel use, the adoption of clean fuels and low emission technology and promote energy efficiency;*
- *Raise awareness about air quality in Camden and promote lifestyle changes which can help reduce levels of air pollution and minimise exposure to air pollution;*
- *Improve the health and well-being of the local population, including those that work and visit Camden;*
- *Work in partnership with national and regional bodies, and with local public and private organisation, to foster and drive improvements in air quality;*
- *Lead by example and reduce NO₂ and PM₁₀ emissions associated with the Council’s own buildings and transport services; and*

¹⁹ London Borough of Camden (2016): ‘Camden’s Clean Air Action Plan 2016-2018’

- *Ensure actions which serve to reduce NO₂ and PM₁₀ emissions complement actions to mitigate CO₂ emissions.”*

Section 2 of the AQAP applies to emissions from buildings and new developments. Camden has a requirement for *“new developments to meet all best practise planning guidance available, including GLA 2014 Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition SPG, and the GLA’s 2014 Sustainable Design and Construction SPG, which requires new developments to be ‘air quality neutral’.”*

The AQAP sets out a number of actions relating to new developments which include:

- **Action 12:** *“Continue to work with developers and King’s College London to explore best in class dust mitigation measures on Camden’s construction sites.”*
- **Action 14:** *“Minimise emissions from the construction and operation of new developments by requiring developers to adhere to current and any superseding best practise guidance and supplementary planning guidance.”*
- **Action 15:** *“Continue to use planning conditions and obligations to require developers to adopt measures which will reduce transport emissions during operational phase of developments.”*
- **Action 16:** *“Require developers to undertake an air quality assessment (AQA) in circumstances where a new development could have a negative impact on air quality where the development is adjacent to sensitive receptors such as schools, nurseries, hospitals and doctors’ surgeries, or where the development will introduce new receptors into an area of existing poor air quality.”*
- **Action 18:** *“Ensure the enforcement of Non Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality polices for new developments.”*

Camden have also set out a transport strategy for 2011 – 2031²⁰ which outlines the actions the borough will take to deliver transport policies and environmental objectives including reducing air pollution.

²⁰ London Borough of Camden (2011): ‘Camden’s Transport Strategy’

3. Methodology

3.1 Overview

This section provides the details of the methodological approach taken to assess the impacts on air quality from the construction and operation of the proposed development.

3.2 Scope of the Assessment

3.2.1 Construction Phase

A construction dust assessment was carried out to consider impacts from ‘disamenity’ (or ‘nuisance’) dust, as discussed in Appendix A3, associated with annoyance. The development has the potential to generate dust during the construction phase of the project. Although there are no standards (such as AQO) for dust disamenity or annoyance, various ‘custom and practice’ criteria have become established.

For the purposes of this assessment, IAQM’s 2016 construction dust guidance²¹ has been used. The IAQM guidance provides a methodology (Appendix B) to evaluate potential risk of dust generation for a development and the level of mitigation required. The impact of the development is described using one of the following three categories: ‘Low Risk’, ‘Medium Risk’ and ‘High Risk’. Based on the risk level, appropriate mitigation measures can be considered to minimise any effects of dust from the construction phase.

3.2.2 Operational Phase

The Transport Statement (TS) prepared by WSP in June 2018 concluded that, the total number of daily trip generation will be 19 based on the TRICS output report presented within the TS Appendix C. It should be noted that this level of vehicular trips is based solely on a TRICS assessment. According to the TS, the proposed development site is well located for sustainable modes, achieving the maximum PTAL value of 6b, and there is no proposed parking, nor is there any long-stay parking available in the near vicinity. Any commuters looking to use the private car would have to locate inner city parking or combine modes.

Since the proposed development will not introduce any additional parking provisions and therefore based on the EPUK criteria set out in Appendix A, the need for detailed traffic modelling can be scoped out of this assessment.

The proposed development will not include any new plant or associated flue stacks, therefore the need for stack dispersion modelling can be scoped out.

Policy within the London Plan requires developments to be ‘air quality neutral’, the aim of which is to bring forward developments that are air quality neutral or better and that do not degrade air quality in areas where air quality objectives are not currently

²¹ Institute of Air Quality Management (2014): ‘Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction’

being achieved. Guidance for undertaking the assessment are given in the following two documents:

- *The Air Quality Neutral Planning Support Update 2014*
- *Mayor of London Sustainable Design and Construction Supplementary Planning Guidance 2014*

The Sustainable Design and Construction SPG provides typical emission rates of NO_x and PM₁₀ for transport and building emissions for each land-use class. The Transport Emission Benchmarks (TEB) are location dependant and considers whether a development is located within inner or outer London. The Buildings Emissions Benchmark (BEB) is not location dependant.

Based on the Energy and Sustainability Statement prepared by Project 23 Ltd. (Dated: June 2018), the proposed development will be using Photovoltaic (PV) panels with high energy efficiency ratios. No additional fuel is to be used, therefore fugitive NO_x and PM₁₀ emissions are not expected. Therefore, an assessment of the proposed development plant against BEB is not required. The proposed development will not introduce any additional parking spaces and therefore an assessment against the TEB is also not required. Hence, the need for an Air Quality Neutral Assessment can be scoped out.

For the purpose of this assessment, commercial suitability has been assessed by comparing the background data against the relevant AQO as presented within Section 2.2.4.

4. Baseline Conditions

4.1 Overview

The following section sets out the baseline conditions in relation to air quality for the proposed development. Baseline air quality information is available from a number of sources including local and national monitoring data reports and websites. For the purposes of this assessment, data has been obtained from the Defra air quality resource website²² and from the latest LBC Annual Status Report (ASR)²³.

4.2 Existing Baseline Conditions

LBC declared a borough wide AQMA in 2002 for exceedance of the annual mean NO₂ and the 24-hour mean PM₁₀ objective.

LBC undertook automatic (continuous) monitoring of NO₂ and PM₁₀ at four monitoring locations in 2016. LBC also monitored NO₂ using diffusion tubes at 14 sites across the borough. No PM_{2.5} monitoring data were available.

The nearest automatic monitoring station to the proposed development is Shaftesbury Avenue monitor (Ref: CD3) which is at a roadside location approximately 511 m northwest of the site. The nearest urban background monitor is London Bloomsbury (Ref: LB) which is 635 m northwest of the site. The nearest non-automatic monitors are the Bloomsbury Street monitor (Ref: CA21) located approximately 519 m northwest of the site. Figure 4.1 presents the relevant monitoring locations.

Table 4.1 presents the relevant monitored NO₂ annual mean and 1-hour mean concentrations recorded at these sites from 2014 to 2016. Table 4.2 presents the monitored PM₁₀ annual mean concentrations recorded at the Shaftesbury Avenue monitoring site from 2014 to 2016. The nearest PM_{2.5} monitoring site is the London Bloomsbury automatic monitoring site, however, no data provided within the latest ASR and therefore not presented in this section.

Table 4.1 shows the annual mean NO₂ concentration exceeded the AQO annual mean objective of 40 µg/m³ at all the monitoring sites presented below. The allowed maximum number of short term exceedances of 18 times a year was met by all automatic monitoring sites. Table 4.2 shows the annual mean PM₁₀ concentration was below the AQO of 40 µg/m³ from 2014 to 2016. The 24-hour mean PM₁₀ AQO was exceeded has been exceeded for all years presented below but stayed within the maximum 35 times allowance in a year limit.

²² Department for Environmental Food and Rural Affairs. Accessible at: <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2015>

²³ London Borough of Camden (2017): 'LB Camden Air Quality Annual Status Report for 2016'

Table 4.1: LBC Annual and Hourly Mean NO₂ Monitoring Results for relevant existing monitoring sites

Site name and type	Distance from site	Method	NO ₂ Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³)			NO ₂ 1-hour Means (>200 µg/m ³)		
			2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
LB: London Bloomsbury	635m	Automatic	45	48	42	0	0	0
CD3: Shaftesbury Avenue	511m	Automatic	69	83	83	1 (140.4)	Date capture issue	Date capture issue
CA21: Bloomsbury Street	519m	Non-automatic	81	71	72			

Note: Exceedances of objectives highlighted in **bold**.

Table 4.2: LBC Annual and Hourly Mean PM₁₀ Monitoring Results for relevant existing monitoring sites

Site name and type	Distance from proposed site	Method	PM ₁₀ Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³)			PM ₁₀ 24-hour Means (>50 µg/m ³)		
			2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
LB: London Bloomsbury	635m	Automatic	20	22	20	11	6	9
CD3: Shaftesbury Avenue	511m	Automatic	25	22	18	16	4	No data

Note: Exceedances of objectives highlighted in **bold**.



Figure 4.1: Nearest local air quality monitoring sites

4.3 Defra Background Pollution Concentrations

Defra provides background pollution concentration estimates to assist local authorities undertake their ‘Review and Assessment’ work. This data is available to download from Defra air quality resource website for NO_x, NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} for every 1 km X 1 km grid square for all local authorities. The current dataset is based on 2015 background data and the future year projections are available for 2015 to 2030. The background dataset provides breakdown of pollution concentrations by different sources (both road and non-road sources).

Table 4.3 presents the predicted background concentrations for the study area for the earliest year of occupation (2018) for the relevant receptor locations.

Table 4.3: Defra Projected Background Concentrations (for all receptors)

Pollutant	Concentration (µg/m ³)
NO₂	44.97
PM₁₀	20.68
PM_{2.5}	13.08

Note: Data presented within the table are derived from the following ordinance survey grid squares: 530500, 181500.

5. Potential Impacts

5.1 Construction Phase

The construction phase of the proposed development is yet to be decided. For the purpose of this assessment the earliest construction year is assumed to be 2018. The impacts from earthworks, construction and track-out have been considered. It is understood that there will be no demolition involved during the construction phase of the project. In order to assess the worst-case scenario, it has been assumed that all activities will be carried out for the duration of the construction period. Figure 5.1 shows the construction dust assessment study area based on the recommended distances by IAQM.

Magnitude and sensitivity descriptors that have been applied to assess the overall impact of the construction phase are presented in Appendix C.

Table 5.1 presents the potential dust emission magnitude based on project specific construction activities and is based on the criteria presented in Table C 1 within Appendix C. The proposed development will not involve any demolition work; therefore, this has not been considered any further. There are no ecological designated sites within or in close proximity to the study area. Therefore, ecological effects are not considered any further within close proximity.




Figure 5.1: Construction assessment buffers

Table 5.1: Dust Emission Magnitude

Activity	Dust Emission Magnitude
Demolition	Small
Earthworks	Medium
Construction	Medium
Track Out	Medium

Table 5.2 presents the sensitivity of receptors to effects caused by construction activities and is based on the criteria presented in Table C 2 within Appendix C.

Table 5.2: Sensitivity of Study Area

Potential Impact	Sensitivity of the surrounding area			
	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout
Dust Soiling	High	High	High	High
Human Health	High	High	High	High

The overall risk of receptors to dust soiling effects and PM₁₀ effects are presented in Table 5.3. Risk is based on the criteria presented in Table C 3 to Table C 6 within Appendix C.

Table 5.3: Summary of the Risk of Construction Effects

Sensitivity of Area	Risk			
	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout
Dust Soiling	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
Human Health	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk

Based on the above, the largest risk associated with all construction activities are considered to be 'Medium Risk' with regards to dust soiling and 'Medium Risk' with regards to human health. Based on the outcome of the construction dust assessment, mitigation measures appropriate for the proposed development have been presented in Section 6 Overall, the impacts from disamenity dust and PM₁₀ from the construction phase of the proposed development are considered to be not significant.

5.2 Operational Impacts

There are no significant operational impacts associated with the proposed development, as discussed in Section 3.2.2.

In terms of commercial suitability, the proposed development will install mechanical ventilation (supply and extract) to all occupied areas with heat recovery at 70% efficiency. Based on this the proposed development is considered to be suitable for commercial use.

6. Proposed Mitigation Measures

6.1 Construction Phase Mitigation Measures

Mitigation measures have been set out in Appendix E in accordance with mitigation measures set out in the IAQM guidance for construction dust to reduce the potential impacts presented in Section 5.

The risks of construction activities in relation to dust soiling were deemed 'Medium Risk' and all risks to human health were also deemed 'Medium Risk'. Therefore, it is recommended that the mitigation measures appropriate to mitigate 'Medium Risk' effects as proposed in Appendix E are applied during the construction phase.

6.2 Operational Phase Mitigation Measures

No specific mitigation measures are considered necessary beyond that already included in the project design.

7. Conclusion

This report provides an assessment of the following potential key impacts associated with the construction and operational phases of the proposed development at 212-214 High Holborn, London, WC17 7BW:

- Nuisance, loss of amenity and health impacts associated with the construction phase of the development on sensitive receptors;
- Changes in traffic related pollutant concentrations associated with the operational phase of the proposed development; and
- Commercial suitability of the proposed development location to introduce new receptors.

A qualitative assessment of construction dust effects has been undertaken for the proposed scheme. The construction phase is predicted to have a 'Low Risk' to 'Medium Risk' of nuisance and/or loss of amenity impacts due to dust nuisance. However, the risk of dust nuisance can be mitigated by implementing the appropriate mitigation measures listed in Appendix D. In summary, the impact of construction dust is not considered significant.

The development will not generate significant additional road traffic during the operational phase as there will be no changes to the existing car parking provision.

Proposed development will install mechanical ventilation to ensure adequate indoor air quality for the building users.

It can therefore be concluded that the proposed development is not considered to conflict with any national, regional, or local planning policy in relation to construction and operation phase dust and air quality nuisance.

Appendix A: Key Pollutants

A1. Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and nitric oxide (NO) are collectively referred as oxides of nitrogen (NO_x). During fuel combustion, atmospheric nitrogen combines with oxygen to form nitric oxide (NO), which is not considered harmful. Through, a chemical reaction with ozone (O₃), however NO can further combine with oxygen to create NO₂ which is harmful to human health and vegetation. The foremost sources of NO₂ in the UK are from combustion sources produced mainly by road traffic and power generation.

A2. Particulate Matter

Particulate matter is a term which refers to a mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets found in the air. These particles come in many sizes and shapes and can be made up of hundreds of different chemicals. Some particles, such as dust, dirt, soot, or smoke, are large or dark enough to be seen with the naked eye. Others can be so small that they can only be detected using an electron microscope. Fine dust, essentially particles up to 10 micron (µm), is commonly referred to as PM₁₀.

PM₁₀ is known to arise from a number of sources such as construction sites, road traffic movement, industrial and agricultural activities. Very fine particles (PM_{2.5} – PM_{0.1}) are known to be associated with pollutants such as oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂) emitted from power plants, industrial installation and road transport sources. PM_{2.5} is generally associated with combustion and traffic sources and is more likely to be associated with the operational phase of the proposed development.

A3. 'Disamenity' Dust

'Dust' is generally regarded as particulate matter up to 75 µm (micron) diameter and in an environmental context can be considered in two categories, according to size: coarser dust (essentially particles greater than 10 µm) and fine particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) as set out above.

Coarser dust (essentially particles greater than 10 µm) is generally regarded as 'disamenity dust' and can be associated with annoyance, although there are no official standards (such as AQO) for dust annoyance. Disamenity dust is more readily described than defined as it relates to the visual impact of short-lived dust clouds and the long-term soiling of surfaces.

Although it is a widespread environmental phenomenon, dust is also generated through many human activities including industrial and materials handling sites, construction and demolition sites and roads. Dust is generally produced by mechanical action on materials and is carried by moving air when there is sufficient energy in the airstream. More energy is required for dust to become airborne than for it to remain suspended.

Appendix B: Operational Impact Assessment Methodology

The EPUK & IAQM guidance refers to the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order (England) 2010 [(Wales) 2012] for a definition of a ‘major’ development when scoping assessments required for the planning process. Based on the guidance, a ‘major’ development is such development where:

- The number of dwellings is 10 or above;
- The residential development is carried out of a site of more than 0.5ha where the number of dwellings is unknown;
- The provision of more than 1,000 m² commercial floorspace; or,
- Development carried out on land of 1ha or more.

It is recommended that consideration should be given to reduce impacts from any ‘major’ developments by considering:

- The impact of existing sources in the local area on the proposed development; and
- The impacts of the proposed development on the local area.

The assessment process involves two stages where:

Stage 1 scope out the need for an air quality assessment and **Stage 2** provide guidance of determining the level of assessment required for a project.

Table B 1 below sets out the Stage 1 criteria to determine the need to assess impacts arising from small developments and Table B 2 provides more specific guidance as to when an air quality assessment is likely to be required to assess the impacts of the proposed development on the local area.

Table B 1: Stage 1 Criteria to proceed to Stage 2

Criteria to Proceed to Stage 2	
A	<p>If any of the following apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • or more residential units of a site area of more than 0.5ha • More than 1,000m² of floor space for all other uses or a site area greater than 1ha
B	<p>Coupled with any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development has more than 10 parking spaces • The development will have a centralised energy facility or other centralised combustion process

Table B 2: Indicative Criteria for Requiring an Air Quality Assessment

The development will	Indicative Criteria to Proceed to an Air Quality Assessment
1. Cause a significant change in Light Duty Vehicle (LDV) traffic flows on local roads with relevant receptors. (LDV = cars and small vans <3.5t gross vehicle weight).	A change of LDV flows of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - more than 100 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA - more than 500 AADT elsewhere.
2. Cause a significant change in Heavy Duty Vehicle (HDV) flows on local roads with relevant receptors. (HDV = goods vehicles + buses >3.5t gross vehicle weight).	A change of HDV flows of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - more than 25 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA - more than 100 AADT elsewhere.
3. Realign roads, i.e. changing the proximity of receptors to	Where the change is 5m or more and the road is within an AQMA.
4. Introduce a new junction or remove an existing junction near to relevant receptors.	Applies to junctions that cause traffic to significantly change vehicle accelerate/decelerate, e.g. traffic lights, or roundabouts.
5. Introduce or change a bus station.	Where bus flows will change by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - more than 25 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA - more than 100 AADT elsewhere.
6. Have an underground car park with extraction system.	The ventilation extract for the car park will be within 20 m of a relevant receptor. Coupled with the car park having more than 100 movements per day (total in and out).
7. Have one or more substantial combustion processes, where there is a risk of impacts at relevant receptors.	Typically, any combustion plant where the single or combined NO _x emission rate is less than 5 mg/sec is unlikely to give rise to impacts, provided that the emissions are released from a vent or stack in a location and at a height that provides adequate dispersion.
NB. this includes combustion plant associated with standby emergency generators (typically associated with centralised energy centres) and shipping.	In situations where the emissions are released close to buildings with relevant receptors, or where the dispersion of the plume may be adversely affected by the size and/or height of adjacent buildings (including situations where the stack height is lower than the receptor) then consideration will need to be given to potential impacts at much lower emission rates. Conversely, where existing nitrogen dioxide concentrations are low, and where the dispersion conditions are favourable, a much higher emission rate may be acceptable.

Appendix C: Construction Dust Assessment Criteria

IAQM guidance framework on assessing the risk of dust proposes the construction phase should be split into phases dependent on their potential impacts, determining the risk for each individually. Therefore, this assessment has determined the risk of the four construction categories put forward by the IAQM guidance:

- Demolition;
- Earthworks;
- Construction; and
- Track out (transport of dust and dirt onto the public road network).

The IAQM guidance framework states that the risk of dust impacts from the four categories can be defined as 'negligible', 'low risk', 'medium risk' or 'high risk' depending upon the scale and nature of the construction activity and the sensitivity and proximity of receptors to the construction site boundary. This categorisation is used to put forward appropriate mitigation measures, reducing the level of effects from the dust impacts so they are not significant.

The assessment of dust impacts using the IAQM guidance considers three separate effects from dust:

- Annoyance due to dust soiling;
- Harm to ecological receptors; and
- The risk of health effects due to significant increase in exposure to PM₁₀.

Step 1 of the assessment is set out to screen for the requirement for a more detailed assessment for the proposed development. The screening criteria states:

A 'human receptor' within:

- 350 m of the boundary of the application site; or
- 50 m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 500 m from the site entrance(s).

An 'ecological receptor' within:

- 50 m of the boundary of the application site; or
- 50 m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 500 m from the site entrance(s).

Where there are no receptors and the level of risk is deemed 'negligible', there is no need for further assessment.

Step 2A of the assessment enables the overall dust emission magnitude (small, medium or large) from each dust source (demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout) to be identified in relation with the criteria outlined in Table C 1.

Table C 1: Dust emission magnitude

Source	Large	Medium	Small
Demolition	Total building volume >50,000 m ³ , potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on-site crushing and screening, demolition activities >20 m above ground level.	Total building volume 20,000 m ³ – 50,000 m ³ , potentially dusty construction material, demolition activities <10 – 20 m above ground level.	Total building volume <20,000 m ³ , construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber), demolition activities <10 m above ground, demolition during wetter months.
Earthworks	Total site area >10,000 m ² , potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay, which will be prone to suspension when dry due to small particle size), >10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds >8 m in height, total material moved >100,000 tonnes.	Total site area 2,500 m ² – 10,000 m ² , moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt), 5-10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds 4 m – 8 m in height, total material moved 20,000 tonnes – 100,000 tonnes.	Total site area <2,500 m ² , soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand), <5 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds <4 m in height, total material moved <20,000 tonnes, earthworks during wetter months.
Construction	Total building volume >100,000 m ³ , on site concrete batching or sandblasting.	Total building volume 25,000 m ³ – 100,000 m ³ , potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on site concrete batching.	Total building volume <25,000 m ³ , construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber).
Track out	>50 HDV (>3.5t) outward movements ^a in any one day ^b , potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length >100 m.	10-50 HDV (>3.5t) outward movements ^a in any one day ^b , moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length 50 m – 100 m.	<10 HDV (>3.5t) outward movements ^a in any one day ^b , surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length <50 m.

Notes: ^a Vehicle movement is a one-way journey. i.e. from A to B, and excludes the return journey.

^b HDV movements during a construction project vary over its lifetime, and the number of movements is the maximum not the average.

Step 2B allows for the sensitivity of the area (high, medium or low) to be assessed and takes into account a number of factors:

- The specific sensitivities of receptors in the area;
- The proximity and number of those receptors;
- In the case of PM₁₀, the existing local background concentration; and
- Site specific factors, such as whether there are natural shelters, such as trees, to reduce the risk of wind-blown dust.

Receptor sensitivity has been based on the highest of any criteria being met thus, the assessment is considered as robust. The sensitivity of the area is further determined for dust soiling, human health and ecosystem effects by considering the criteria presented in Table C 2.

Table C 2: Magnitude of Receptor Sensitivity

Source	High	Medium	Low
Sensitivities of people to dust soiling effects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Users can reasonably expect enjoyment of a high level of amenity; or • The appearance, aesthetics or value of their property would be diminished by soiling; and • The people or property would reasonably be expected to be present continuously, or at least regularly for extended periods, as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. • Indicative examples include dwellings, museums and other culturally important collections, medium and long term car parks^b and car showrooms. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Users would expect^a to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity, but would not reasonably expect^a to enjoy the same level of amenity as in their home; or • The appearance, aesthetics or value of their property could be diminished by soiling; or • The people or property wouldn't reasonably be expected^a to be present here continuously or regularly for extended periods as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. • Indicative examples include parks and places of work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected^a; or • Property would not reasonably be expected^a to be diminished in appearance, aesthetics or value by soiling; or • There is transient exposure, where the people or property would reasonably be expected to be present only for limited periods of time as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. • Indicative examples include playing fields, farmland (unless commercially-sensitive horticultural), footpaths, short term car parks^b and roads.
Sensitivities of people to health effects of PM₁₀	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locations where members of the public are exposed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locations where the people exposed are workers^d, and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locations where human exposure is transient.^e

	<p>over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM₁₀ (in the case of the 24-hour objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day).^c</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicative examples include residential properties. Hospitals, schools and residential care homes should also be considered as having equal sensitivity to residential areas for the purposes of this assessment. 	<p>exposure is over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM₁₀ (in the case of the 24-hour objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicative examples include office and shop workers, but will generally not include workers occupationally exposed to PM₁₀, as protection is covered by Health and Safety at Work legislation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicative examples include public footpaths, playing fields, parks and shopping streets.
<p>Sensitivities of receptors to ecological effects</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locations with an international or national designation and the designated features may be affected by dust soiling; or • Locations where there is a community of a particularly dust sensitive species such as vascular species included in the Red Data List For Great Britain. • Indicative examples include a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) designated for acid heathlands or a local site designated for lichens adjacent to the demolition of a large site containing concrete (alkali) buildings. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locations where there is a particularly important plant species, where its dust sensitivity is uncertain or unknown; or • Locations with a national designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition. • Indicative example is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) with dust sensitive features. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locations with a local designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition. • Indicative example is a local Nature Reserve with dust sensitive features.

Notes: ^a People's expectations will vary depending on the existing dust deposition in the area, see Section 4.2.

^b Car parks can have a range of sensitivities depending on the duration and frequency that people would be expected to park their cars there, and the level of amenity they could reasonably expect whilst doing so. Car parks associated with work place or residential parking

might have a high level of sensitivity compared to car parks used less frequently and for shorter durations, such as those associated with shopping. Cases should be examined on their own merits.

^c This follows Defra guidance as set out in LAQM.TG (09).

^d Notwithstanding the fact that the air quality objectives and limit values do not apply to people in the workplace, such people can be affected to exposure of PM₁₀. However, they are considered to be less sensitive than the general public as a whole because those most sensitive to the effects of air pollution, such as young children are not normally workers. For this reason workers have been included in the medium sensitivity category.

^e There are no standards that apply to short-term exposure, e.g. one or two hours, but there is still a risk of health impacts, albeit less certain.

^f Cheffing C. M. & Farrell L. (Editors) (2005), *The Vascular Plant. Red Data List for Great Britain*, Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

The final step, step 2C allows for the risk of impacts to be defined. The dust emission magnitude derived in step 2A is combined with the sensitivity of the area defined in step 2B to determine the risk of effects on:

- Annoyance due to dust soiling;
- Harm to ecological receptors; and
- The risk of health effects due to an increase in exposure to PM₁₀.

The criteria for each of the dust sources are presented in Table C 3, Table C 4, Table C 5 and Table C 6.

Table C 3: Demolition

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table C 4: Earthworks

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table C 5: Construction

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table C 6: Track out

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Negligible
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Appendix D: Mitigation Measures for Construction Impacts

Mitigation measures set out are from IAQM guidance for construction dust and are appropriate for the mitigation of 'Low Risk' to 'Medium Risk' effects as proposed below:

- Develop and implement a stakeholder communications plan that includes community engagement before work commences on site.
- Develop a Dust Management Plan.
- Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality pollutant emissions and dust issues on the site boundary.
- Display the head or regional office contact information.
- Record and respond to all dust and air quality pollutant emissions complaints.
- Make a complaints log available to the local authority when asked.
- Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with air quality and dust control procedures, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the local authority when asked.
- Increase the frequency of site inspections by those accountable for dust and air quality pollutant emissions issues when activities with a high potential to produce dust and emissions and dust are being carried out, and during prolonged dry or windy conditions.
- Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and air quality pollutant emissions, either on or off the site, and the action taken to resolve the situation is recorded in the log book.
- Plan site layout: machinery and dust causing activities should be located away from receptors.
- Erect solid screens or barriers around dust activities or the site boundary that are, at least, as high as any stockpiles on site.
- Fully enclosure site or specific operations where there is a high potential for dust production and the site is active for an extensive period.
- Install green walls, screens or other green infrastructure to minimise the impact of dust and pollution.
- Avoid site runoff of water or mud.
- Keep site fencing, barriers and scaffolding clean using wet methods.
- Remove materials from site as soon as possible.
- Cover, seed or fence stockpiles to prevent wind whipping.
- Carry out regular dust soiling checks of buildings within 100m of site boundary and cleaning to be provided if necessary.
- Agree monitoring locations with the Local Authority.
- Where possible, commence baseline monitoring at least three months before phase begins.
- Put in place real-time dust and air quality pollutant monitors across the site and ensure they are checked regularly.
- Ensure all on-road vehicles comply with the requirements of the London Low Emission Zone.
- Ensure all non-road mobile machinery (NRMM) comply with the standards set within this guidance.
- Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary – no idling vehicles.
- Avoid the use of diesel- or petrol-powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where possible.



- Impose and signpost a maximum-speed-limit of 10mph on surfaced haul routes and work areas (if long haul routes are required these speeds may be increased with suitable additional control measures provided, subject to the approval of the nominated undertaker and with the agreement of the local authority, where appropriate).
- Produce a Construction Logistics Plan to manage the sustainable delivery of goods and materials.
- Implement a Travel Plan that supports and encourages sustainable travel (public transport, cycling, walking, and car-sharing).
- Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g. suitable local exhaust ventilation systems.
- Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter mitigation (using recycled water where possible).
- Use enclosed chutes, conveyors and covered skips.
- Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate.
- Ensure equipment is readily available on site to clean any dry spillages and clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable after the event using wet cleaning methods.
- Reuse and recycle waste to reduce dust from waste materials.
- Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials.
- Avoid scabbling (roughening of concrete surfaces) if possible.
- Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in bunded areas and are not allowed to dry out, unless this is required for a particular process, in which case ensure that appropriate additional control measures are in place.
- Regularly use a water-assisted dust sweeper on the access and local roads, as necessary, to remove any material tracked out of the site.
- Avoid dry sweeping of large areas.
- Ensure vehicles entering and leaving sites are securely covered to prevent escape of materials during transport.
- Record all inspections of haul routes and any subsequent action in a site log book.
- Install hard surfaced haul routes, which are regularly damped down with fixed or mobile sprinkler systems and regularly cleaned.
- Inspect haul routes for integrity and instigate necessary repairs to the surface as soon as reasonably practicable.
- Implement a wheel washing system (with rumble grids to dislodge accumulated dust and mud prior to leaving the site where reasonably practicable).
- Ensure there is an adequate area of hard surfaced road between the wheel wash facility and the site exit, wherever site size and layout permits.
- Access gates to be located at least 10m from receptors where possible.
- Apply dust suppressants to locations where a large volume of vehicles enter and exit the construction site.

Appendix E: Site Drawings

This drawing is subject to copyright and is not to be reproduced in part or whole without approval. Do not scale this drawing - check all dimensions on site .

Health & Safety Notes

1. Contractor must ensure that all work on site is carried out in a safe & satisfactory manner, in accordance with Health & Safety At Work Act 1974, COSHH Regulations 2002 & requirements of C.D.M



Site Area
437.4sqm (0.11 acres)

Rev.	Description			Date
 Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning 7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE 01772 258 356 10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB 01244 402 900		Client Austringer Capital Ltd		Drawing Title LOCATION PLAN
		Project HIGH HOLBORN PROPOSED OFFICES		Drawn by HK Checked by ND Date 27.10.2016 Status PLANNING Scale @ A4 1:1250 Job no. 8918 Dwg.no. L01 Rev.



**212-214 High Holborn
FRONT ELEVATION**

F

KEY TO MATERIALS


- 1 ALUMINIUM CURTAIN WALLING COLOURED DARK GREY
- 2 ALUMINIUM CLADDING SURROUND COLOURED DARK GREY
- 3 LOOK ALIKE SPANDREL PANELS
- 4 TERRACOTTA RAINSCREEN CLADDING COLOURED LIGHT GREY
- 5 ATMS TO BE REMOVED AND STONE MADE GOOD

Schedule of Accommodation

Level	GIA m2 (ft2)	Nett m2 (ft2)
Basement	344 (3703)	240 (2583)
Ground	370 (3983)	205 (2207)
Mezzanine	395 (4252)	239 (2573)
1st	395 (4252)	303 (3261)
2nd	395 (4252)	249 (2680)
3rd	396 (4263)	275 (2960)
4th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
5th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
Total	2,909 (31,312)	1,953 (21,022)

NOTE:


EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES. ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.



Rev.	Description	Date
B	Elevation Updated	26/04/2018
A	Existing chimneys updated	14/09/2017
P1	First Issue	21/04/2017
Client Austringer Capital Ltd		
Project 212-214 High Holborn		
Drawing Title Proposed Front Elevation		
Drawn by	JP	Checked by ND Date 21.04.2017
Status	PLANNING	Scale @ A1 1:50
Job no.	8918	Dwg. no. P18 Rev. B
Cassidy+Ashton Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning 7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE 01772 258 356 10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB 01244 402 900		

Health & Safety Notes

- Contractor must ensure that all work on site is carried out in a safe & satisfactory manner, in accordance with Health & Safety At Work Act 1974, COSHH Regulations 2002 & requirements of C.D.M




Schedule of Accommodation

Level	GIA m2 (ft2)	Nett m2 (ft2)
Basement	329 (3541)	191 (2056)
Ground	370 (3963)	257 (2752)
Mezzanine	109 (1173)	63 (674)
1st	114 (1224)	126 (1356)
2nd	110 (1180)	125 (1345)
3rd	110 (1180)	120 (1292)
4th	44 (474)	25 (269)
Total	1396 (15,026)	907 (9,762)

NOTE:

EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES. ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.

GA Plans as Existing



Client
Austringer Capital Ltd

Project
HIGH HOLBORN PROPOSED OFFICES

Drawing Title
GA PLANS AS EXISTING BASEMENT PLAN

Drawn by JP | Checked by ND | Date 11.05.2017

Status **PLANNING** | Scale @ A1 | 1:50

Job no. **8918** | Dwg no. **L02** | Rev.



Cassidy+Ashton C+A
www.cassidyashton.co.uk

Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning
7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE | 01772 258 356
10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB | 01244 402 900

This drawing is subject to copyright and is not to be reproduced in part or whole without approval. Do not scale this drawing - check all dimensions on site.

Health & Safety Notes

1. Contractor must ensure that all work on site is carried out in a safe & satisfactory manner, in accordance with Health & Safety At Work Act 1974, COSHH Regulations 2002 & requirements of C.D.M.




B 212-214 High Holborn
BASEMENT LEVEL PLAN

Schedule of Accommodation

Level	GIA m ² (ft ²)	Nett m ² (ft ²)
Basement	344 (3703)	240 (2578)
Ground	370 (3978)	205 (2207)
Mezzanine	395 (4252)	239 (2573)
1st	395 (4252)	303 (3261)
2nd	395 (4252)	249 (2670)
3rd	396 (4263)	275 (2960)
4th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
5th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
Total	2,909 (31,312)	1,953 (21,022)

NOTE:
EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES.
ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.



A	Revised Layout	09/01/2018
P1	First Issue	21/04/2017
Rev.	Description	Date
Client Austringer Capital Ltd		
Project 212-214 High Holborn		
Drawing Title Proposed Basement Level Plan		
Drawn by	JP	Checked by ND Date 21.04.2017
Status	PLANNING	Scale @ A1 1:50
Job no.	8918	Dwg no. P11 Rev. A
Cassidy+Ashton <small>Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning</small> <small>www.cassidyashton.co.uk</small>		C+A <small>01772 258 356</small> <small>01244 402 900</small>
<small>7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE</small> <small>10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB</small>		



This drawing is subject to copyright and is not to be reproduced in part or whole without approval. Do not scale this drawing - check all dimensions on site.

Health & Safety Notes

1. Contractor must ensure that all work on site is carried out in a safe & satisfactory manner, in accordance with Health & Safety At Work Act 1974, COSHH Regulations 2002 & requirements of C.D.M




M 212-214 High Holborn
MEZZANINE LEVEL PLAN

Schedule of Accommodation



Level	GIA m2 (ft2)	Nett m2 (ft2)
Basement	344 (3703)	240 (2573)
Ground	370 (3973)	205 (2207)
Mezzanine	395 (4252)	239 (2573)
1st	395 (4252)	303 (3261)
2nd	395 (4252)	249 (2670)
3rd	396 (4263)	275 (2930)
4th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
5th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
Total	2,909 (31,312)	1,953 (21,022)

NOTE:
EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES.
ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.

A	Revised Layout	09/01/2018
P1	First Issue	21/04/2017
Rev.	Description	Date
Client Austringer Capital Ltd		
Project 212-214 High Holborn		
Drawing Title Proposed Mezzanine Plan		
Drawn by	JP	Checked by ND Date 21.04.2017
Status	PLANNING	Scale @ A1 1:50
Job no.	8918	Dwg. no. P13 Rev. A
Cassidy+Ashton		C+A
Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning		www.cassidyashton.co.uk
7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE		01772 258 356
10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB		01244 402 900



Health & Safety Notes
 1. Contractor must ensure that all work on site is carried out in a safe & satisfactory manner, in accordance with Health & Safety At Work Act 1974, COSHH Regulations 2002 & requirements of C.D.M




2 212-214 High Holborn
2ND LEVEL PLAN


Schedule of Accommodation

Level	GIA m ² (ft ²)	Nett m ² (ft ²)
Basement	344 (3703)	240 (2573)
Ground	370 (3973)	205 (2207)
Mezzanine	395 (4252)	239 (2573)
1st	395 (4252)	303 (3261)
2nd	395 (4252)	249 (2670)
3rd	396 (4263)	275 (2960)
4th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
5th	307(3305)	221 (2379)
Total	2,909 (31,312)	1,953 (21,022)

NOTE:
 EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES.
 ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.

Revised Layout	09/01/2016
P1 First Issue	21/04/2017
Rev. Description	Date
Client Austringer Capital Ltd	
Project 212-214 High Holborn	
Drawing Title Proposed Second Floor Plan	
Drawn by JP	Checked by ND Date 21.04.2017
Status PLANNING	Scale @ A1 1:50
Job no. 8918	Diag. no. P15 Rev. A
Cassidy+Ashton <small>www.cassidyashton.co.uk</small> Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning	
<small>7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE 01772 258 356 10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB 01244 402 200</small>	





KEY:

DEMOLITION OF HISTORIC ELEMENTS - - - - -

DEMOLITION OF MODERN ADDITIONS - - - - -



NOTE:

EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES.
 ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING
 EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.

Client Austringer Properties Ltd			
Project HIGH HOLBORN PROPOSED OFFICES			
Drawing Title DEMOLITION PLANS BASEMENT PLAN			
Drawn by	JP	Checked by	ND
Date	11.05.2017		
Status	PRELIMINARY		Scale @ A1
Job no.	8918	Dwg no.	P25
Rev.	B		
Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning			
7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE		01772 258 356	
10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB		01244 402 900	

Health & Safety Notes

- Contractor must ensure that all work on site is carried out in a safe & satisfactory manner, in accordance with Health & Safety At Work Act 1974, COSHH Regulations 2002 & requirements of C.D.M




KEY:
 DEMOLITION OF HISTORIC ELEMENTS - - - - -
 DEMOLITION OF MODERN ADDITIONS - - - - -

NOTE:
 EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES.
 ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING
 EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.



Client Austringer Capital Ltd			
Project HIGH HOLBORN PROPOSED OFFICES			
Drawing Title DEMOLITION PLANS MEZZANINE PLAN			
Drawn by JP	Checked by ND	Date 11.05.2017	Scale @ A1 1:50
Job no. 8918	Diag.no. P27	Rev. A	
Cassidy+Ashton www.cassidyashton.co.uk Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning			C+A
7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE 01772 258 356 10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB 01244 402 900			

Health & Safety Notes

- Contractor must ensure that all work on site is carried out in a safe & satisfactory manner, in accordance with Health & Safety At Work Act 1974, COSHH Regulations 2002 & requirements of C.D.M



Fourth floor to be demolished to allow for additional storey



KEY:
 DEMOLITION OF HISTORIC ELEMENTS - - - - -
 DEMOLITION OF MODERN ADDITIONS - - - - -

NOTE:
 EXISTING SURVEY CARRIED OUT BY CTES.
 ACCURATE SURVEY DATA HAS BEEN USED IN PRODUCING EXISTING AND PROPOSED PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.

Client Austringer Capital Ltd			
Project HIGH HOLBORN PROPOSED OFFICES			
Drawing Title DEMOLITION PLANS THIRD AND FOURTH FLOOR			
Drawn by	JP	Checked by	ND
Date	11.05.2017	Scale	@ A1
Status	PLANNING	Scale	@ A1
Job no.	8918	Diag. no.	P29
Rev.		Rev.	A
Cassidy+Ashton			
www.cassidyashton.co.uk			
Architecture + Building Surveying + Town Planning			
7 East Cliff, Preston, Lancashire, PR1 3JE		01772 258 356	
10 Hunters Walk, Canal Street, Chester, CH1 4EB		01244 402 900	