				Printed on:	14/09/2018
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2018/3929/P	Simon Parry-Wingfield	13/09/2018 12:12:48	OBJ	Re: 2018/3929/P - 18 Lancaster Grove I object to the proposed amendments to the original planning permission. The gables, pediment ar agreed features are in my opinion integral to making the building appear coherent and consistent wenvironment. As currently constructed the building is totally out of character with its surroundings a presents a very bulky, bland, stark and domineering façade on a street that has plenty of character which this new building completely lacks. As it stands there is nothing that breaks up the bulk of the or softens its square lines. It needs the agreed features to make it blend in. If I am not mistaken, the original planning for this location was granted for a house with an Arts & Codesign which was intended to enhance the character of the Belsize Conservation area. The house proposed is far from that concept and detracts from the local character of the Conservation Area. Furthermore a very similar proposal to erect a building without gables has already been refused be because it would have been out of keeping with the local area. I also think that a stand must be taken against people who build with total disregard for the planning agreements and then later seek to get the planning changed. It has already been conceded to dro glazed bar windows, which many local people objected to. I had understood this was agreed on the remainder of the house would be finished as per the approved planning consent. This increme approach to amending the design seems wrong. Where will it stop? It sets a poor precedent if this to proceed. Further deviation from the original plans which were approved after much input from loresidents should therefore be strongly resisted. For all these reasons, these amendments should be refused and the original planning should be en	with the local and and and charm, e building crafts style as now fore (2016) g p the e basis that intalist is is allowed ocal
				For all these reasons, these amendments should be refused and the original planning should be er	ntorced.

09:10:05