Printed on: 05/09/2018 09:10:03

pplication No: 018/3264/P	Consultees Name: Anita Hoffmann	Received: 04/09/2018 13:00:56	Comn
			OBJ

The new drawings have increased the height of the building beyond previously approved height.

The original drawings stated that the height on the side of the building abutting 150a would be 5300 mm. The new drawings state the height of this wall at 5400mm. I.e. adding 10 cm to the height of the building.

The original drawings underestimated where the roof-line will be vis-à-vis the windows of 150a and 152a with 18-20cm [see my comments to original application and appeal), and the light estimates etc. were produced from this false figure. Adding yet another 10 cm would mean the building will in reality become almost a foot higher than the original height that were permitted, with all the accompanying problems for 152 and 150a.

Openable skylights
The original drawings had no openable skylights mentioned. I would prefer that they are not openable as noise from 150 will be a big concern for 150a as four window \dashv leading directly into properties of the interval of the state of

Solar panels

There is no mention of the solarpanels from the original approved plans. I remind the council of the promises made in the meeting with the Planning Inspectorate and the Camden council planning representatives including Ms Tessa Craig, in the presence of Barbara Brend (owner of 148 Haverstock Hill) and myself withessing this that they promised solar panels will not be raised beyond 15 degrees from the roof surface as otherwise they will block all windows for 152a and for 150a even on the third floor.

Printed on: 05/09/2018 09:10:03

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: 2018/3264/P Anita Hoffmann 04/09/2018 13:00:44 OBJ

The new drawings have increased the height of the building beyond previously approved height.

The original drawings stated that the height on the side of the building abutting 150a would be 5300 mm. The new drawings state the height of this wall at 5400mm. I.e. adding 10 cm to the height of the building.

The original drawings underestimated where the roof-line will be vis-à-vis the windows of 150a and 152a with 18-20cm [see my comments to original application and appeal), and the light estimates etc. were produced from this false figure. Adding yet another 10 cm would mean the building will in reality become almost a foot higher than the original height that were permitted, with all the accompanying problems for 152 and 150a.

Openable skylights
The original drawings had no openable skylights mentioned. I would prefer that they are not openable as noise from 150 will be a big concern for 150a as four window \dashv leading directly into decrease.

Solar panels

There is no mention of the solarpanels from the original approved plans. I remind the council of the promises made in the meeting with the Planning Inspectorate and the Camden council planning representatives including Ms Tessa Craig, in the presence of Barbara Brend (owner of 148 Haverstock Hill) and myself withessing this that they promised solar panels will not be raised beyond 15 degrees from the roof surface as otherwise they will block all windows for 152a and for 150a even on the third floor.