

71 Goldhurst Terrace,
NW6 3HA

Basement Impact Assessment
Audit

For

London Borough of Camden

Project Number: 12727-90
Revision: D1

August 2018

Campbell Reith Hill LLP
Friars Bridge Court
41-45 Blackfriars Road
London
SE1 8NZ

T: +44 (0)20 7340 1700
E: london@campbellreith.com
W: www.campbellreith.com

Document History and Status

Revision	Date	Purpose/Status	File Ref	Author	Check	Review
D1	August 2018	Comment	12727-90-210818-71 Goldhurst Terrace-D1.doc	A Gleeson	G Kite	G Kite

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of Campbell Reith Hill LLP’s (CampbellReith) appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of the appointment. It is addressed to and for the sole use and reliance of CampbellReith’s client. CampbellReith accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, stated in the document, for which it was prepared and provided. No person other than the client may copy (in whole or in part) use or rely on the contents of this document, without the prior written permission of Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

© Campbell Reith Hill LLP 2015

Document Details

Last saved	21/08/2018 16:08
Path	12727-90-210818-71 Goldhurst Terrace-D1.doc
Author	A Gleeson
Project Partner	E M Brown, BSc MSc CGeol FGS
Project Number	12727-90
Project Name	71 Goldhurst Terrace, NW6 3HA
Planning Reference	2018/1610/P

Contents

1.0	Non-technical summary	1
2.0	Introduction	3
3.0	Basement Impact Assessment Audit Check List	5
4.0	Discussion	8
5.0	Conclusions	11

Appendix

- Appendix 1: Residents’ Consultation Comments
- Appendix 2: Audit Query Tracker
- Appendix 3: Supplementary Supporting Documents

1.0 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

- 1.1. CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden, (LBC) to carry out an audit on the Basement Impact Assessment submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for 71 Goldhurst Terrace, NW6 3HA (planning reference 2018/1610/P). The basement is considered to fall within Category B as defined by the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2. The Audit reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development in accordance with LBC's policies and technical procedures.
- 1.3. CampbellReith was able to access LBC's Planning Portal and gain access to the latest revision of submitted documentation and reviewed it against an agreed audit check list.
- 1.4. The qualifications of the authors of the BIA and the associated reports are in compliance with the requirements of CPG Basements.
- 1.5. The BIA Audit Instruction confirmed that the site is situated within the South Hampstead Conservation Area and that there are no listed building neighbouring the site.
- 1.6. The proposed works include the excavation of a new single story basement to a depth of approximately 3.8m to the full footprint of the existing building, extending to the front of the property to form a new lightwell.
- 1.7. The ground conditions are indicated to be 0.7m of Made Ground overlying the London Clay, designated unproductive strata. Groundwater was not encountered during the ground investigation. The BIA recommends that the contractor make an allowance for temporary dewatering of any perched water encountered.
- 1.8. The proposed work involves removing a number of trees. The screening and scoping identify that an arboricultural report should be provided. This should be provided and reviewed by LBC's Tree and Landscape Officer to confirm if the proposed basement impacts the tree root protection zone.
- 1.9. The structural report notes that the basement walls are designed as cantilevers. It's noted that the calculations provided include for a prop to the top of the wall. The structural proposals should be confirmed.
- 1.10. A ground movement assessment (GMA) has been undertaken. The GMA indicates the potential damage to neighbouring properties as no higher than Category 1 (Very Slight) on the Burland Scale. The GMA is considered to be reasonably conservative and assumes low stiffness (cantilever) retaining walls.

- 1.11. The BIA suggest transitional underpins may be required. However, the structural proposals do not consider transitional underpins. This should be clarified and transitional underpins adopted within the structural proposals, if required.
- 1.12. Proposals are provided for a structural movement monitoring strategy during excavation and construction, including reasonable trigger values. However, the upstairs flats to 71 Goldhurst Terrace should also be included in the monitoring proposals.
- 1.13. The site is within the Goldhurst Local Flood Risk Zone. The Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) report indicates the site to be at a very low risk of flooding.
- 1.14. It is accepted that the increase to the hardstanding is negligible and that there will be no impacts to the wider hydrological environment.
- 1.15. It is accepted that there are no slope stability concerns regarding the proposed development and it is not in an area prone to flooding.
- 1.16. An outline construction programme should be provided.
- 1.17. Queries and requests for further information are discussed in Section 4 and summarised in Appendix 2. Until the additional information is provided, the BIA does not meet the criteria of CPG Basements.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1. CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden (LBC) on 03 July 2018 to carry out a Category B Audit on the Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for 71 Goldhurst Terrace, NW6 3HA.
- 2.2. The Audit was carried out in accordance with the Terms of Reference set by LBC. It reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development.
- 2.3. A BIA is required for all planning applications with basements in Camden in general accordance with policies and technical procedures contained within:
- Guidance for Subterranean Development (GSD). Issue 01. November 2010. Ove Arup & Partners.
 - Camden Planning Guidance Basements. March 2018.
 - Camden Development Policy (DP) 27: Basements and Lightwells.
 - Camden Development Policy (DP) 23: Water.
 - Local Plan Policy A5 Basements.
- 2.4. The BIA should demonstrate that schemes:
- a) maintain the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties;
 - b) avoid adversely affecting drainage and run off or causing other damage to the water environment;
 - c) avoid cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area, and;
 - d) evaluate the impacts of the proposed basement considering the issues of hydrology, hydrogeology and land stability via the process described by the GSD and to make recommendations for the detailed design.
- 2.5. LBC's Audit Instruction described the planning proposal as *"Excavation of a basement and creation of lightwell to the front of the property, erection of a single storey infill extension and single storey rear extension and the repositioning of the railings to the front of the property."*

The Audit Instruction also confirms that the proposals for 71 Goldhurst Terrace does not involve any listed buildings, nor is neighbour to a listed building.

2.6. CampbellReith accessed LBC's Planning Portal on 15 July 2018 and gained access to the following relevant documents for audit purposes:

- Basement Impact Assessment Engineering Method Statement dated March 2018 by Green Structural Engineering
- Basement Impact Assessment dated March 2018 by GabrielGeo Consulting (Parts 1-29)
- Floor Risk Assessment (FRA) by Opera Architects dated May 2018
- Planning Application Drawings by Opera Architects dated April 2018 consisting of:
 - Site Location Plan
 - Proposed Plans, Sections and Elevations
- Design & Access Statement
- Planning Comments and Response

3.0 BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUDIT CHECK LIST

Item	Yes/No/NA	Comment
Are BIA Author(s) credentials satisfactory?	Yes	
Is data required by Cl.233 of the GSD presented?	No	Programme of works not included
Does the description of the proposed development include all aspects of temporary and permanent works which might impact upon geology, hydrogeology and hydrology?	No	Structural proposals to be confirmed e.g. props to retaining walls, transitional underpins, existing underpins / foundations etc
Are suitable plans/maps included?	Yes	
Do the plans/maps show the whole of the relevant area of study and do they show it in sufficient detail?	Yes	
Land Stability Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	
Hydrogeology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	
Hydrology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	
Is a conceptual model presented?	Yes	
Land Stability Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	Yes	

Item	Yes/No/NA	Comment
Hydrogeology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	Yes	
Hydrology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	Yes	
Is factual ground investigation data provided?	Yes	
Is monitoring data presented?	Yes	
Is the ground investigation informed by a desk study?	Yes	
Has a site walkover been undertaken?	Yes	
Is the presence/absence of adjacent or nearby basements confirmed?	Yes	
Is a geotechnical interpretation presented?	Yes	
Does the geotechnical interpretation include information on retaining wall design?	Yes	
Are reports on other investigations required by screening and scoping presented?	No	Arboricultural report has been recommended but is not provided.
Are the baseline conditions described, based on the GSD?	Yes	
Do the base line conditions consider adjacent or nearby basements?	Yes	
Is an Impact Assessment provided?	Yes	

Item	Yes/No/NA	Comment
Are estimates of ground movement and structural impact presented?	Yes	
Is the Impact Assessment appropriate to the matters identified by screen and scoping?	Yes	
Has the need for mitigation been considered and are appropriate mitigation methods incorporated in the scheme?	Unknown	BIA references transitional underpins although not confirmed in structural proposal.
Has the need for monitoring during construction been considered?	Yes	Monitoring of upper flat to be included.
Have the residual (after mitigation) impacts been clearly identified?	Yes	
Has the scheme demonstrated that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties and infrastructure will be maintained?	Yes	Subject to confirmation of structural proposals.
Has the scheme avoided adversely affecting drainage and run-off or causing other damage to the water environment?	Yes	
Has the scheme avoided cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area?	Yes	
Does report state that damage to surrounding buildings will be no worse than Burland Category 1?	Yes	
Are non-technical summaries provided?	Yes	

4.0 DISCUSSION

- 4.1. The Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) has been carried out by Gabriel GeoConsulting, with the Structural Engineer's Method Statement carried out by Green Structural Engineering. The Flood Risk Assessment has been carried out by Opera Architects. The qualifications of the authors of the BIA and the associated reports are in compliance with the requirements of CPG Basements.
- 4.2. The BIA includes screening, scoping, site investigations and impact assessment stages as defined and required in the LBC Planning Guidance document 'CPG Basements' dated March 2018.
- 4.3. The existing building is of traditional masonry and timber construction. It has three storeys above ground with an existing lower ground floor cellar beneath part of the building. It forms part of a terrace of four houses constructed circa 1870. The house has previously been divided into flats. The site is situated mid terrace, to the east side of Goldhurst Terrace sharing party walls with No 69 to the North and No 73 to the south of the property.
- 4.4. The BIA Audit Instruction confirmed that the site is situated within the South Hampstead Conservation Area and that there are no listed buildings neighbouring the site.
- 4.5. The proposed works include the excavation of a new single story basement across the full footprint of the existing building, extending into the hard standing area to the front of the property to form a new lightwell. The depth of the proposed basement is approximately 3.8m below the existing ground floor level. It is proposed to construct the new basement by forming reinforced concrete underpins in a hit and miss sequence beneath the existing property. A new retaining wall is proposed to be constructed to form the new lightwell structure. The basement slab is to be ground bearing.
- 4.6. The BIA indicates the existing footings as stepped, shallow strip footings bearing on the London Clay. However, the trial pit investigation indicates concrete footings to a depth of up to 680mm beneath the brick corbel. It should be confirmed if the property has previously been underpinned. These existing concrete footings have not been considered as part of the structural proposals and this should be rectified.
- 4.7. A limited site investigation has been undertaken, which is not fully in accordance with LBC guidance (Guidance for Subterranean Development (GSD) Appendix G2). From a single borehole drilled on site the ground conditions are indicated to be 0.7m of Made Ground overlying the London Clay, designated unproductive strata. Groundwater was not encountered during the ground investigation. One round of subsequent monitoring in March 2018 recorded groundwater at 3.7m bgl. It is accepted that there will be no impacts to the wider

hydrogeological environment and the basement will not extend below the water table. The interpreted geotechnical parameters are suitably conservative. The BIA recommends that the contractor make an allowance for temporary dewatering of any perched water encountered. The indicative retaining wall design has considered the ground water rising to the top of the wall in line with best practice.

- 4.8. The proposed work involves removing a number of trees. The screening and scoping identify that an arboricultural report should be provided. This should be provided and reviewed by LBC's Tree and Landscape Officer to confirm if the proposed basement impacts the tree root protection zone.
- 4.9. The BIA notes the high volume change potential of the London Clay. The proposed foundation depths are beyond the zone likely to be affected by shrink / swell movements. The structural proposals note that Cordex will be used to mitigate against clay heave.
- 4.10. The structural report notes that the basement walls are designed as cantilevers. It's noted that the calculations provided include for a prop to the top of the wall. The structural proposals should be confirmed.
- 4.11. A ground movement assessment (GMA) has been undertaken. The GMA indicates the potential damage to neighbouring properties as no higher than Category 1 (Very Slight) on the Burland Scale. The GMA is considered to be reasonably conservative and assumes low stiffness (cantilever) retaining walls. Reference has been made to settlement curves in section 10.6.8., used to derive maximum deflections, and these should be provided for reference.
- 4.12. The BIA suggest transitional underpins may be required. However, the structural proposals do not consider transitional underpins. This should be clarified and transitional underpins adopted within the structural proposals, if required.
- 4.13. Proposals are provided for a structural movement monitoring strategy during excavation and construction, including reasonable trigger values. However, the upstairs flats to 71 Goldhurst Terrace should also be included in the monitoring proposals.
- 4.14. It is noted that the proposed basement is situated within Flood Zone 1 (negligible risk of flooding) and Goldhurst Terrace is listed in the 'Floods in Camden' report as having flooded in both 1975 and 2002. The site is within the Goldhurst Local Flood Risk Zone. The Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) report indicates the site to be at a very low risk of flooding. Nevertheless, mitigation actions recommended within the BIA should be adopted, including raised threshold levels and upstands to lightwells.
- 4.15. An outline construction programme should be provided, in accordance with the GSD paragraph 233.

- 4.16. It is accepted that the increase to the hardstanding is Negligible and that there will be no impact to the wider hydrological environment.
- 4.17. It is accepted that there are no slope stability concerns.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 5.1. The qualifications of the authors of the BIA and the associated reports are in compliance with the requirements of CPG Basements.
- 5.2. The proposed works include the excavation of a new single story basement to the full footprint of the existing building, extending to the front of the property to form a new lightwell.
- 5.3. A limited site investigation has Made Ground overlying the London Clay. Groundwater was not encountered during the ground investigation.
- 5.4. The BIA recommends that an aboricultural report should be provided. This should be reviewed by LBC's Tree and Landscape Officer to confirm if the proposed basement impacts tree root protection zones.
- 5.5. The structural proposals and BIA should be consistently presented in regards to structural design proposals, as discussed in Section 4.
- 5.6. A ground movement assessment (GMA) has been undertaken. The GMA indicates the potential damage to neighbouring properties as no higher than Category 1 (Very Slight) on the Burland Scale. This is accepted, pending provision of settlement curves and confirmation of structural proposals.
- 5.7. A movement monitoring strategy has been provided. The upstairs flats to 71 Goldhurst Terrace should also be included in the monitoring proposals.
- 5.8. It is accepted that the risk of flooding is negligible. Nevertheless, mitigation actions recommended within the BIA should be adopted.
- 5.9. It is accepted that the increase to the hardstanding is negligible and that there will be no impacts to the wider hydrological environment.
- 5.10. An outline construction programme should be provided.
- 5.11. Queries and requests for further information are summarised in Appendix 2. Until the additional information is provided, the BIA does not meet the criteria of CPG Basements.

Appendix 1: Residents' Consultation Comments

Residents' Consultation Comments

Surname	Address	Date	Issue raised	Response
Spitzer		09/07/18	Stability of proposed basement and impact of movement on upper level flats at Goldhurst Terrace. Impact of proposed basement on local hydrology.	Queries addressed in Section 4.
CRASH		09/07/18	Cumulative impact of proposed basement on local hydrology and hydrogeology	BIA confirms the proposed development will not adversely impact the local hydrology and hydrogeology
Noel		08/07/18	Cumulative impact of proposed basement on ground stability	BIA addresses proposed construction methods and mitigation measures to maintain stability during and following construction.

Appendix 2: Audit Query Tracker

Audit Query Tracker

Query No	Subject	Query	Status	Date closed out
1	Stability	Structural proposal to confirm if building is already underpinned and adapt design, as required.	Open	
2	Stability	BIA recommends providing transitional underpins. This should be confirmed as part of the structural design with proposals revised, if required.	Open	
3	Stability	Retaining wall design calculations to consider the walls as cantilever, as noted in BIA text / GMA.	Open	
4	Stability	Settlement curves discussed in section 4.7 to be provided for reference.	Open	
5	Stability	Monitoring proposals to be updated to include the upper floor flats at 71 Goldhurst Terrace.	Open	
6	BIA	Arboricultural information to be provided to LBC to confirm impacts to root protection zones, as applicable.	Note – arboricultural information for review by LBC's Tree & Landscape Officer.	Note Only
7	BIA	An outline construction programme should be provided.	Open	

Appendix 3: Supplementary Supporting Documents

None

London

Friars Bridge Court
41- 45 Blackfriars Road
London, SE1 8NZ

T: +44 (0)20 7340 1700
E: london@campbellreith.com

Birmingham

Chantry House
High Street, Coleshill
Birmingham B46 3BP

T: +44 (0)1675 467 484
E: birmingham@campbellreith.com

Surrey

Raven House
29 Linkfield Lane, Redhill
Surrey RH1 1SS

T: +44 (0)1737 784 500
E: surrey@campbellreith.com

Manchester

No. 1 Marsden Street
Manchester
M2 1HW

T: +44 (0)161 819 3060
E: manchester@campbellreith.com

Bristol

Wessex House
Pixash Lane, Keynsham
Bristol BS31 1TP

T: +44 (0)117 916 1066
E: bristol@campbellreith.com

UAE

Office 705, Warsan Building
Hessa Street (East)
PO Box 28064, Dubai, UAE

T: +971 4 453 4735
E: uae@campbellreith.com

Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Registered in England & Wales. Limited Liability Partnership No OC300082
A list of Members is available at our Registered Office at: Friars Bridge Court, 41- 45 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NZ
VAT No 974 8892 43