

Audit Query Tracker*

Query No	Subject	Query	Status	Date closed out
1	BIA format	Planning guidance referenced in the BIA superseded.	Closed - Current Planning guidance now correctly referenced. See Audit paragraph 4.2.	27/06/18
2	BIA format	Outline construction programme not presented.	Closed - Presented in revised CMS. See Audit paragraph 4.19.	27/06/18
3	BIA format/hydrology/hydrogeology.	Contradictory information on the increase in paved areas.	Open – to be made consistent as per Audit paragraph 4.7 as previously requested.	BIA updated on p.10
4	BIA format	Utility search not undertaken.	Open – to be undertaken to allow impacts to be assessed.	Included in BIA Appendices
5	Hydrology	Site incorrectly identified on flood risk maps, no FRA and drainage strategy.	Maps identifying correct site location now included. Flood risk assessed in updated CMS. Open- Topographic survey to be provide to support conclusions relating to surface water flood risk. Drainage strategy still not included.	Topo in CMS appendices. Drainage design in CMS p. 21 and drawing in appendix.
6	Stability	No outline retaining wall calculations.	Open – Preliminary retaining wall design included as part of GMA however this is inconsistent with proposals. See Audit paragraph 4.15.	Included in BIA p.25
7	Stability	GMA not based on proposed construction methodology. Revised GMA should be provided.	Open - GMA updated but still inconsistent with proposals. Damage category exceeds limits of Camden Planning Guidance. See Audit paragraph 4.16 and 4.17.	Included in BIA p.29
8	Stability	Monitoring proposal.	Open – Outline proposal with trigger values based on updated GMA to be presented. See Audit paragraph 4.18.	Included in BIA p.31

* Please provide complete and clear responses to the queries/comments summarised above and discussed in Section 4. Where the supporting documents are updated or revised, please provide a covering email or letter to indicate these sections.