BARRETT MAHONY CONSULTING ENGINEERS CIVIL & STRUCTURAL



No. 35 TEMPLEWOOD AVENUE, LONDON NW3 7UY

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers	DOCUMENT	PAGE
Civil . Structural . Project Management		
London: 95A Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7HR		35
Tel: +44 (0) 20 7922 1402 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7202 2680	SHEET	
Email: bmce@bmceuk.com Web: www.bmceuk.com		

PROJECT:	No. 35 TEMPLEWOOD AVENUE, LONDON NW3 7UY
PROJECT:	No. 35 TEMPLEWOOD AVENUE, LONDON NW3 7

PROJECT NO. 16.848

DOCUMENT TITLE: STRUCTURAL METHODOLOGY STATEMENT FOR BASEMENT DEVELOPMENT

DOCUMENT NO: 16.848 – RP – 02

Issue	Date	Description	Orig.	PE	PD	lssue Check
P1	08/09/2017	Issued for Comment	SL	SL	VB	
P2	11/09/2017	Issued for Comment	SL	SL	VB	
P3	27/07/2018	Update to Scheme	PS	PS	VB	

STRUCTURAL METHODOLOGY STATEMENT FOR BASEMENT DEVELOPMENT AT No. 35 TEMPLEWOOD AVENUE, LONDON NW3 7UY

ahony D JLC

CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 General
- 1.2 Brief
- 1.3 Scope

2.0 STRUCTURAL DESIGN & METHOD STATEMENT

- 2.1 Existing Structure
- 2.2 Proposed Structure
- 2.3 Re-construction of Swimming Pool
- 2.4 Proposed Temporary Works
- 2.5 Proposed Sequence of Works for Basement Construction
- 2.6 Assessment of Effect on Ground Conditions
- 2.7 Assessment of Effect on Neighbouring Properties

APPENDIX I

Preliminary Underpin Wall Calculations

APPENDIX II

Construction Sequence Drawings

Prepared by:

Shane Linehan BE(Hons), MSc, DIC, MIEI

Approved by:

Vincent Barrett BSc(Eng), MSc, DIC, Dip Struct Eng, CEng, MIEI, MIStructE, RConsEI

1.0 **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General

This report refers to the existing property at 35 Templewood Avenue, London NW3 7UY. The property is located to the west of Hampstead Heath, at the junction of Templewood Avenue and West Heath Road. The property is situated in the London Borough of Camden.

The site is occupied by an existing detached dwelling set within a garden. The existing building is L-shaped on plan and consists of part four storey, part three storey and part two storey segments. The existing building is of modern construction and is understood to have been built in the early 1990s. There is an existing glass domed building within the garden, which houses a sunken swimming pool. The swimming pool structure was constructed in 1968 following the construction of the adjacent Schreiber House. The swimming pool was originally linked to the Schreiber House, but is presently linked to the existing structure at the subject site, following a change in ownership. The Schreiber House and the swimming pool are Grade II listed.

It is proposed to apply for planning permission for the extension and alteration of the existing building at 35 Templewood Avenue. The alterations are associated with proposals to move the swimming pool to the north and separate it from the main building, as well as extending the main dwelling into the swimming pools current position and to the south east corner. A new basement is also proposed below the entire footprint of the building. This is the principal structural alteration and will be used for parking, a gymnasium, sauna, steam room and pump house for the swimming pool.

1.2 **Brief**

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers UK Ltd. (BMCEUK) have been requested to prepare a structural method statement for the basement construction on behalf of Mr. B. Coyne and Ms. K. Mitchell.

1.3 **Scope**

This report is prepared to provide structural information to the Local Authority, Client and Design Team at planning stage.

The report addresses the outline design strategy for the proposed basement as well as the proposed outline construction methodology.

The report is limited to the above items and is prepared for the benefit of the above named parties only, in respect of planning application matters. The report shall not be used for any other purpose without prior written consent from BMCEUK.

The report is primarily based on a non-intrusive site walkover by BMCEUK, existing swimming pool drawings, and review of site investigation reports. The report is based on the following sources of information:

- Existing and Proposed Architectural Drawings (Prepared by Design West, February 2018)
- Topographic Site Survey (Ref. 16067/T01-01, prepared by EDI Surveys Ltd., November 2016)
- NHBC Standards 2016
- BS EN 1992-3:1996 Eurocode 2 Design of concrete structures Liquid retaining and containing structures
- Camden CPG 4 Basements & Lightwells (2015)
- Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study: Guidance for Subterranean Development" Arup (2010)
- Site inspection by Shane Linehan of BMCEUK on 05/09/2017, accompanied by Design Team.
- Desk study, Ground investigation & Basement Impact Assessment Report by Jomas Ltd
- 35 Templewood Avenue Ground Movement Assessment (GMA) by Jomas Ltd

2.0 STRUCTURAL DESIGN & METHOD STATEMENT

2.1 Existing Structure

The existing structure is formed with precast concrete suspended floors supported by the external cavity and internal solid masonry walls. There are also a number of RC beams and columns that support the floors over larger openings. The roof of each section is formed with curved profile timber trusses.

Trail pits adjacent to the existing foundations have been undertaken on site. The foundations appear to be consist of 900x400mm deep RC ground beams spanning between deeper trench fill foundation pads. The ground floor structure appears to be a suspended RC slab which also spans between the supporting ground beams.

The building supports the internal dead (self-weight) and imposed live loads by transfer of these loads via the suspended floors to the load-bearing walls, which in turn transfer the loads to the ground beam/trench fill pad foundations below.

Lateral loads applied to the building, such as notional horizontal loads and wind loads, are currently supported by the diaphragm action of the internal precast floors which restrain the perimeter walls. The building form then acts as a rigid "box" in turn transferring the lateral loads to the foundations of the perimeter walls.

There are no obvious structural defects visible upon initial inspection. The property is in a good general condition as would be expected given its age and construction.

2.2 **Proposed Structure**

The principal proposed structural alteration to the property is the formation of a new basement structure under the footprint existing building. There will also be an extension of the basement beyond the existing building footprint at the front garage entrance and at the rear section into the area where the swimming pool is currently located. Further internal structural alterations are proposed at the upper levels.

The existing suspended ground floor will be excavated and removed during the works and reinstated on completion. The new basement floor will be approximately 3.2 m lower than the existing ground floor level.

It is proposed to underpin the external and some internal walls of the existing building. The proposed underpinning will be designed to resist lateral soil and water pressures, as well as vertical loads from the existing building. A traditional underpinning sequence has been proposed to minimise the effect on existing structures. The underpins will be cast to the soffit level of the existing ground beams. The condition of the trench fill pads will need to be assessed following the initial excavations however it is envisaged that these will be removed and replaced with the new underpin wall sections.

The underpins will be designed to distribute the vertical loads to the subsoils under the basement to limit ground pressures to safe limits obtained from in-situ soil testing. The underpin walls will be designed to resist water pressures for a conservative design water level of 1 m below ground level to allow for an extreme flooding event (e.g. a burst water main). As recommended in the Jomas Basement Impact Assessment report, all bearing foundations will be designed with a maximum ABP of 150kN/m². Sample preliminary calculations are contained in Appendix II.

The basement slab will be designed as a suspended slab spanning between the underpin bays and internal strip footings. Heave board will be provided under the basement slab to allow for clay heave as recommended in the Jomas Basement Impact Assessment report.

Lateral loads due to soil and water pressure are resisted in the permanent case by the reinforced underpin walls. The basement and ground floor slabs act as props to the underpin retaining walls. The ground floor slab will be constructed with steel beams and a concrete slab formed on Comflor 80 metal decking.

Tanking will be provided internally to the basement slab and walls using a proprietary membrane system with drained cavity. The design, detailing and installation of waterproofing will be carried out by a specialist.

2.3 <u>Re-construction of the Swimming Pool</u>

The swimming pool with glass domed roof is a listed building and was previously a part of the neighbouring Schreiber House. It is proposed to carefully dismantle the swimming pool structure and to reconstruct it to the north. Refer to Purcell Existing Fabric Assessment/Existing Methodology Report (Feb 2018) for details of the proposed method of re-constructing the swimming pool.

The reconstruction of the pool will require an excavation of the existing garden area to approximately 4.5m below existing ground level at a distance of 2m from the northern boundary with West Heath Road. This will require appropriate temporary works support on the road boundary, consisting of a propped embedded retaining wall.

Temporary retaining walls will be required on the north and western sides at the new pool location, due to nearby site boundaries. It may be more economical for the contractor to install a circular sheet pile cofferdam which would be 12-13m diameter to facilitate the excavation.

2.4 **Proposed Temporary Works**

It is envisaged that the ground floor slab will first be removed to allow the underpin bays be installed in the required sequence. The underpin bays will each be backfilled and compacted upon compilation to limit any soil movements during this stage.

After completion of the under pin bays, a significant portion of the external and internal structural walls will need to be temporarily supported as the basement excavation extends beyond the existing building footprint on two sides of the structure. It is proposed that the walls and floor slabs are temporarily supported at first floor soffit level by a series of the steel beams and a shallow temporary strip footing as shown on indicative drawings contained in Appendix III. The upper floors will be supported by a series of Acrow props along the temporary footing and on the previously constructed underpin bays.

It is envisaged that two levels of temporary propping will be required to provide stability to the underpin walls during the excavation. The propping arrangement is to provide diaphragm action at ground and basement level and limit any potential ground movements. The remaining first floor structure will provide a ridged diaphragm to transfer lateral loads to the remaining stabilising walls. The precise arrangement of all temporary propping will be designed by the contractor. However, an indicative temporary works scheme is appended in Appendix III of this report.

2.5 **Proposed Sequence of Works for Basement Construction**

It is proposed to carry out the works in the following sequence, to enable the safe excavation of the proposed basement and the protection of adjoining structures during the works. This is to be read in conjunction with drawings contained in Appendix III:

- 1. Erect hoarding to secure the site.
- 2. Submit detailed temporary works design proposals for engineer's review and approval prior to installation of temporary works elements.
- 3. Carry out 'soft-strip' of existing property to remove existing furnishings, fittings, ceilings, floor finishes etc. remove swimming pool and all associated walls that have boundary with the existing house.
- 4. Remove RC ground floor concrete slab and reduce level by 0.6m.
- 5. Carry out underpinning to existing walls noted on plan as per traditional sequence outlined in Steps 6-14 below, in maximum 1 m wide bays.
- 6. Working at existing ground floor level, excavate bays "1" to basement formation level using trench sheeting / propped trench boxes to retain the side faces of the excavation. Fix reinforcement as per engineer's drawings.
- 7. Cast the concrete for the underpins from basement formation level to 75mm below the underside of the existing ground beams at ground floor level. Leave new concrete for a minimum of 12 hours before proceeding with the next

stage. Ensure any temporary Acrow props required to support the first floor structure are also installed at this stage.

- 8. In bays "1" place dry pack at top of wall to fill the gap with the existing foundation overhead. Back-fill bays "1" to maintain safe working platform at ground floor level and to ensure lateral support to the base of the existing wall.
- 9. Repeat steps 6-8 for bays "2".
- 10. Repeat steps 6-8 for bays "3".
- 11. Repeat steps 6-8 for bays "4".
- 12. Repeat steps 6-8 for bays "5".
- 13. Repeat steps 6-8 for bays "6".
- 14. Repeat steps 6-8 for bays "7".
- 15. Install the contiguous pile wall at the proposed car park entrance area.
- 16. Construct new RC basement walls and slabs in open excavation where the swimming pool has been removed.
- 17. Construct temporary strip footing (at 1.5m below ground floor) to support existing walls and upper levels to be retained.
- 18. Install the high level steel beams at first floor soffit level to support all walls and floor above. The temporary steel beams are to be supported by Acrow props onto the strip footing below or bearing pads in the existing masonry walls.
- 19. Cast the RC capping beam at the car park entrance area.
- 20. Demolish all structural walls and columns between ground floor and first floor soffit that have not been underpinned to basement level. The existing lift shaft and stair flights are to be completely removed on all levels.
- 21. Install high level temporary props to the underpins at ground floor level.
- 22. Excavate and reduce level to 2.7m below ground with 1:1.5 batter to the temporary footing. In the event that ground water is encountered during the course of excavation a localised excavated sump of size 1m x 1m x 1m is to be formed at a level lower than the progressive base of excavation being carried out.
- 23. Install low level temporary props outside of berm and footing.
- 24. Excavate to formation level outside of berm and footing
- 25. Fix steel reinforcement for proposed reinforced concrete internal rising elements. Pour walls between basement and ground floor soffit.
- 26. Install steel beams, metal decking and reinforcement for the proposed ground floor slab and pour slab. Temporary 'box out' opening to be included around the first floor temporary columns.
- 27. Fix steel reinforcement for proposed reinforced concrete basement slab and pour slab.
- 28. When slab has attained sufficient strength, remove low level temporary propping.
- 29. Remove upper level temporary propping to underpin walls when ground floor slab has attained sufficient strength.
- 30. Construct load bearing masonry walls and columns between ground and first floor level.
- 31. Install new first floor level steel beams.
- 32. Remove steel beam props between first floor and basement.

- 33. Infill 'box out' openings in ground floor slab.
- 34. Excavate temporary berm and footing.
- 35. Install below-ground drainage elements and heave board.
- 36. Cast basement slab
- 37. Primary structural basement works are now complete proceed with the upper level works and internal fit-out.

2.6 Assessment of Effect on Ground Conditions

The proposed basement is a single-storey basement of modest proportions. The basement will be designed and constructed to minimise the effects on the ground conditions of the surrounding area.

The Basement Impact Assessment carried out on site indicates that the subsoil at basement formation level comprises a medium dense Sand Bagshot Formation with an allowable bearing capacity of 150kN/m² which will be sufficient to support the building loads. Preliminary calculations for the underpin walls and foundation pads are contained in Appendix II of this report.

As discussed in section 2.2, it is envisaged that two levels of temporary propping will be installed to provide stability to the underpin walls during the excavation. This propping arrangement will provide diaphragm action at ground and basement level and limit any potential ground movements.

A ground movement analysis has been carried out on this basis by Jomas Associated Ltd

2.7 Assessment of Effect on Neighbouring Properties

The ground movement analysis carried out by Jomas Associated Ltd indicates negligible damage on the majority of the facades. A very limited number of structures/facades have been classified as Category 1, representative of Very Slight damage with crack width less than 1 mm that can be treated during normal decoration.

No damage category higher than this has been assessed.

APPENDIX I

Preliminary Underpin Wall Calculations

	Project Title	Templewood	d Avenue			
	Client Part of Structure	-				
	Made by		Page No	Checked	Revision	Job No
	OA	31.08.2017	Fage NO	SL	REVISION	16.848
	Line Load 1	01:00:2017				
ROOF	Roof Dead Load	1.50	kN/m²			
	Roof Live Load	0.75	kN/m²			
	Influence Length	2.65	m			
	Roof line Load - Dead	3.98	kN/m			
	Roof line Load - Live	1.99	kN/m			
LEVEL 3	Stud Partition					
	Stud - Dead	0.50	kN/m²			
	Stud - Height	2.50	m			
	Stud - Line Load	1.25	kN/m			
	Precast Unit 150mm					
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10	kN/m²			
	Live	2.50	kN/m²			
	Influence Length	3.50	m			
	Dead Line Load	17.85	kN/m			
	Live Line Load	8.75	kN/m			
LEVEL 2	Cavity Wall					
	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0.10x20)	2.00	kN/m²			
	100 mm Outer Block leaf (0.10x20)	2.00	kN/m²			
	Cavity Wall - Height	3.10	m			
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	12.40	kN/m			
	Precast Unit 150mm					
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10	kN/m²			
	Live	2.50	kN/m²			
	Influence Length	3.50	m			
	Dead Line Load	17.85	kN/m			
		8./5	KN/M			
LEVEL 1	Cavity Wall	2.00	L.N. (
	100 mm Inner Block Leat (0.10x20)	2.00	KIN/M ²			
	100 mm Outer Block leat (0.10x20)	2.00	KIN/M ²			
	Cavity Wall - Height Cavity Wall - Line Load	3.11 12.42	m kN/m			
			,			
	Precast Unit 150mm Self Weight/3 1)+50mm scrood/1 25)+finishes (0 75)	E 10	kN/m^2			
	Jen weight(S.1)+Junni Screeu(1.25)+Innishes (U.75)	2.10	kN/m^2			
	Live	2.50	KN/111-			
		3.50	111 kN/~			
		17.85	KIN/111			
	LIVE LINE LOAD	X./5	KIN/III			

GROUND	Cavity Wall			
	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0.10x20)	2.00	kN/m²	
	100 mm Outer Block leaf (0.10x20)	2.00	kN/m²	
	Cavity Wall - Height	2.90	m	
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	11.60	kN/m	
	200 mm overall deep Comflor 80 Slab			
	Self Weight(3.9)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.90	kN/m²	
	Live	2.50	kN/m²	
	Influence Length	3.50	m	
	Dead Line Load	20.65	kN/m	
	Live Line Load	8.75	kN/m	
BASEMENT	300 mm RC Underpin			
	300 mm RC Underpin (0.30x25)	7.50	kN/m²	
	Underpin - Height	3.00	m	
	Underpin - Line Load	22.50	kN/m	
	250 mm Basement Slab			
	Self Weight (0.25x25)	6.25	kN/m²	
	Super imposed Dead	1.50	kN/m²	
	Live	2.50	kN/m²	
	Influence Length	3.50	m	
	Dead Line Load	27.13	kN/m	
	Live Line Load	8.75	kN/m	
	TOTAL LINE LOAD 1 - DEAD	165.47	kN/m	
	TOTAL LINE LOAD 1 - LIVE	45.74	kN/m	

DL top underpin = Total Line Load1 (Dead) - RC Underpin self weight



	Project Title Templewood Avenue					
	Client -					
	Mada by	Line loads	Dago N-	Charles	Rovicion	Joh No
	OA	31.08.2017	rage NO	SL		16.848
	Line Load 2			<u>. </u>	<u>. </u>	
DOOF			LNI /?			
KUUF	ROOT Dead Load	1.50	KIN/M ²			
	Influence Length	0.75	m			
	Roof line Load - Dead	4.50	kN/m			
	Roof line Load - Live	2.25	kN/m			
LEVEL 1	Cavity Wall		1.0.1.2			
	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0.10x20)	2.00	κN/m²			
	100 mm Outer Block leat (0.10x20)	2.00	км/m²			
	Cavity Wall - Height	3.11	m kn:/			
	Cavity wall - Line LOad	12.42	KIN/M			
	Precast Unit 150mm					
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10	kN/m²			
	Live	2.50	kN/m²			
	Influence Length	3.00	m			
	Dead Line Load	15.3	kN/m			
	Live Line Load	7.5	kN/m			
GROUND	Cavity Wall					
2	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0 10x20)	2 00	kN/m²			
	100 mm Outer Block leaf (0.10x20)	2.00	kN/m²			
	Cavity Wall - Height	2,90	., m			
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	11.60	kN/m			
	200 mm overall deer Constant of Clark					
	Self Weight/2 0)+E0mm croad/1 25) finish (0.75)	E 00	kN/m^2			
	כרי איפוצוונס.אידטווווו screea(ב.כל)+tinisnes (U./5) Live	5.90	kN/m^2			
	Live Influence Length	2.50	m			
	Dead Line Load	17 7	kN/m			
	Live Line Load	7.5	kN/m			
BASEMENT	300 mm RC Underpin					
	300 mm RC Underpin (0.30x25)	7.50	kN/m²			
	Underpin - Height	3.00	m kN /			
	onderphi - Line Load	22.50	KIN/M			
	250 mm Basement Slab					
	Self Weight (0.25x25)	6.25	kN/m²			
	Super imposed Dead	1.50	kN/m²			
	Live	2.50	kN/m²			
	Influence Length	3.00	m			
	Dead Line Load	23.25	kN/m			
	Live Line Load	7.5	kN/m			
	TOTAL LINE LOAD 2 - DEAD	107.27	kN/m	1		
	TOTAL LINE LOAD 2 - LIVE	24.75	kN/m			
		1. J		-		
	ע top unaerpin = Total Line Load2 (Dead) – RC L	naerpın self w	eight Late	1		
	Dead Load on top of the Underpin	85	kN/m	1		

Project Title	Templewood	Avenue			
Client	-				
Part of Structure	Point load				
Made by	Date	Page No	Checked	Revision	Job No
<u>OA</u>	31.08.2017		<u>SL</u>		<u>16.848</u>

Point Load 3

Line Load 1

ROOF	Roof Dead Load	1.50 kN/m ²
	Roof Live Load	0.75 kN/m ²
	Influence Length	2.65 m
	Roof line Load - Dead	3.98 kN/m
	Roof line Load - Live	1.99 kN/m
LEVEL 3	Stud Partition	
	Stud - Dead	0.50 kN/m ²
	Stud - Height	2.50 m
	Stud - Line Load	1.25 kN/m
	Precast Unit 150mm	
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10 kN/m ²
	Live	2.50 kN/m ²
	Influence Length	3.50 m
	Dead Line Load	17.85 kN/m
	Live Line Load	8.75 kN/m
LEVEL 2	Cavity Wall	
	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²
	100 mm Outer Block leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²
	Cavity Wall - Height	3.10 m
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	12.40 kN/m
	Precast Unit 150mm	
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10 kN/m ²
	Live	2.50 kN/m ²
	Influence Length	3.50 m
	Dead Line Load	17.85 kN/m
	Live Line Load	8.75 kN/m
I EVEL 1	Cavity Wall	
	100 mm loner Block Leaf (0 10×20)	$2 00 \text{ kN}/\text{m}^2$
	100 mm Outer Block leaf ($0.10x20$)	2.00 kN/m^2
	Cavity Wall - Height	2.00 KN/III 3.11 m
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	12 / 2 kN/m
	Cavity Wall - Life LOad	12.42 NIV/III
	Precast Unit 150mm	
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10 kN/m ²
	Live	2.50 kN/m ²
	Influence Length	<u>3.50</u> m
	Dead Line Load	17.85 kN/m
	Live Line Load	8.75 kN/m

GROUND	Cavity Wall		
	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²	
	100 mm Outer Block leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²	
	Cavity Wall - Height	2.90 m	
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	11.60 kN/m	
	Precast Unit 150mm		
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10 kN/m ²	
	Live	2.50 kN/m ²	
	Influence Length	3.50 m	
	Dead Line Load	17.85 kN/m	
	Live Line Load	<mark>8.75</mark> kN/m	
		113.05 kN/m	
	TOTAL LINE LOAD I - LIVE	36.99 KN/M	
	Beam SB1 Span	4.40 m	
	Point Load from Line load 1		
	Dead	248.70 kN	
	Live	81 37 kN	
	Line Load 2		
ROOF	Roof Dead Load	1.50 kN/m ²	
	Roof Live Load	0.75 kN/m ²	
	Influence Length	3.00 m	
	Roof line Load - Dead	4.50 kN/m	
	Roof line Load - Live	2.25 kN/m	
LEVEL 1	Cavity Wall		
	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²	
	100 mm Outer Block leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²	
	Cavity Wall - Height	3.11 m	
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	12.42 kN/m	
	Precast Unit 150mm		
	Self Weight(3.1)+50mm screed(1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.10 kN/m ²	
	Live	2.50 kN/m ²	
	Influence Length	3.00 m	
	Dead Line Load	15.30 kN/m	
	Live Line Load	7.50 kN/m	

GROUND	Cavity Wall	
	100 mm Inner Block Leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²
	100 mm Outer Block leaf (0.10x20)	2.00 kN/m ²
	Cavity Wall - Height	2.90 m
	Cavity Wall - Line Load	11.60 kN/m
	200 mm overall deep Comflor 80 Slab	
	Self Weight (3.9)+50mm screed (1.25)+finishes (0.75)	5.90 kN/m ²
	live	2.50 kN/m^2
	Influence Length	3.00 m
	Dead Line Load	17.70 kN/m
	Live Line Load	7.50 kN/m
	TOTAL LINE LOAD 2 - DEAD	61.52 kN/m
	TOTAL LINE LOAD 2 - LIVE	17.25 kN/m
	Beam SB2 Span	4.00 m
	Point Load from Line load 2	
	Dead	123.0 kN
	Live	34.5 kN
DAJEIVIEINI	250 mm Basement Slab	
	Solf Woight (0.25v25)	$6.25 \text{ kN}/m^2$
	Super imposed Dead	0.25 KN/III
	Juper Imposed Deau	$1.50 \text{ kN/III}^{-1.50}$
	Live	2.30 KN/III ⁻
	Influence Length(R)	0.80 III
	Dead Point Load	289.9 KN

93.5 kN

Point Load 3 - Dead	661.6 kN
Point Load 3 - Live	209.4 kN

Load on Columns SC1

Live Point Load

 $Load \ Column \ SC1 = \frac{Point \ Load \ 3}{N \ columns \ on \ Pad \ Footing}$

Load on Columns SC1 - Dead	331 kN
Load on Columns SC1 - Live	105 kN
N Columns on Pad footing	2

\mathbb{R}	Project	Templewo	od Avenue		Job no. 16.	848
barrett mahony	Calcs for	Underpin a	t Section 1		Start page no./Re	vision 1
Sanott manony	Calcs by OA	Calcs date 07/09/2017	Checked by	Checked date	Approved by	Approved date

RETAINING WALL ANALYSIS

In accordance with EN1997-1:2004 incorporating Corrigendum dated February 2009 and the UK National Annex incorporating Corrigendum No.1

Tedds calculation version 2.6.05

Retaining wall details	
Stem type	Propped cantilever
Stem height	h _{stem} = 3500 mm
Prop height	h _{prop} = 3400 mm
Stem thickness	t _{stem} = 300 mm
Angle to rear face of stem	$\alpha = 90 \text{ deg}$
Stem density	$\gamma_{stem} = 25 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Toe length	I _{toe} = 1500 mm
Base thickness	t _{base} = 300 mm
Base density	$\gamma_{\text{base}} = 25 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Height of retained soil	h _{ret} = 3200 mm
Angle of soil surface	$\beta = 0 \deg$
Depth of cover	d _{cover} = 300 mm
Height of water	h _{water} = 2300 mm
Water density	γ _w = 9.8 kN/m ³
Retained soil properties	
Soil type	Firm clay
Moist density	$\gamma_{mr} = 18 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Saturated density	$\gamma_{sr} = 18 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Characteristic effective shear resistance angle	φ' _{r.k} = 35 deg
Characteristic wall friction angle	$\delta_{r.k} = \textbf{9} \text{ deg}$
Base soil properties	
Soil type	Firm clay
Soil density	$\gamma_b = 18 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Characteristic effective shear resistance angle	φ' _{b.k} = 35 deg
Characteristic wall friction angle	$\delta_{b,k} = 9 \text{ deg}$
Characteristic base friction angle	$\delta_{bb.k} = 12 \text{ deg}$
Presumed bearing capacity	P _{bearing} = 150 kN/m ²
Loading details	
Variable surcharge load	Surcharge _Q = 5 kN/m ²
Vertical line load at 1650 mm	P _{G1} = 143 kN/m
	P _{Q1} = 45.7 kN/m



$\square N \land$	Project	Templewo	od Avenue		Job no. 16	.848		
$\square \setminus / \square$	Calcs for				Start page no./R	evision		
barrett mahony		Underpin a	at Section 1			3		
barrett manony	Calcs by OA	Calcs date 07/09/2017	Checked by	Checked date	Approved by	Approved date		
Passive pressure coefficient		K _P = sin(90	- φ' _{b.k})² / (sin(9	$0 + \delta_{b.k}) imes [1 - \sqrt{s}]$	$sin(\phi'_{b.k} + \delta_{b.k}) \times$	< sin(¢' _{b.k}) /		
		$(\sin(90 + \delta_b))$.k))]] ²) = 5.103					
Bearing pressure check								
Vertical forces on wall								
Wall stem		F _{stem} = A _{stem}	$\gamma \times \gamma_{stem} = 26.3$	kN/m				
Wall base		Fbase = Abase	$h \times \gamma_{\text{base}} = 13.5$	kN/m				
Line loads		$F_{P_v} = P_{G1} +$	P _{Q1} = 188.7 k	N/m				
Base soil $F_{pass_v} = A_{pass} \times \gamma_b' = 8.1 \text{ kN/m}$								
Total		$F_{total_v} = F_{ster}$	m + F _{base} + F _{pas}	$F_{s_v} + F_{water_v} + F_P$	_v = 236.6 kN/r	n		
Horizontal forces on wall								
Surcharge load		$F_{sur_h} = K_0 \times$	$\cos(\delta_{r.d}) imes Sur$	$rcharge_Q \times h_{eff} =$	8 kN/m			
Saturated retained soil		$F_{sat_h} = K_0 \times$	$\cos(\delta_{r.d}) \times (\gamma_{sr})$	- γ_w') × (h _{sat} + h _b	_{ase}) ² / 2 = 14.5	kN/m		
Water		$F_{water_h} = \gamma_w'$	\times (h _{water} + d _{cove}	$(h_{\rm er} + h_{\rm base})^2 / 2 = 4$	1.3 kN/m			
Moist retained soil		$F_{moist h} = K_0$	$\times \cos(\delta_{r.d}) \times \gamma_m$	$_{ m nr'} imes$ ((h _{eff} - h _{sat} - h	$(base)^2 / 2 + (h_{eff})^2$	- h _{sat} - h _{base}) ×		
		(h _{sat} + h _{base})) = 22.9 kN/m		, , ,	,		
Base soil		$F_{pass_h} = -K_F$	$\sim \times \cos(\delta_{b.d}) \times \gamma$	b' \times (d _{cover} + h _{base})² / 2 = -16.3 kl	N/m		
Total		$F_{total_h} = F_{sat}$	_h + Fmoist_h + F	pass_h + Fwater_h +	F _{sur_h} = 70.3 kl	N/m		
Moments on wall								
Wall stem		M _{stem} = F _{ster}	n × X _{stem} = 43.3	kNm/m				
Wall base		M _{base} = F _{bas}	e × X _{base} = 12.2	kNm/m				
Surcharge load		$M_{sur} = -F_{sur}$	$h \times X_{sur_h} = -15.$	2 kNm/m				
Line loads		$M_{P} = (P_{G1} +$	P _{Q1}) × p ₁ = 31	1.4 kNm/m				
Saturated retained soil		M _{sat} = -F _{sat} _	h × Xsat_h = -14	kNm/m				
Water		M _{water} = -F _{wa}	$a_{ter_h} \times X_{water_h} =$	-39.9 kNm/m				
Moist retained soil		$M_{moist} = -F_{moist}$	$bist_h \times Xmoist_h =$	-38.5 kNm/m				
Base soil		M _{pass} = F _{pass}	$x v \times X_{pass} v = 6.$	1 kNm/m				
Total		M _{total} = M _{ster} kNm/m	n + M _{base} + M _{sat}	+ M _{moist} + M _{pass} ·	+ M _{water} + M _{sur} -	+ M _P = 265.3		
Check bearing pressure								
Propping force to stem		F _{prop_stem} = r kN/m	$\min((F_{total_v} imes I_{bal})$	_{ase} / 2 - M _{total}) / (h	prop + tbase), F _{tota}	al_h) = -14.2		
Propping force to base		Fprop base =	- Total h - Eprop ster	m = 84.4 kN/m				
Moment from propping force		$M_{prop} = F_{prop}$	$_{stem} \times (h_{prop} + 1)$	t _{base}) = -52.4 kNn	n/m			
Distance to reaction		$\overline{x} = I_{\text{base}} / 2$	= 900 mm					
Eccentricity of reaction		$e = \overline{x} - I_{base}$. / 2 = 0 mm					
Loaded length of base		$I_{load} = I_{base} =$	1800 mm					
Bearing pressure at toe		$q_{toe} = F_{total v}$	/ I _{base} = 131.4	kN/m²				
Bearing pressure at heel		q _{heel} = F _{total}	v / I _{base} = 131.4	kN/m²				
Factor of safety		FoS _{bp} = P _{be}	_{aring} / max(q _{toe} ,	q _{heel}) = 1.141				
-	PASS - A	Allowable bearing	g pressure ex	ceeds maximur	n applied bea	ring pressure		

\mathbb{R}	Project	Templewo	Job no. 16.848			
barrett mahony	Calcs for	Underpin a	t Section 2		Start page no./Re	vision 1
Sanott manony	Calcs by OA	Calcs date 07/09/2017	Checked by	Checked date	Approved by	Approved date

RETAINING WALL ANALYSIS

In accordance with EN1997-1:2004 incorporating Corrigendum dated February 2009 and the UK National Annex incorporating Corrigendum No.1

Tedds calculation version 2.6.05

Retaining wall details	
Stem type	Propped cantilever
Stem height	h _{stem} = 3500 mm
Prop height	h _{prop} = 3400 mm
Stem thickness	t _{stem} = 300 mm
Angle to rear face of stem	$\alpha = 90 \text{ deg}$
Stem density	$\gamma_{stem} = 25 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Toe length	l _{toe} = 1300 mm
Base thickness	t _{base} = 300 mm
Base density	$\gamma_{\text{base}} = 25 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Height of retained soil	h _{ret} = 3200 mm
Angle of soil surface	$\beta = 0 \deg$
Depth of cover	d _{cover} = 300 mm
Height of water	h _{water} = 2300 mm
Water density	$\gamma_w = 9.8 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Retained soil properties	
Soil type	Firm clay
Moist density	$\gamma_{mr} = 18 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Saturated density	$\gamma_{sr} = 18 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Characteristic effective shear resistance angle	φ' _{r.k} = 35 deg
Characteristic wall friction angle	$\delta_{r,k} = \textbf{9} \text{ deg}$
Base soil properties	
Soil type	Firm clay
Soil density	$\gamma_{b} = 18 \text{ kN/m}^{3}$
Characteristic effective shear resistance angle	φ' _{b.k} = 35 deg
Characteristic wall friction angle	$\delta_{b,k} = 9 \text{ deg}$
Characteristic base friction angle	$\delta_{bb,k} = 12 \text{ deg}$
Presumed bearing capacity	P _{bearing} = 150 kN/m ²
Loading details	
Variable surcharge load	Surcharge _Q = 5 kN/m ²
Vertical line load at 1450 mm	P _{G1} = 85 kN/m
	P _{Q1} = 25 kN/m



$\square N \land$	Project	Job no. Templewood Avenue 16.848						
H	Calcs for	lompione			Start page no /E	Bevision		
barrett mahony	Calcs Iol	Underpin a	at Section 2		Start page 10./F	3		
	Calcs by OA	Calcs date 07/09/2017	Checked by	Checked date	Approved by	Approved date		
Passive pressure coefficient		K _P = sin(90	- φ' _{b.k})² / (sin(9	90 + δ _{b.k}) × [1 - √[$sin(\phi'_{b,k} + \delta_{b,k})$	< sin(¢' _{b.k}) /		
		$(\sin(90 + \delta_{t}))$.k))]] ²) = 5.103					
Bearing pressure check								
Vertical forces on wall								
Wall stem		F _{stem} = A _{sten}	n × γ _{stem} = 26.3	kN/m				
Wall base		$F_{\text{base}} = A_{\text{base}}$	$_{e} \times \gamma_{base} = 12$ k	N/m				
Line loads		$F_{P_v} = P_{G1} +$	+ Pq1 = 110 kN	l/m				
Base soil		$F_{pass_v} = A_{pass_v}$	$ass \times \gamma_b' = 7 \text{ kN}$	/m				
Total		$F_{total_v} = F_{ster}$	em + F _{base} + F _{pa}	ss_v + F _{water_v} + F _F	_ _v = 155.3 kN/	m		
Horizontal forces on wall								
Surcharge load		$F_{sur} = K_0$	$(\cos(\delta_{rd}) \times Su$	rchargeo × herr =	8 kN/m			
Saturated retained soil		$F_{aat} = K_0 \lambda$	$(\cos(\delta_{rd}) \times (\gamma_{rd}))$	$(h_{\text{out}} + h_{\text{out}}) \times (h_{\text{out}} + h_{\text{out}})$	$(2 - 14.5)^2 / 2 - 14.5$	kN/m		
Water		$F_{water} = -\infty^{1/2}$	$(1) \times (1) \times (1)$	$(11)^{2} (11)^{2} (11)^{2} = 4$	l 1 3 kN/m			
Maist retained soil		$F_{water_n} = \gamma_w$	$\times \cos(\delta_{\rm coc}) \times w$	$(h_{s}) = h_{s}$	$(1.0 \text{ km})^2 / 2 + (b.)^2$	- h h) ×		
Moist retained son		$f \text{ moist}_n = R_0$	(- 22.9 kN/m) = 22.9 kN/m	mr ~ ((11em - 11sat - 1	ibase) / Z + (Heff	- lisat - libase) A		
Base soil			f(x) = 22.3 km/m	$w' \times (d_{min} + b_{min})$.) ² / 2 – -16 3 k	N/m		
Total		Ftatal h = Faa	+ h + Fmaint h + I	$r_{\rm point} \sim ({\rm Gcover} + {\rm Hoase})$	Faur h - 70 3 k	N/m		
Mamanta an mall				pass_ii i water_ii i				
		мг						
		IVIstem = Fster	m × Xstem = 38.1					
vvali base		IVIbase = Fbas	e × Xbase = 9.0	KINM/M				
Surcharge load		IVI _{sur} = -⊢ _{sur_}	$h \times X_{sur_h} = -15$.2 KINM/M				
Line loads		$M_{P} = (P_{G1} + $	$(P_{Q1}) \times p_1 = 1$	9.5 kNm/m				
Saturated retained soil		$M_{sat} = -F_{sat}$	h × Xsat_h = -14	kNm/m				
Water		$M_{water} = -F_{water}$	ater_h × Xwater_h =	₌ -39.9 kNm/m				
Moist retained soil		$M_{moist} = -F_m$	oist_h × Xmoist_h =	- 38.5 kNm/m				
Base soil		$M_{pass} = F_{pas}$	$s_v \times X_{pass_v} = 4$.6 kNm/m				
Total		M _{total} = M _{ster} kNm/m	m + Mbase + Msa	tt + Mmoist + Mpass	+ M _{water} + M _{sur} ·	+ M _P = 104.1		
Check bearing pressure								
Propping force to stem		F _{prop_stem} = ı kN/m	$\min((F_{total_v} \times I_b))$	_{ase} / 2 - M _{total}) / (h	Iprop + tbase), F_{tot}	al_h) = 5.4		
Propping force to base		F _{prop_base} =	F _{total_h} - F _{prop_ste}	em = 64.8 kN/m				
Moment from propping force		$M_{prop} = F_{prop}$	$_{\rm stem} \times (h_{\rm prop} +$	t _{base}) = 20.1 kNm	ı/m			
Distance to reaction		$\overline{x} = I_{\text{base}} / 2$	2 = 800 mm					
Eccentricity of reaction		$e = \overline{x} - I_{base}$	_e / 2 = 0 mm					
Loaded length of base		$I_{load} = I_{base} =$	1600 mm					
Bearing pressure at toe		$q_{toe} = F_{total_v}$	/ I _{base} = 97 kN	/m²				
Bearing pressure at heel		$q_{\text{heel}} = F_{\text{total}}$	v / I _{base} = 97 ki	N/m²				
Factor of safety		$FoS_{bp} = P_{be}$	earing / max(q _{toe} ,	q _{heel}) = 1.546				
	PASS -	Allowable bearin	g pressure ex	ceeds maximu	m applied bea	ring pressure		

Project	Templew	vood Ave	enue		((mp	2	NG N	EER & Par	tners
Client	Brian Coy	ne and kirs	ty Mitchell			ca e Concrete Centre	Made by	Date	Page
Location	Foundatio	on PAD					OA	07-Sep-17	1
	PAD FOUNDAT	ION DESIGN to El	N 1992-1 : 2004 (w	ithout UK NA)	Comb	ined base	Checked	Revision	Job No
code	Originated	from RCCen8	1.xls v4.1 on C) ©	2003 - 2017	тсс	SL	-	16848
							Usage: Oj	ffice	
MATERIALS	fck	35	MPa	dg	20	mm	γc	1.5	concrete
	fyk	500	MPa	cover	50	mm	γs	1.15	steel
Densities -	Concrete	25	kN/m³	Soil	18	kN/m³	teel class	<u>A</u>	
Bearing	pressure	150	kN/m² (net a	allowable)					
		kNL kNm							
				6	Luman 2 (lbs			MIND	Т
	321 0	105 0	WIND	CO	uunn ∠ (un: Avic		105 0	WIND	1
Axia	<u>331.0</u>	105.0				a <u>551.0</u>	105.0		
					IVI. NA	X			
					и И	y Y			
	,				н	Ŷ			
						y			1
DIMENSIONS	mm								
BASE		COLUM	N 1 (rhs)	COLUN	N Z (lhs)				
	<u>3600</u> 2300	h1 =	<u>150</u> 150	h2 =	<u>150</u> 150				
D = denth H =	: <u>2300</u> : 200	DT =	130	02 =	150				
	- <u>300</u> 2562	01	1001	010	1001				
Zex =	- <u>2002</u>		0	ex2 =	0		·		0
Zey =	<u>U</u>	eyr =	0	ey∠ =	0				
STATUS		FSIGN							
514102	VALID						PLOT	(to scale)	
BEARING PR	ESSURES	kN/m² ch	aracteristic		Cred Dro			720/	
CORNER	1	2	3	4	Grnd Brg	Pressure		12%	
no winc	107.4	107.4	107.4	107.4	As/As	orov σsx			98%
with winc	l 107.4	107.4	107.4	107.4		σsy	42	%	
[R/GEO max	bearing p	ressure =	147.2	kN/m²	Shoar		/'	2%	
REINFORCE	MENT				Shear		4.) /0	
Btm Mxx -	31.3	kNm	Муу -	286.8		vEd yy		51%	
b =	2300	mm	b =	3600		Punching			100%
d =	246	mm	d =	236		0%			12.2%
As =	308	mm²	As =	2942		0%			133%
PROVIDE	10H8 @ 2	250 B1	& 27H12	2 @ 75 &	: 200 B2		Effi	ciency	
A _s prov =	503	mm²	$A_s \text{ prov} =$	3054					
Detail to	clause 3. ⁻	11.3.2	Detail to	clause 3	3.11.3. ₂₅₀	0.0 0.5 1	.0 1.5 2.	0 2.5 3.0	3.5 4.0
Top Mxx +	513.8	kNm	Myy +	0.0	200				
d =	240	mm	d =	226	150			\rightarrow \parallel	
As =	5531	mm²	As =	0	100				
PROVIDE 80)H20 @ 0	& 25 T1	& 122H8	@ 0 & 2	5 T2 50				
As,prov =	25133	mm²	As,prov =	6132	-50			N	
	As +349	.8% for si	hear				Moment		Zero axis
BEAM SHEA	R	•		•			Mx Diagra	ım (1.35G+1	.05Q)
Vxx =	304.4	kN at d	Vyy =	416.5		0.0 0.5	1.0	1.5 2	.0 2.5
vEd =	0.552	N/mm ²	vEd =	0.490	()			
or Vxx =	226.4	KN at 2d	or vyy =	299.3	-50				
	0.410	N/mm ²		0.352	-150)			
VHOC =	0.946	N/mm²	VHUC =	0.000	-200)		/	
PUNCHING S	HEAR				-250			/	
d ave =	241	mm	u crit =	3002	-300 mm)			
A _s prov =	1.853	%	vmax =	4.590	N/mm ² at	col face	My Diagra	ım (1.35G+1	.05Q)
vEd =	0.919	N/mm²	vRdc =	0.921	N/mm²				

APPENDIX II

Construction Sequence Drawings



LEGEND
EINFORCED CONCRETE WALL
ADBEARING BLOCKWORK WALL
ON-LOADBEARING WALL
IG MASONRY WALL
IG LOADBEARING WALLS BELOW
IG WALLS TO BE DEMOLISHED
BEAM
COLUMN
ETE BEAM
ETE COLUMN
N UNDER
ES EXISTING JOISTS SPAN ION



AS SHOWN 16848

DRAWING NO. S-1000

P3

ALE @ A1

JOB NO.

PROPOSED BASEMENT PLAN

barrett mahony

P
고
N
7
5
\approx

SSUE ST/	SUE 220	• ALL THUT			SB5	SB4	SB2	SB1	REF.	SC2	SC1	REF	s	SF2	SF1	REF	PD1	REF.	W4	W/A	W2	¥1	REF.	SCF	2. CON BEFO	1. THIS ARCI DOU		
ATUS SI PRELIMINARY (PI, P2, P3 etc.,) DIAMNING (PL1, PL2, PL3 etc.,)	077.18 ISSUED FOR COMMENT 301.31 99.31 </td <td>UNDERPIN BASES 300mm THK. U.N.O. ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 300mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL CKNESS) ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 215mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL CKNESS)</td> <td>DENOTES 200mm O/A Dp. R.C. SLAB FORMED ON COMFLOOR 80 METAL DECK</td> <td>DENOTES 250mm THK: R.C. SLAB ON 150mm Dp. CELLCORE HX/S Git 7/10 ON 50mm BLIND NG</td> <td>150 x 90 UEA 10.0 -</td> <td>152 UC 30kg 406 × 178 UB 54kg</td> <td></td> <td>203 UC 46kg.</td> <td>SIZE COMMENT</td> <td>203 UC 46kg</td> <td>152 UC 37kg.</td> <td>STEEL COLUMNS</td> <td>CHEDULE OF STEEL MEMBERS</td> <td>700 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -</td> <td>900 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -</td> <td></td> <td>1800 x 1800 x 300mm Dp. PAD FOOTING -</td> <td>SIZE COMMENT</td> <td></td> <td>150mm THK, R.C. WALL</td> <td>200mm THK. R.C. WALL -</td> <td>250mm THK. R.C. WALL</td> <td>SIZE COMMENT</td> <td>EDULE OF CONCRETE MEMBERS</td> <td>SULTANTS TO BE INFORMED INMIEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES RE WORK PROCEEDS.</td> <td>DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN COMUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS & INFECTS DRAWINGS FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING) TO SED WHERE A CONFLICT OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR FINANY BT - <u>ASIN</u>:</td> <td>NOTES</td> <td></td>	UNDERPIN BASES 300mm THK. U.N.O. ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 300mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL CKNESS) ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 215mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL CKNESS)	DENOTES 200mm O/A Dp. R.C. SLAB FORMED ON COMFLOOR 80 METAL DECK	DENOTES 250mm THK: R.C. SLAB ON 150mm Dp. CELLCORE HX/S Git 7/10 ON 50mm BLIND NG	150 x 90 UEA 10.0 -	152 UC 30kg 406 × 178 UB 54kg		203 UC 46kg.	SIZE COMMENT	203 UC 46kg	152 UC 37kg.	STEEL COLUMNS	CHEDULE OF STEEL MEMBERS	700 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -	900 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -		1800 x 1800 x 300mm Dp. PAD FOOTING -	SIZE COMMENT		150mm THK, R.C. WALL	200mm THK. R.C. WALL -	250mm THK. R.C. WALL	SIZE COMMENT	EDULE OF CONCRETE MEMBERS	SULTANTS TO BE INFORMED INMIEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES RE WORK PROCEEDS.	DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN COMUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS & INFECTS DRAWINGS FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING) TO SED WHERE A CONFLICT OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR FINANY BT - <u>ASIN</u> :	NOTES	



LEGEND
INFORCED CONCRETE WALL
ADBEARING BLOCKWORK WALL
NI-LOADBEARING WALL
IS WASONRY WALL
IG LOADBEARING WALLS BELOW
IG WALLS TO BE DEMOLISHED
3EAM
COLUMN
ETE BEAM
ETE COLUMN
N UNDER
ES EXISTING JOISTS SPAN

PRELIMINARY

NOTES

NOTE: • ALL UNDERPIN BASES 300mm THK. U.N.O. • EXTERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. THICKNESS = 300mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL THICKNESS) • INTERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. THICKNESS = 215mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL THICKNESS) Dublin Office: arrett Mahony Consulting Engineers, Civil . Structural . Project Management. E-mail: info@bmceuk.com Web: www.bmceuk.com ISSUE STATUS DI PRELIMINARY (P1, P2, P3 etc.,) DI PLANNING (PL1, PL2, PL3 etc., DI TENDER (T1, 72, T3 etc.,) DI CONSTRUCTION (0, 1, 2 etc., P3 P2 10 REF. SF1 SSUE ondon Office: SB3 SB4 SB5 SC1 SB1 REF PD1 W4 REF No. 35 TEMPLEWOOD AVENUE, LONDON, NW3 7 UY BRIAN COYNE AND KIRSTY MITCHELL SC2 SF2 Ĥ ₩2 SCHEDULE OF CONCRETE MEMBERS ALE @ A1 PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR PLAN CONSULTANTS TO BE INFORMED IMMEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCES BEFORE WORK PROCEEDS. THS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGNEERS & ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOURED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING) TO BE USED WHERE A CONFLICT OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR F MANY DOUBT - <u>ASK</u>. 20.07.18 13.11.17 08.09.17 SCHEDULE OF STEEL MEMBERS DATE 203 UC 46kg. 203 UC 86kg. 152 UC 30kg. 406 x 178 UB 54kg. 150 x 90 UEA 10.0 250mm THK. R.C. WALL 200mm THK. R.C. WALL 150mm THK. R.C. WALL Sandwith House, 52-54 Lower Sandwith Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. Tel.: (01) 677 3200 Fax.: (01) 677 3164 DENOTES 200mm O/A Dp. R.C. SLAB FORMED ON COMFLOOR 80 METAL DECK DENOTES 250mm THK: R.C. SLAB ON 160mm Dp. CELLCORE HX/S Gd. 7/10 ON 50mm BLINDING CONCRETE STRIP FOOTING 12 Mill Street, Landon SE1 2AV, United Kingdom Tel.: +44 (0) 20 3750 3530 900 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING UC 46kg. SIZE UC 37kg. ISSUED FOR COMMENT REVISED AS CLOUDED ISSUED FOR COMMENT SIZE SIZE CONCRETE PAD FOOTINGS SIZE SIZE OB NO CONCRETE WALLS STEEL COLUMNS STEEL BEAMS DESCRIPTION DRAWING NO. COMMENT COMMENT COMMENT COMMENT DRN P.E. V.B. P3

Õ

QÓ

450mn

PILES @ 600mm Crs.

W1

 \bigcirc

000

Ľ

AS SHOWN 16848

S-1001



					TEMPL	EWC	00) A	V	EN
SCALE AS SH	PA	DRAWI	BR	Dublin Offic	Barrett Mi	ISSUE	ISSUE	Ā	P2	P3 P3
@ A1 OWN	RTY W	NDON		oe: Sandw Tel.: (0	ahony Consu E-mail ice: 12 Mil Tel.: +	STATUS	DATE	08.09.17	13.11.17	20.07.18
лов NO. 16848	ALL SECTIO	, NW3 7 UY	DYNE AND H	th House, 52-54 Lower Sar 1) 677 3200 Fax.: (01) 677	ting Engineers, Civil . Str. Info@bmceuk.com Wei Street, London SE1 2AV, U 44 (0) 20 3750 3530	Z PRELIMINARY (P1, P Tender (T1,T	DES	ISSUED FOR COM	PW3 SECTION AD	PW3 SECTION RE
DRAWING NO. S-1050	SNC	D AVENUE,	(IRSTY MITC	ndwith Street, Dublin 2, Irelar 7 3164	uctural - Project Manageme br. www.bmceuk.com Inited Kingdom	2, P3 etc.,)	CRIPTION	IMENT	DED	VISED
P4			HELL	nd	barrett mahou	ING (PL1, PL2, PL3 etc RUCTION (0, 1, 2 etc	DRN P.E	MA SL SL	EP SL SL	EP SL SL







2. CONSULTANTS TO BE INFORMED IMMEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK PROCEEDS.





PRELIMINARY



ISSUE ST	SSUE 1	P2	P3 20									보		클로	• AL	NOTE:	1	 10		SB5	SB4	SB3	SB2	SB1	REF		SC2	SC1	REF		s	SF2	SF1	REF.		PD1	REF.		W4	W3	W2	W1	REF		SCH	2. COV	000
TATUS 🛛 PRELIMINARY (P1, P2, P3 etc.,) 🔲 PLANNING (PL1, PL2, PL3 etc.,)	DATE DESCRIPTION DISC. F.D.	14.11.17 REVISED AS CLOUDED	0.07.18 REVISED AS CLOUDED								ICKNESS)	ICKNESS = 215mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL	ICKNESS)	ICKNESS = 300mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL	L UNDERPIN BASES 300mm THK. U.N.O.		COMFLOOR 80 METAL DECK	DENOTES 250mm THK. R.C. SLAB ON 160mm Dp. CELLCORE HX/S Gd. 7/10 ON 50mm BLINDING	-	150 x 90 UEA 10.0 -	406 x 178 UB 54kg.	152 UC 30kg.	203 UC 86kg.	203 UC 48kg.	SIZE COMMENT	STEEL REAMS	203 UC 46kg	152 UC 37kg.	SIZE COMMENT	STEEL COLUMNS	SCHEDULE OF STEEL MEMBERS	700 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -	900 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -	SIZE COMMENT	CONCRETE STRIP FOOTINGS	1800 x 1800 x 300mm Dp. PAD FOOTING -	SIZE COMMENT	CONCRETE PAD FOOTINGS	100mm THK. R.C. WALL -	150mm THK, R.C. WALL -	200mm THK. R.C. WALL -	250mm THK, R.C. WALL -	SIZE COMMENT	CONCRETE WALLS		NSTILTANTS TO RE INFORMED IN MERDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES	





	PPROX.	
- F113 050	Ex. GROUND	

PRELIMINARY

NOTES

PROJECT		arrett Mahon ondon Office: fublin Office:	ISSUE ST/	P2 20 P2 14				102	SB4	SB2	SB1		SC1	REF.	s	SF2	SF1	2	REF.	W/3	W2	W1 REF.		2. BEFO	DOU DOU	1. THIS
TITLE IS TEMPLEWOOD AVENUE, DON NW37 UY	IN COYNE AND KIRSTY MITCHELL	Foreik Indepenses, Chrill, Structura J, Project Meagament Frank Independent Ander Structura J, Project Meagament Tel: + 44 (a) 20 3750 3550 Tel: + 44 (a) 20 3750 3550 Sanderh Homes, 2554 (and Sanderh Strett, Dudin 2, Imtant, Tel: (b) 877 2000 Fax: (b) 977 3164	ATUS IZ PRELIMINARY (P1, P2, P3 db.,.) TENDER (T1, T2, T3 db.,.) CONSTRUCTION (D, 1, 2 db.,.)	107.18 REVISED AS NOTED AT //P P </td <td>ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 215mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL CKNESS)</td> <td>. UNDERPIN BASES 300mm THK. U.N.O. ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 300mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL</td> <td>DENOTES 200mm O/A Dp. R.C. SLAB FORMED ON COMPLOOR 80 METAL DECK</td> <td>DENOTES 250mm THK: R.C. SLAB ON 160mm Dp. CELLCORE HXIS Gd. 7/10 ON 50mm BLINDING</td> <td>406 x 178 UB 54kg 150 x 90 UEA 10.0 -</td> <td>203 UC 86kg</td> <td>SIZE COMMENT 203 UC 46kg -</td> <td>STEEL BEAMS</td> <td>152 UC 37kg</td> <td>SIZE COMMENT</td> <td></td> <td>700 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -</td> <td>SIZE COMMENT</td> <td></td> <td>SIZE COMMENT</td> <td>150mm THK. R.C. WALL -</td> <td>200mm THK, R.C. WALL</td> <td>SIZE COMMENT</td> <td>CONCRETE WALLS</td> <td>SULTANTS TO BE INFORMED IMMEDIA TELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES</td> <td>HITECTS DRAWINGSEGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING)TO ISED. WHERE A CONFLICT OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR IF IN ANY BT - <u>ASK</u>.</td> <td>DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS &</td>	ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 215mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL CKNESS)	. UNDERPIN BASES 300mm THK. U.N.O. ERNAL WALL UNDERPIN STEM MIN. CKNESS = 300mm (TO MATCH EXISTING WALL	DENOTES 200mm O/A Dp. R.C. SLAB FORMED ON COMPLOOR 80 METAL DECK	DENOTES 250mm THK: R.C. SLAB ON 160mm Dp. CELLCORE HXIS Gd. 7/10 ON 50mm BLINDING	406 x 178 UB 54kg 150 x 90 UEA 10.0 -	203 UC 86kg	SIZE COMMENT 203 UC 46kg -	STEEL BEAMS	152 UC 37kg	SIZE COMMENT		700 x 300mm Dp. STRIP FOOTING -	SIZE COMMENT		SIZE COMMENT	150mm THK. R.C. WALL -	200mm THK, R.C. WALL	SIZE COMMENT	CONCRETE WALLS	SULTANTS TO BE INFORMED IMMEDIA TELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES	HITECTS DRAWINGSEGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING)TO ISED. WHERE A CONFLICT OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR IF IN ANY BT - <u>ASK</u> .	DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS &

AS SHOWN 16848

DRAWING NO. S-1101

P3

ALE @ A1

JOB NO.

DRAWING TITLE

SECTIONS SHEET 1



SCALE @ A1 JOB NO. AS SHOWN 16848	DRAWING TITLE TEMPORARY WORKS MONITORING LOCAT	No. 35 TEMPLEWOOI LONDON, NW3 7 UY	CLIENT BRIAN COYNE AND F	Anter Mahong Consulting Engineers, CAL: Sir E-mail: Info@Encauk.com We contain Office: 12 Mill Street, Loados SE1 24/1 12 Mill Street, Loados SE1 24/2 12 L: 44 (0) 20 3793 0320 12 L: 44 (0) 20 379 0320 12 L: 44 (0) 27 3200 Fax: (0) 97 12 L: (0) 977 2200 Fax: (0) 97	P3 20.07.18 REVISED AS NOT P2 14.11.17 ADDITIONAL POIN PI 05.09.17 P3 05.09.17 ISSUED FOR COM DATE DES DESULE VALUE	RED EXCEPTS fram ACTON RED EXCEPTS fram ACTON CONTRACTOR TO INPLEM MOVEMENTS AND STOP Y MOVEMENTS AND STOP Y MOVEMENTS AND STOP Y MOVEMENTS SHOULD CONTIN OF 6 MONTHS	AMER LOCAL VERTICAL VALUE MOVEMENT LES THANG GREEN ACTION CRITCH TO ROCEL EXCEEDS SIM ACTION EXCEEDS SIM ACTION EXCEEDS SIM ACTION CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL CONTINUENCIAL	MONITORING IS TO BE UNDERT MONITORING IS TO BE UNDERT DUE TO DAILY THERMAL TEECH DUE TO DAILY THERMAL TEEC FRADINGS ARE TO BE TAKENA THE FEFECTS OF TRAPERATUR TO THE FEFECTS OF TRAPERATUR THE FEFECTS OF TRAPERATUR THE FEEL OURSE OF TRAPERATUR THE FEEL OURSE OF TRAPERATUR SUMMACTOR STOR RESINGS THE FOLLOWING TRAGER VAL THE FOLLOWING TRAGER VAL	THE PERMITTER WALLS SHALL OF NOVEMENT BY FOLLOWING A. VISION OF TAREATER WALLS SHALL A. VISION OF TAREATES FOR NOVING BACUMA, NEEDING WARES AND SHALL BI AND RESULTS TAREATIS FOR NOVING IN AND RESULTS TAREATIS FOR NOVING IN TO THE CA ON A WEEKLY BASS. J. VI-0.2mm	1) PROVIDE MONITORING PONTS AND HORATORING PONTS AND HORATORING PONTS AND HORATORING AND HERICOLATE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. AT TH CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. AT TH EXCANATION WORKS CONDUCTED THUS BASE-LINE READINGS AT EACH PONT IS INDICATED THUS	1. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS FIGURI BE USED WHERE A CONFLICT O DOUBT - <u>ASK</u> . 2. CONSULTAN'S TO BE INFORMED 2. CONSULTAN'S TO BE INFORMED	Z	PRELI
T-4000 P3	S ION PLAN	D AVENUE,	(IRSTY MITCHELL	12,13 dav.) Construction (11,12,2 dav Latural: Profile: Management Latural: Profile: Management data were brocoak.com Later Kreate Inter Kreate. Datain 2, Ireland.	ED Image: Comparison of the comparison of th	ENT EXCEEDS from ACTION ENT EXCEEDS from ACTION MEASURES TO EX-SE VORKS MOVEMENTS AND STOP WORKS WOYENENTS AND STOP WORKS HOVEMENTS AND STOP WORKS BRUCTURAL WORKS MAYEMENT JE ON A MONTHLY BASIS FOR A PERIOD	TOTAL HORIZONTAL UNIVERENTS MM MOTENENTS ACTION CK TO PROCEED ACTION CK TO PROCEED ACTION CK TO PROCEED ACTION CK TO PROCEED SINGE FREQUENTY, REVEN COSKI RUCTON ETHICRES SINGER RECOLETING, REVEN COSKI RUCTON ETHICRES SINGER RUCTON ETHICRES COSKI RUCK SHORT, OR SINGER SH	AVEN FOR A SUITABLE PERIOD PRIOR TO THE BORE ESTABLISHED. IT THE SO BE ESTABLISHED. EFLUCTIVITORS SEALENT WORKS THE CONTRACTOR STO DATA EFLUCTIVITORS. CALLER FUNCHED AND THE AND THE SALE TO RECORDS ACCUMULATIVE R DATE OF DEALED AND THE ACTIONS TO BE	BE MONITORED REGULARY FOR SIGNS METHODS S REAL SHALL BE A GREED PROR TO RECORDED ON SURVEY DRAWINGS REFED GRAPHICALLY AND SUBJUTTED SENTED GRAPHICALLY AND SUBJUTTED	NG LEGEND TO EXSTING WALLAT SPECIFIC TO EXSTING WALLAT SPECIFIC TO EXC AND BOTH VERTICAL COT THE FACADE DURING THE COT IN THE CONTRACTOR STO TAKE THE CONTRACTOR STO TAKE THE CONTRACTOR STO TAKE THE CONTRACTOR STO TAKE	L CONJUNCTION WITHALL ENGNEERS & ED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING) TO F INFORMATION EXISTS OR IF IN ANY I MANEDIA TELY OF ANY DISCREPANCES	OTES	MINARY





SCALE @ A1 JOB NO. AS SHOWN 16848	DRAWING TITLE TEMPORARY WORK EXISTING BASEMEN PLAN	No. 35 TEMPLEWOC LONDON, NW3 7 UY	CLIENT BRIAN COYNE AND	Constanting Language Sources Constanting Language Sources Constanting Language Sources Constant Section 2012 Constent Section 2012 Constant Section 2012 Constant Section 2	ISSUE STATUS PRELIMINARY (PI	ISSUE DATE DE	P3 30.07.18 ISSUED FOR CC P2 20.07.18 ISSUED FOR CC P1 11.09.17 ISSUED FOR CC														INSTALLED IN 450mm Ø1	TC1 152 UC 30kg.	REF. SIZE	TEMPORA	DENOTES 450	CENTER STR		P DENOTES TEM	SB DENOTES TEM		2. CONSULTANTS TO BE INFORME BEFORE WORK PROCEEDS.	DOUBT - ' <u>ASK</u> '.	1. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS FIGU BE USED WHERE A CONFLICT)]]
T-4002 P3	(S NT DEMOLITION	DD AVENUE,	KIRSTY MITCHELL	Herz, verwich Jongskunden, Anderskunden - Herzeit - Herzeiter - He	, P2, P3 elc.,) PLANNING (PL1, PL2, PL3 elc.,) 1,72, T3 elc.,) CONSTRUCTION (0, 1, 2 elc.,) Tructural Disclot Macromout	ESCRIPTION DRIG P.E.	MMENT SN SN PS (2)														PILE	UNDERPINNING	COMMENT		mm Ø PILE	UCTURE TO BE DEMOLISHED	EARING PAD	IPORARY PROPS AND NEEDLES	IPORARY BEAMS		ED IMMEDIA HELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES		IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS & RED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING) TO OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR IF IN ANY	IOTES	MINARY	



SCALE @ A1 JOB NO. AS SHOWN 16848	DRAWING TITLE TEMPORARY WORK EXISTING FIRST FLO PLAN	PROJECT TITLE No. 35 TEMPLEWOC LONDON, NW3 7 UY	BRIAN COYNE AND	London Office: 12 Mill Street, London SE1 24X, TeJ; + 44 (0) 20 3750 3530 Dubin Office: Sandwith Huses, 52-54, Lower S TeJ; {01) 877 3200 Fax; {01} 6	TENDER (11 Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers, Civil., St E-mail: Info@bmceuk.com W	P3 30.07.18 ISSUED FOR CO P2 20.07.18 ISSUED FOR CO P1 11.09.17 ISSUED FOR CO													-	TC2 152 UC 30kg, PLUNGE CO	TC1 152 UC 30kg.	TEMPORA	SCHEDULE OF TE	DENOTES 450n	↓ DENOTES STRU	CONCRETE BE	P DENOTES TEM	SB DENOTES TEM	W DENOTES TEM		2. CONSULTANTS TO BE INFORME BEFORE WORK PROCEEDS.	ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS FIGUP BE USED WHERE A CONFLICT (DOUBT - ' <u>ASK</u> ')	1. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ I	z	
T-4003 P3	S Dor Demolition	DD AVENUE,	KIRSTY MITCHELL	, Unted Kingdom	1,12, 13 ec.,) CONSTRUCTION (0, 1, 2 ec.,) iructural - Project Management.														-	SUDAN - SUDERFLIMMING		RY COLUMNS	MPORARY MEMBERS	nm Ø PILE	UCTURE TO BE DEMOLISHED	ARING PAD	PORARY PROPS AND NEEDLES	PORARY BEAMS	PORARY WALLER	GEND	D IMMEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES	OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR IF IN ANY	IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS &	IOTES	MINARY





BOTTOM OF SWIMMING POOL LEVEL = +110.760

PRELIMINARY

NOTES

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS & ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS.FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING) TO BE USED. WHERE A CONFLICT OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR IF IN ANY DOUBT - `<u>ASK</u>'.

CONSULTANTS TO BE INFORMED IMMEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK PROCEEDS. I EGEND

	LEGEND
W	DENOTES TEMPORARY WALLER
SB	DENOTES TEMPORARY BEAMS
Р	DENOTES TEMPORARY PROPS AND NEEDLES
₩	CONCRETE BEARING PAD
}} F	DENOTES STRUCTURE TO BE DEMOLISHED
	DENOTES 450mm Ø PILE

SCHEDULE OF TEMPORARY MEMBERS **TEMPORARY COLUMNS** REF. COMMENT SIZE SUPPORTED ON TC1 152 UC 30kg. UNDERPINNING 152 UC 30kg. PLUNGE COLUMN INSTALLED IN 450mm Ø PILE TC2 **OUTLINE METHOD STATEMENT:** STAGE 1: I) FIRST FLOOR SUBSTRUCTURE IS PROPPED TO GROUND FLOOR II) EXISTING GROUND FLOOR IS REMOVED III) EXISTING PROJECTING GROUND BEAMS TO BE CAREFULLY REMOVED FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF ALL UNDERPINNING STAGE 2: I) TEMPORARY UNDERPIN OF WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED II) PROP INTERNAL BUILDING FLOOR ADJACENT TO WALL FROM LOWER LEVEL III) EXCAVATE CENTRALLY WITHIN BUILDING TO 1.5m BELOW GROUND FLOOR LEVEL AND CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY FOOTING STAGE 3: I) SWIMMING POOL CAREFULLY DISMANTELED AND REMOVED TO STORAGE, BOUNDARY WALL DEMOLISHED II) NEW BOUNDARY WALL AND ASSOCIATED STRIP FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTED

STAGE 4: I) SUPPORTS FROM CENTRAL FOOTING EXTENDED & TEMPORARY PROPS NEAR WALL REMOVED II) PROP UUPER LEVELS OF HOUSE USING ACROW PROPS AND TEMPORARY FRAMES ALONG THE TEMPORARY FOOTING, WITH TEMPORARY BEAMS SPANNING ONTO THESE. III) SPAN TEMPORARY BEAMS ONTO NEW BOUNDARY WALL

- STAGE 5: I) EXISTING INTERNAL WALLS TO BE DEMOLISHED
- STAGE 6: I) GROUND REDUCED BY 600mm AND HIGHER LEVEL OF BRACED FRAME INSTALLED
- STAGE 7: I) GROUND REDUCED TO FORMATION LEVEL OUTSIDE OF TEMPORARY FOOTING II) LOWER LEVEL OF BRACED FRAME INSTALLED STAGE 8:
- I) BASEMENT SLAB PARTIALLY CONSTRUCTED II) CONSTRUCT GROUND FLOOR III) REMOVE LOWER LEVEL BRACED FRAME STAGE 9:
- I) EXCAVATE BERM AND TEMPORARY FOOTING II) CAST REMAINDER OF BASEMENT SLAB AND WALLS (NON-LOADBEARING)

2	30.07.18	ISSUED FOR COMMENT	M.A. O.A.	S.L. V.B.
P 1	11.09.17	ISSUED FOR COMMENT	M.A. O.A.	S.L. V.B.
SUE	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRN ORIG	P.E. P.D.
SUE	STATUS	PRELIMINARY (P1, P2, P3 etc.,) Image: Planning (P TENDER (T1,T2, T3 etc.,) Image: Planning (P	L1, PL2, P DN (0, 1	L3 etc,,) , 2 etc,,)

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers, Civil . Structural . Project Management. E-mail: info@bmceuk.com Web: www.bmceuk.com London Office: 12 Mill Street, London SE1 2AY, United Kingdom Tel.: +44 (0) 20 3750 3530 Dublin Office: Sandwith House, 52-54 Lower Sandwith Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. Tel.: (01) 677 3200 Fax.: (01) 677 3164

CLIENT **BRIAN COYNE AND KIRSTY MITCHELL** PROJECT TITLE No. 35 TEMPLEWOOD AVENUE, LONDON, NW3 7 UY DRAWING TITLE

barrett mahony

- **TEMPORARY WORKS** SEQUENCE PLAN SHEET 1
- SCALE @ A1 DRAWING NO. ISSUE JOB NO. T-4005 16848 **P2** AS SHOWN





STAGE 8

PRELIMINARY

NOTES

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS & ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS.FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY (NOT SCALING) TO BE USED. WHERE A CONFLICT OF INFORMATION EXISTS OR IF IN ANY DOUBT - `<u>ASK</u>'.

CONSULTANTS TO BE INFORMED IMMEDIATELY OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK PROCEEDS.

	LEGEND
W	DENOTES TEMPORARY WALLER
SB	DENOTES TEMPORARY BEAMS
Ρ	DENOTES TEMPORARY PROPS AND NEEDLES
₩	CONCRETE BEARING PAD
Jj 77	DENOTES STRUCTURE TO BE DEMOLISHED

DENOTES 450mm Ø PILE SCHEDULE OF TEMPORARY MEMBERS TEMPORARY COLUMNS REF. COMMENT SIZE SUPPORTED ON TC1 152 UC 30kg. UNDERPINNING TC2 152 UC 30kg. PLUNGE COLUMN INSTALLED IN 450mm Ø PILE **OUTLINE METHOD STATEMENT:** STAGE 1: I) FIRST FLOOR SUBSTRUCTURE IS PROPPED TO GROUND FLOOR II) EXISTING GROUND FLOOR IS REMOVED III) EXISTING PROJECTING GROUND BEAMS TO BE CAREFULLY REMOVED FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF ALL UNDERPINNING STAGE 2: I) TEMPORARY UNDERPIN OF WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED II) PROP INTERNAL BUILDING FLOOR ADJACENT TO WALL FROM LOWER LEVEL III) EXCAVATE CENTRALLY WITHIN BUILDING TO 1.5m BELOW GROUND FLOOR LEVEL AND CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY FOOTING STAGE 3: I) SWIMMING POOL CAREFULLY DISMANTELED AND REMOVED TO STORAGE, BOUNDARY WALL DEMOLISHED II) NEW BOUNDARY WALL AND ASSOCIATED STRIP FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTED STAGE 4: I) SUPPORTS FROM CENTRAL FOOTING EXTENDED & TEMPORARY PROPS NEAR WALL REMOVED II) PROP UUPER LEVELS OF HOUSE USING ACROW PROPS AND TEMPORARY FRAMES ALONG THE TEMPORARY FOOTING, WITH TEMPORARY BEAMS SPANNING ONTO THESE. III) SPAN TEMPORARY BEAMS ONTO NEW BOUNDARY WALL STAGE 5: I) EXISTING INTERNAL WALLS TO BE DEMOLISHED STAGE 6: I) GROUND REDUCED BY 600mm AND HIGHER LEVEL OF BRACED FRAME INSTALLED STAGE 7: I) GROUND REDUCED TO FORMATION LEVEL OUTSIDE OF TEMPORARY FOOTING II) LOWER LEVEL OF BRACED FRAME INSTALLED STAGE 8: I) BASEMENT SLAB PARTIALLY CONSTRUCTED II) CONSTRUCT GROUND FLOOR III) REMOVE LOWER LEVEL BRACED FRAME STAGE 9: I) EXCAVATE BERM AND TEMPORARY FOOTING II) CAST REMAINDER OF BASEMENT SLAB AND WALLS (NON-LOADBEARING)



barrett **mahony**

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers, Civil . Structural . Project Management. E-mail: info@bmceuk.com Web: www.bmceuk.com London Office: 12 Mill Street, London SE1 2AY, United Kingdom Tel.: +44 (0) 20 3750 3530 Dublin Office: Sandwith House, 52-54 Lower Sandwith Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. Tel.: (01) 677 3200 Fax.: (01) 677 3164

CLIENT BRIAN	COYNE AND	KIRSTY MITCHEL	L
PROJECT TIT		OD AVENUE,	
DRAWING TIT		1	
TEMPO SEQUE	RARY WORI	KS	
SCALE @ A1	JOB NO.	DRAWING NO.	ISSUE
AS SHOWN	16848	T-4006	P2

- DIG TO FORMATION & CONSTRUCT BASE SLAB IN AREAS WHICH HAVE BEEN EXPOSED

Barrett Mahony Consulting Engineers

Dublin:

Sandwith House, 52-54 Lower Sandwith Street, Dublin 2, D02 WR26, Ireland. Tel: +353 1 677 3200

London:

12 Mill Street, London, SE1 2AY, United Kingdom Tel: +44 203 750 3530.

Sofia:

19 Yakubitsa Street, Lozenets, Sofia 1164, Bulgaria <u>Tel: +35</u>9 2 494 9772

WWW.BMCE.IE