

Gentet, Matthias

From: Tom Moss <tmos@pembrook.co.uk>
Sent: 09 August 2018 20:24
To: Gentet, Matthias
Cc: Colin Harding; Thomas Bailess
Subject: Re: 4 Conway Street, London

Hi Matthias,

Please find attached a response from our acoustic engineer with regards to the objections raised by the recent objector.

I will forward the updated report as soon as I receive it from Hepworth Acoustics.

Kind regards,

Tom Moss

From: Thomas Bailess <Thomas.Bailess@hepworth-acoustics.co.uk>
Sent: 09 August 2018 18:08
To: Tom Moss
Cc: Colin Harding
Subject: RE: 4 Conway Street, London

Tom,

Thanks for the comments. Please find our response below:

1.3. The resident says their flat is 7 metres from the pub, by which they presumably mean the pub's site boundary. The proposed equipment is further away than this. I have re-measured the distance from the equipment to the closest window in County House. At the very closest point to the flats, it is 13 metres to the kitchen ventilation outlets and 11 metres to the nearest condenser. This makes negligible difference to the recommendations, but I will update the report for accuracy.

2.3. The minimum background noise levels used for each part of the assessment correspond to the time the equipment is operating. Therefore when assessing the noise from the kitchen ventilation fans, the lowest background noise level between 10:00 and 23:00 is used. When assessing the noise from the condensers, the lowest background noise level at any time has been used, as these can potentially operate 24 hours a day.

2.5. The resident states it seems unlikely the condensers will be switched off at night. This is correct, and in the assessment we have used the worst-case scenario that the condensers are running 24 hours a day. I will update the report to make this more clear.

2.6. and 2.7. The resident says the report does not include the cellar condenser. This is incorrect; the cellar condenser is clearly listed in Table 2 of the report.

2.8. I assume this refers to the previous application?

2.11. The resident states that the proposed timber screen will amplify the noise, rather than reduce it. This is not possible.

2.12. The resident questions how any roof covering attenuates noise. The roof covering attenuates noise like all barriers, through the action of mass and acoustic impedance.

2.13. The resident notes that the prevailing wind is from the south west. This makes no difference to the noise impact assessment in this context.

I will send the revised report through shortly.

Thomas

Thomas Bailess MEng MIOA
Principal Consultant

thomas.bailess@hepworth-acoustics.co.uk
020 7554 8712
07968 956 477

hepworthacoustics

Hamilton House, Mabledon Place, London WC1H 9BB
hepworth-acoustics.co.uk



From: Tom Moss <tmoss@pembrook.co.uk>
Sent: 08 August 2018 08:38
To: Thomas Bailess <Thomas.Bailess@hepworth-acoustics.co.uk>
Cc: Colin Harding <charding@pembrook.co.uk>
Subject: Fw: 4 Conway Street, London

Morning Thomas,

Please find attached another objection that has been sent into the planners from a local resident. Most of the quires relate to the Council not issuing a notice to the occupier of the flat in question however they have raised other questions which the council is asking if we would like to respond too. If you could come back to me as before I would be grateful.

Kind regards,

Tom Moss

From: Gentet, Matthias <Matthias.Gentet@camden.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 August 2018 12:47
To: Tom Moss
Cc: Colin Harding
Subject: RE: 4 Conway Street, London

Good afternoon Tom

I have looked through my emails but can't find anything with this revised noise report attached.

Anyway, this has now been uploaded and is superseding the original report. The EH officer will look at the details within the revised report and will provide me with his finding very shortly.

I must also bring to your attention that the Council has received another objection (see attached). I will respond to the objector to clarify some of the points raised and will direct the objector to look at the revised noise report. Should you wish to also address a response to the objection, please do so. This can then be forwarded to the objector.

Kind regards

Matthias Gentet
Planning Technician

Telephone: 020 7974 5961

You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: Tom Moss <tmoss@pembrook.co.uk>
Sent: 06 August 2018 07:55
To: Gentet, Matthias <Matthias.Gentet@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Colin Harding <charding@pembrook.co.uk>
Subject: Re: 4 Conway Street, London

Dear Matthias,

I'm not sure what you mean by this as I had the Acoustic Engineer revise his report which was sent over to you. I have attached it again. This was revised to take into account the revised number of condensers on the third floor roof. 3 plus a walk in fridge condenser instead of 6 as previously shown. (see item 4.1 table 2 of the report). Whilst the report states that the walk in freezer condenser will be an existing unit that is being re-positioned the likelihood is that it will be replaced with a new like for like unit. The readings within the Acoustic Report for this piece of equipment will not be greater than that stated.

I trust this clarifies the situation.

Kind regards,

Tom Moss

From: Gentet, Matthias <Matthias.Gentet@camden.gov.uk>
Sent: 31 July 2018 11:21:46
To: Tom Moss
Cc: Colin Harding
Subject: RE: 4 Conway Street, London

Hi Tom

I can see that the Engineer's response has not taken into account your comments regarding the amendment to the proposal by means of the reduction of proposed AC units.

I will nevertheless look at his response with the EH officer.

Kind regards

Matthias Gentet
Planning Technician

Telephone: 020 7974 5961

You can [sign up](#) to our new and improved planning e-alerts to let you know about new planning applications, decisions and appeals.

From: Tom Moss <tmoss@pembrook.co.uk>
Sent: 30 July 2018 16:07
To: Gentet, Matthias <Matthias.Gentet@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Colin Harding <charding@pembrook.co.uk>
Subject: Fw: 4 Conway Street, London

Dear Matthias,

I have had the Acoustic Engineer (Thomas Bailess of Hepworth Acoustics) look at the letters of objection from the Charlotte Street Association and the 3 local residents. His response to the objections is below.

Kind regards,

Tom Moss
Pembrook Design Ltd.

From: Thomas Bailess <Thomas.Bailess@hepworth-acoustics.co.uk>
Sent: 30 July 2018 14:35
To: Tom Moss
Subject: RE: 4 Conway Street, London

Tom,

Thanks for the letters.

The revised report takes into consideration points 1 to 4 raised by the Charlotte Street Association, including revising the assessment to include the flats at County House. Other residences mentioned are further away or are already partially shielded so will be less affected. The proposed 1.5 metre high barrier will be sufficient to shield the County House flats from the condenser noise. Regarding point 5 of their

letter about the times of the noise survey, we can repeat the survey to include a weekend on request. I don't think that is necessary as in my experience of the area, weekend nights are noisier than weekday nights.

For the letter from Matthew Sturgis, the address of the flat referred to is redacted so I can't comment on whether he has raised a valid point.

Meital Meiselovich refers to 6 extractor fans being added. This is not the case, so I assume he has misunderstood the application.

Selwyn Hardy states that there is no acoustic report for the proposed equipment, which is incorrect. He also refers to air conditioning equipment to be installed behind a louvered screen. The screen is solid, not louvered. Again, I assume he has misunderstood the application or has not read it in its entirety.

Let me know if you have any comments.

Thomas

Thomas Bailess MEng MIOA
Principal Consultant

thomas.bailess@hepworth-acoustics.co.uk

020 7554 8712

07968 956 477

hepworthacoustics

Hamilton House, Mabledon Place, London WC1H 9BB

hepworth-acoustics.co.uk



From: Tom Moss <tmoss@pembrook.co.uk>

Sent: 30 July 2018 13:42

To: Thomas Bailess <Thomas.Bailess@hepworth-acoustics.co.uk>

Subject: 4 Conway Street, London

Hi Thomas,

I attach for your attention 4 letters of objection relating to the installation of plant at the above premises. Could you please look at these with a view to making sure that the items have been covered in your revised report. Obviously at least one has been written prior to the revisions on the number of condenser units as it still refers to 6 rather than 3. If the planner recommends the application it will need to go to a Members Briefing to be determined so we need to make sure that all bases are covered in relation to the noise aspect of the job.

Kind regards,

Tom Moss

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and

delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.