	2 2 20		12.	Printed on: 24/07/2018 09:10:04
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/2859/P		21/07/2018 18:30:19	OBJLETTE R	I have written an objection to this which I wish to attach as a document. Please advise me how to do this.
2018/2859/P		21/07/2018 17:44:44	OBJ	Hello, I have read with interest many of the documents provided in this planning application. I am concerned about a number of issues with the following four being most pressing:  1) I cannot see how this development could go ahead given the difficult access to the site. This access is shared by residents as well as parents, siblings and attendees of New End school. It would be unsafe to consider narrowing to a single file corridor as some people require assistance.  2

Printed on: 24/07/2018 09:10:04

2018/2859/P

Consultees Name:

Develved

23/07/2018 13:46:51 OBJNOT

My family and I strongly object to this application for the following reasons: OVER-DEVELOPMENT

The site is completely unsuitable for the erection of 4 dwellings and the previous application should NOT have been granted. The developer adds insult to injury by now adding proposals for additional basement works in

been glanted. The developer access insult to injury by new aroung proposals for additional baseline in works in this preclous conservation area, DISRUPTION

The works will add misery and inconvenience to local residents, many of whom are elderly or infirm. Access to and from our properties is essential and cannot be restricted by constant works that, we are told, will last over TWO YEARS! As residents we have a "right of way" and we must be able to retain access for deliveries, waste clearance and maintenance. The proposed restrictions to pedestrian access by reducing the width of "walkway" is unacceptable. There schools and a nursery will be unduly affected. Disabled access renders this impossible. The development will "BLIGHT" all our properties for years to come.

NOISE POLLUTION

The site borders a quiet and peaceful area of Hampstead Village. The surrounding buildings trap noise and amplify the same to an extraordinary level. Pile driving and excessive use of power tools and machinery an unacceptable breach to residents right to "quality of life" and should be used extremely sparingly during any

## SITE ACCESS

There is no proper site access - the proposal to shift all waste, deliver all building materials up and down a narrow pedestrianised pathway is impractical using "tracked" vehicles and will be a danger to children and residents allike. The estimated or under-estimated 100 skips of cleared waste will form an unimaginable burden to local residents and the increased problem of forries and site vehicles a serious concern to all. HYDROLOGY

We believe that there are serious ERRORS in the consultancy documents concerning groundwater and who believe that there are serious performance of the river fleet. As residents of Mansfield Place for many years, we have lived with and experienced alterations to water courses and "damp" issues that flow beneath our houses. There is specific drainage to carry flow underneath New Ends school and a well beneath No. 7 Mansfield Place being additional evidence to support this. We believe that a single test (in dry weather) produced by the developers, is not

## DAYLIGHT

The previously approved proposal states that no significant change to "right to light" will be impacted by the new proposal. However, we have serious misgivings concerning the original proposal and believe that the information from the developers was/is AT FAULT. And the proposal Will impact on residents in Streatley Place and most particularly residents in New Court. TRAFFIC FLOW & PARKING

IRAF-IC FLOW & PARKING
We object to the application for the reasons of the impact to parking and free access to parking during the proposed works. With the conjoined works already underway at the Nurses home in New End, the additional burden to local residents with this additional development in Streatley Place and a proposal to "shift" over 100 skips (probably more) from the rear of New End School is totally unacceptable.

Access to the bottom of Streatley Place MUST BE MAINTAINED for residents to unload goods and furniture

AT ALL TIMES!

In conclusion: The development is totally misconceived and we would ask that the application be rejected and that the original approval be withdrawn.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response: Printed on: 24/07/2018 09:10:04
2018/2859/P		22/07/2018 13:41:56	OBI	I am opposed to this application. The new proposal for a significantly different building than was originally envisaged will negatively impact the area. The new proposal will overlook New Court, especially the part of the block that I live in. In addition the new proposal will be extremely difficult to construct given the challenges of accessing the site. There is no access to the site by road. The developers are proposing to use Back Lane and New End to access the site and to store equipment. They will have to take out tons of earth and to bring in tons of material.  This will affect traffic flow on Flask Walk, New End and Back Lane for substantial periods of time. They will have to lay services down Streattey Place, which is of course stepped and runs alongside the New End School. Residents and users of the school can expect to be significantly affected for long periods.
2018/2859/P		23/07/2018 15:45:47	OBJ	We would like to object to the planning application 2018/2859/P has it clearly not taking in consideration the logistic of the site  and putting the site logistic on Back Lane will cause a great damage to our daily business (pag 34).  The permanent 10,7 m3 skip is too close to our windows and will increase the chance of breaking in our building (Pag 34).  Furthermore, the Compound site on Back Lane will restrict our exit which is also used form Fire Exit and an existing fire exit.  We have never been contacted by the applicant as reported on p36 of the draft CMP. Boades Mews itts a better option for the Compound site.  Best regards

				Printed on: 24/07/2018 09:10:04
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/2859/P		23/07/2018 15:45:03	OBJ	We would like to object to the planning application 2018/2859/P has it clearly not taking in consideration the logistic of the site.
				We own the building on No 2 Back Lane and putting the site logistic on Back Lane will cause a great damage to our daily business (pag 34).  The permanent 10,7 m3 skip is too close to our windows and will increase the chance of breaking in our building (Pag 34).  Furthermore, the Compound site on Back Lane will restrict our exit which is also used form Fire Exit and an existing fire exit.  We have never been contacted by the applicant as reported on p36 of the draft CMP. Boades Mews its a better option for the Compound site.
				Best regards