

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2018/1520/P     | ANDREW<br>MACKAY | 06/07/2018 08:35:55 | OBJ      | <p>My family [REDACTED] have been suffering from this development since 2012, with its multiple applications, conditions and revisions without direct notice to us having been submitted which have made it almost impossible for the layman to follow, and clear inconsistencies on the drawings.</p> <p>We are already adversely affected by the loss of Southern daylight and sunlight and even seemingly incremental changes such as a further extension of the roof height by adding metal handrails and re-locating the photo-voltaic panels and the adding of ducting will have further significant consequences and a major negative impact on our family home.</p> <p>The reason given for the relocation of the PV-panels, as the North point was marked incorrectly on the approved drawing plans in favour of the development, makes me question whether the Daylight and Sunlight Assessment has been done correctly and I would ask that this is reviewed independently.</p> <p>I also note that the variation is applying for changes to the hard landscaping etc at a time when the local residents have been told by the Developer that it is too late to make any change to the paint colour of the extension at the end of our gardens to a less oppressive colour than dark grey.</p> <p>I would like to know what steps Camden Planning Department are going to take to re-assess the impact of the proposed changes on our family home and to test and ensure that the 25 degree rule has been properly considered and that the assessment has been done correctly.</p> |

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2018/1520/P     | Maxine Altman    | 06/07/2018 18:59:38 | OBJ      | <p>[REDACTED] the development which has been going on since 2012 with various applications which for a layman are difficult to follow.</p> <p>I am already affected by the loss of a beautiful view from my flat window, and the loss of sky light. So even a minor change such as further extending the roof height would have additional significant consequences and a further negative impact on my home and my enjoyment of living in Primrose Hill.</p> <p>Due to the apparent discrepancies between the planning drawings, I now have doubts whether the Daylight and Sunlight Assessment has been done correctly.</p> <p>I see that changes are being sought for the hard landscaping etc at a time when the developers have informed the residents (through Knight Harwood) that it is too late to get planning permission to change the paint colour of the external elevation which is an appalling and depressing grey, resembling a prison wall. I am in agreement with all my neighbours, that we would very much like to see the wall colour changed to match the pale cream colour elsewhere on the exterior of the building or a trellis be installed with fast growing creepers planted to mitigate the effect of the grey wall.</p> <p>I would like to know what steps the Camden Planning Department are going to take to re-asses the impact of the proposed changes on my home and make sure that the 25 degree rule has been considered and that the assessment has been done correctly.</p> <p>I hope that the planning committee will understand that 6 years of disruption at very close quarters (the building is less than 30 meters from my home) is really quite enough. The residents understand that the development has been hampered by contractual and design issues. We are keen for the building work to be completed quickly, and ideally attractively, to fit in with our beautiful neighbourhood. We then look forward to the peace to get on with our lives.</p> <p>Thank you,<br/>Maxine Altman</p> |

---