

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/2851/T	Patricia Barham	02/07/2018 14:53:43	OBJ	<p>We are writing in the capacity of the legal owners of some tree(s) which are subject to proposed tree works. To begin with, the Application is not entirely correct as the proposed tree works would be carried in a Conservation Area contrary to the Application.</p> <p>The tree report provided by the Applicant and showing tree positions is very sketchy. Nevertheless, we can definitely say that contrary to the Application's statement the Applicant is not the owner of the tree T1. It grows on our land.</p> <p>We would object tree works on its one side only as it would not either benefit the tree aesthetically or significantly contribute to the tree health if not causing harm by misbalancing the crown. We suggest and can only agree the works on T1 if lifting the crown and removal of suckers would be carried all around the tree and we will be happy to give our permission for works access to the tree.</p>
2018/2851/T	Patricia Barham	02/07/2018 14:53:31	OBJ	<p>We are writing in the capacity of the legal owners of some tree(s) which are subject to proposed tree works. To begin with, the Application is not entirely correct as the proposed tree works would be carried in a Conservation Area contrary to the Application.</p> <p>The tree report provided by the Applicant and showing tree positions is very sketchy. Nevertheless, we can definitely say that contrary to the Application's statement the Applicant is not the owner of the tree T1. It grows on our land.</p> <p>We would object tree works on its one side only as it would not either benefit the tree aesthetically or significantly contribute to the tree health if not causing harm by misbalancing the crown. We suggest and can only agree the works on T1 if lifting the crown and removal of suckers would be carried all around the tree and we will be happy to give our permission for works access to the tree.</p>
2018/2851/T	Patricia Barham	02/07/2018 14:53:39	OBJ	<p>We are writing in the capacity of the legal owners of some tree(s) which are subject to proposed tree works. To begin with, the Application is not entirely correct as the proposed tree works would be carried in a Conservation Area contrary to the Application.</p> <p>The tree report provided by the Applicant and showing tree positions is very sketchy. Nevertheless, we can definitely say that contrary to the Application's statement the Applicant is not the owner of the tree T1. It grows on our land.</p> <p>We would object tree works on its one side only as it would not either benefit the tree aesthetically or significantly contribute to the tree health if not causing harm by misbalancing the crown. We suggest and can only agree the works on T1 if lifting the crown and removal of suckers would be carried all around the tree and we will be happy to give our permission for works access to the tree.</p>
2018/2851/T	Patricia Barham	02/07/2018 14:53:22	OBJ	<p>We are writing in the capacity of the legal owners of some tree(s) which are subject to proposed tree works. To begin with, the Application is not entirely correct as the proposed tree works would be carried in a Conservation Area contrary to the Application.</p> <p>The tree report provided by the Applicant and showing tree positions is very sketchy. Nevertheless, we can definitely say that contrary to the Application's statement the Applicant is not the owner of the tree T1. It grows on our land.</p> <p>We would object tree works on its one side only as it would not either benefit the tree aesthetically or significantly contribute to the tree health if not causing harm by misbalancing the crown. We suggest and can only agree the works on T1 if lifting the crown and removal of suckers would be carried all around the tree and we will be happy to give our permission for works access to the tree.</p>

Total: 6