				Printed on: 18/06/2018 09:10:0)3	
Application 148/1 155 Properties Name:		Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2018/1325/P	Linn Hellstrom	16/06/2018 18:19:46	COMMNT	I am writing to strongly object to this development proposal. This proposal will be nothing short of catastrophic both for our quality of life and for the value of our property.		
				To begin, the obstruction of light with the erection of the extra floors will render our bedrooms light-less. Furthermore the extreme proximity of the area in question - less than 1 and a half meters form my window - -will produce terrible noise and a lack of privacy. It will render the room barely usable. The design of it is appalling too completely out of character with the surrounding buildings and neighborhood. I can not object more strenuously to this proposal which I do believe contravenes Camden's own planning regulations with regard to reductions of light in particular, to name but one point.		
2018/1325/P	Edgaras Montvidas	17/06/2018 17:38:04	COMMNT	I strongly oppose the proposal to extend of a new 4th floor as it will directly affect the quality, the tranquility and above all the amount of a daylight in my dwelling.		
2018/1325/P	David Peters	15/06/2018 16:33:32	OBJCOMPA P	We live in Flat 5. our terrace, and windows on the white bear yard side of the building will loose daylight and it's likely we will view only the building, if two floors are added to the existing floors. We can currently see the London skyline. This would make our terrace basically a dark cold, well, we would have to look only upward to see any light or sun. I can't believe this would be your desired outcome!? Thanks for reading my comments.	_	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 18/06/2018 09:10:03 Response:
2018/1325/P	Claire Christie		OBJEMPER	Planning Application 2018/1325/P
				I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the application to build a two storey roof extension at 144A Clerkenwell Road as part of the above planning application.
				In my view the proposed scheme would have a severely negative impact on the current state of my property for the following reasons,
				First of all there would be a massive loss of light to both bedrooms in my property in breach of BREEAM standards, Camden Councils pre- application guidance.
				2 The creation of busy commercial working area in close proximity to both my bedrooms adding to the existing commercial areas of the building, which already produce significant noise throughout the day
				The creation of extra significant light pollution, through new busy areas likely to be used early and late in the day and night again within just a few metres of both of my bedrooms will simply add more to the night-time light pollution which already exists, (whole office floor lights being left on all night!)
				4 The Architectural design is simply prioritising maximum floorspace with absolutely no consideration to its neighbours properties and in my view a complete over-development of the site.
				In conclusion I do not see any good reason why a two storey roof extension can be justified on this building given the detrimental impact on 1-10 Summers Street and its residents and repeat my objection to the proposed scheme in the strongest possible terms and urge Camden Council to protect the legitimate interests of myself, a long-term resident of Summers Street and indeed Camden in the Interests of a non residential developer.
				Yours Sincerely
				Claire Christie

L P d N	C k N	D 1 1	6	Printed on:	18/06/2018	09:10:03
Application No: 2018/1325/P	Consultees Name: Bill Butcher	Received: 15/06/2018 17:03:04	Comment: OBJEMPER	Response: Planning Application 2018/1325/P		
				I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the application to build a two storey roof extens Clerkenwell Road as part of the above planning application.	on at 144A	
				In my view the proposed scheme would have a severely negative impact on the current state of for the following reasons,	ny property	
				1 First of all there would be a massive loss of light to both bedrooms in my property in breach of standards, Camden Councils pre- application guidance.	BREEAM	
				2 The creation of busy commercial working area in close proximity to both my bedrooms adding existing commercial areas of the building, which already produce significant noise throughout the day	to the	
				3 The creation of extra significant light pollution, through new busy areas likely to be used early day and night again within just a few metres of both of my bedrooms will simply add more to the night-time light pollution which already exists, (whole office floor lights being k		
				4 The Architectural design is simply prioritising maximum floorspace with absolutely no consideraneighbours properties and in my view a complete over-development of the site.	tion to its	
				In conclusion I do not see any good reason why a two storey roof extension can be justified on the given the detrimental impact on 1-10 Summers Street and its residents and repeat my objection to the proposed scheme in the strongest possible terms and urge Cambrotect the legitimate interests of myself, a long-term resident of Summers Street and indeed Camden in the interests of a non residential developer.		
				Yours Sincerely		
			_	Bill Butcher		

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response: Printed on: 18/06/2018	09:10:03
2018/1325/P	Jake Gabbay	16/06/2018 18:17:33	COMMNT	This proposal will be nothing short of catastrophic both for our quality of life and for the value of our property.	
				To begin, the obstruction of light with the erection of the extra floors will render our bedrooms light-less. Furthermore the extreme proximity of the area in question - less than 1 and a half meters form my windowwill produce terrible noise and a lack of privacy. It will render the room barely usable. The design of it is appalling too - completely out of character with the surrounding buildings and neighborhood. I can not object more strenuously to this proposal which I do believe contravenes Camden's own planning regulations with regard to reductions of light in particular, to name but one point.	
2018/1325/P	J M Jones	16/06/2018 11:44:28	COMMEMP ER	Dear Miss Hazelton I wish to object to the above planning application on the grounds that it will completely cut out the light on my balcony and will thus reduce the value of the property and will affect the the health of the residents adversely I also believe that the proposed development does not take account of Camden's Local Plan Policy A1 which invites planning aplications to ensure acceptable levels of daylight and sunlight are available, as this will cut them out of the rear of the flat altogether; I also believe that the plan falls to meet the BREEAM standards for development and Camden Councils own pre-application guidance and on these grounds alone should be rejected.	
2018/1325/P	William King	15/06/2018 16:21:36	OBJ	This building is to the south of 1-10 Summer Street, a light well is between the buildings. the proposals will remove much of the value of the lightwell and will cause the Applicant's building to tower over 1-10 Summer Street and take light from it, it will also increase overlooking into the latter. 1-10 Summer Street is domestic accommodation.	
2018/1325/P	Lucien Abenhaim	15/06/2018 17:13:51	COMMNT	I support the objection to the new construction on 144A Clerkenwell road, EC1R 5DF	
2018/1325/P	Linn Hellstrom	16/06/2018 18:20:02	COMMNT	I am writing to strongly object to this development proposal. This proposal will be nothing short of catastrophic both for our quality of life and for the value of our property. To begin, the obstruction of light with the erection of the extra floors will render our bedrooms light-less. Furthermore the extreme proximity of the area in question - less than 1 and a half meters form my window - will produce terrible noise and a lack of privacy. It will render the room barely usable. The design of it is	
				appalling too — completely out of character with the surrounding buildings and neighborhood. I can not object more strenuously to this proposal — which I do believe contravenes Camden's own planning regulations with regard to reductions of light in particular, to name but one point.	
2018/1325/P	Bernard Hunter	16/06/2018 18:15:59	OBJ	I wish to object to this development. My flat is only 3 metres distance from this office building and by adding a new floor I lose 80% of the daylight for my bedroom window. This will make my bedroom much less useable as a room. Also the office windows will look directly into my bedroom thus reducing my privacy. Also the proposed new cafe and kitchen on the roof terrace will lead to noise and disturbance for all the 25 flats in our building. There is also a proposed new staircase which will be right next to my bedroom - so there will be almost no privacy at all for me to enjoy the use of my bedroom.	