Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 28/03/2018 09:10:04 Response:
2017/6906/P	Roderick MacLeod	52 Goldburst Terrace NW6 3HT	16/03/2018 17:52:13	OBI	With respect to design I have mixed feelings about besement fats, when the ground floor of the front is above or at level with the ground it can be appropriate. However when the lowest floor of the existing property is already a fower ground floor, over half a meter below the street level then the excession of a further besement level is going too deep. The light well is to low, looks out of keeping and I am surprised is permitted from a natural light stapect. There are rows of continuous besement conversions on the road already and every one ends tup paving over the entire front garden. This is meant to be a conservation area where we have to use the staffer than the mixent on the scannings etc., details at roof level which are hard to notice, so how can unautable besement and front garden car-parks which are in full view be allowed?  On a personal level we finally have some peace after the years of nearby conversion works. The noise and mess cause significant disturbance, especially the heavy lorry traffic and I happen to liew with a parther is mentally ill who is sensitive to all the extra noise and it causes of lot of additional datress, not for a week or a month but over a year as we know only too well.  I really consider on balance that an additional basement at the property is not appropriate
2017/6906/P	Gabriel	50 goldhurst terrace	13/03/2018 20:31:10	OBJ	I wish to object to the extent of beament excavation proposed at No. 44 Goldhurst terrace. I live at a few doors away. I won't elaborate here further on the objections already stated by my neighbors on the street, but agree with the points of their objections. While I am always amicaste to development taking place, and understand that reasonable construction work needs to happen, I find the proposal of an entirely new basement floor, totally out of proportion and unreasonable. The pain and consequence of this dis-proportionally will be life by those living in the vicinity for a prolonged period of time during the duration of the works. I believe this is untill.

Application No: Consultees Name: Consultees Addr: Received: 2017/6906/P Clive Fuller

Flat 2
44 Goldhurst
Teerace
LONDON NW6
3HT

Printed on: 28/03/2018 09:10:04

Received: Comment: Response: Plant of the Comment: Plant of the Pl

## WHY SHOULD I HAVE TO LIVE LIKE THIS ?

WHY SHOULD HAVE TO LIVE LIKE THIS ?

I am also concerned about my health. As the work would about directly below my flat their is nowhere that I can secape from it. Not so Mr Again & his family. He has another properly in Central Gordens. As lart med cump the belonding work he was able to send his wife 8 children bock home. If I do NOT have this luxury then nor will I have this time if channing permission is gardred. I just do not undestand why so many propels have to suffer just because Mr Again wants a larger flat. Whilst I have a property for him for having a caughter with hearing problems the letter he submitted to support his equiment was written, as far set I am aware; by an ex part time special needs teacher at this disuptione school. This practicy qualities the surface to be an open time advoluge? As far as I can see Mr Again has failed to name a builder for this project. Last time fir Again was the own BuilderProject Marraignt. The rest of the house is sall sufficiently convergences. Mr Again so up to a provide the provide the provide way. He reased his roof up so st certain times of year it is difficult to open & close the front door.

Mr Agam complained to the rest of the house that when it rained water was coming in from the slope in the Front Garcion into his hallway. The rest of the house was willing to pay for a survey to find the source of the problem. As far as I can see nothing is mentioned of this

Page 7 of 106

					Printed on: 28/03/2018 09:10:04
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					problem in any of the surveys commissioned by Mr Agam . The problem seems to have conveniently disappeared now that he wishes to demonstrate the stability of the land
					It is not easy to see from the Drawings but I cannot see how the proposed light well in the front of the property could possibly fit into Mr Agemia land. He only owns a tiny proportion of the front garden.
					I was informed by someone that worked at Rassells, the Kensington Nursery , that he believed there was Japanee Krictweed growing in the rear garden. I did inform Mr Agam at the time as I believe that is a very serious problem. I do not know what if any action he took.
					Overall I feel that Mr Agam has been less than open with his neighbours. He or his agent signed a legal document & failed to implement it. Although Camdenis codes of practice are not legally brinding to the best of my knowledge; by they are there to a thou correct & best practice. & have been totally ignored by Mr Agam. I only found out about this extension by chance when another neighbour informed of what he was trying to do. I stell have no knowledge who is going to do the proposed works. If Mr Agam is going to do the building work. I have filled confidence or trust that it will be done well. It is difficult to have confidence or trust in anyone that has shown himself to be a poor communicator & simply ignores necessary legal requirements when it suits him.
					As far as I am aware there have been 4 basement excavations directly opposite 44 Goldhurst Terrace 8 another 4 on the the same side of the road. Each one of which has caused traffic jams, noise 8 dust. When are these going to stop? If people need extra space the awarer is surely to buy a larger property not cause misery to the rest of the area. I cause the Council to reject this application.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 28/03/2018 Response:	09:10:0
Application No: 2017/6906/P	ROSALEEN QUINN AND RONALD ISRAEL	42c goldhurst terrace nw63ht nw63ht	13/03/2018 06:25:58	COMMNT	No. 42 GOLDHURST TERRACE I AM THE LEASEHOLD OWNER AT THE ADJOINING HOUSE No. 42 GOLDHURST TERRACE	
	ISKAEL	пмеэн			This building , along with others alongside, have suffered subsidence in the past, to the extent that floors have had to be levelled up internally. Excavation to the adjoining building at no 44 will cause further injury to no 42, which will be unpredictable.	
					FORMATION OF EXISTING TERRACE The north west side of Goldhurst terrace is constructed on sloping ground, which was originally landful rearing the approach to the much higher level of Flinchley Road. The houses in this stretch of the street have been rotionally unstable in the past. The evidence of movement can be read on the bruck flaceder, copings and fills which have moved substantially over time of the street have been removed over a number of years, as they were considered to add to the flistes.  In fact much of the movement is historic, due to the nature of the land fill, and the inadequate foundations at alternance party walls.  Camden Council is the owner of a number of properties in this stretch of Goldhurst Terrace and will have information on the upporting this.  It would be treecherous to consider besement excavations along this raised stretch terrace. EXISTING HOUSES  The terraced houses to the north west side of of Goldhurst terrace comprise already four floor over Basement.  The lowest floor is a serill Basement, with the floor levels varying between 1.2 m and 1.6 m below street level.  The application in question describes here been premitted, and at the white Rainerum covariations have been permitted at the cast did of the street, and at the floor in the properties already that besserrents.  The application in question describes the lowest floor as ground floor, this is incorrect, it is in fact a serill Basement level.	
					STRUCTURAL STABILITY For the reasons stated above For the state of the	

Printed on: 28/03/2018 09:10:04

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consulters Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 28/03/2018   09/10   Response:     28/03/2018   09/10
					DESIGN The applicant has already consent for a full width rear extension at garden level. The proposed basement will continue below this. The lightwell proposed is a full <sup>20</sup> dieep, the full width of the sits, and covered with unsaftly grains and glass sparses; and has full width patic doors opening onto it. The covering suggests that it is likely to be used as habitated appare. This is a far greater size than is necessary to admittight. The extension and covered lightwell extend in excess of 5 m into the garden , which is an unprecodented level of development in the location.
2017/4906-P	Mrs Ann Ryan	42a Goldhurst Terruce London NW6 3HT	10/03/2018 16:55:54	COMMNT	I am a persience irring on her corn. I am not in good health. The disturbances caused to me will be destimented to my health and well being. We had a similar basement conversion happening across the road lest year and the noise of constant running desel motors for the editection and litegal parting, showed a complete lack of regard for the neighbourhood. This kind of Job canned out at the best of times is huggly disturble to the neighbourhood. The exception reaches on their job continued into some evenings and sometimes the police had to be called.  As well as this live till be the words being carried out from inside my fair, meaning I carried except the discussion. I am not a well woman and this shares and an arrively this would equium event be bad for my health. I couldn't even escape it is my own garden, as the words would involve digitar to 44% garden too.
					have hed on going issues with the owner since he moved in. The main issue has been his continual neglect of his force when juera my gardan, it has been in bed repert for many years and is barely standing, but deepte continuous requests for it to be fixed he has never repaired or replaced it. A basement conversion is a fluge ob and will involve a fluge amount of disturbion, notes and makes to my immediate alse. He may well have plane in place to remedy this but!
2017/6906·P	Stav Danaus	54 Goldhurst Terrace	11/03/2018 15:26:43	OBJ	I would like to orgent to the application for a besement exceivation of Number 44 Goldnurst Terrace.  I am a cordissional BBCT VI Wealther Presenter and I have to work shifts, often through the right. While I approximate that I have be not been considered that in the right. While I approximate the accept a resemblate mount of noise from traffic during the day, the amount of noise disturbance resulting from the basement dips is completely unacceptable. There have been three securations in numbers 55, 90 and 66 on my skip of the road and in close proximity and also four adjoining exceivations on the street opposite resulting in continuous desturbance and disrupt for for servarily sex. I really feel that the rescents in the street have had enough and with to object to the pinning application.

					Printed on: 28/03/2018	09:10:04
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2017/6906/P	John Campbell	46a Goldhurst Terrage	13/03/2018 11:45:41	OB,I	Amenity,	
		London			I live and work in my flat which will not be possible in the way that I would expect mainly due	e
		NW63HT			to the prolonged intolerable noise. On the opposite side of the street during last year there was a similar excavation going on, and due to the continual rumbling of the diesel engines	
					which were parked directly outside that house, and the noise from the excavator machines,	
					and from the lorries parked there ticking over, I frequently had to vacate my flat so that I	
					could concentrate on my work. This is an extremely unacceptable position to be put in. To have what would inevitably be a very much more direct intrusion onto the amenity of my flat	
					is grossly and unacceptably intrusive. It's alright for him as has another home at his	
					disposal.	
					These works go on for a considerable period of time and for that time due to the noise interference, my flat will not be the home that I think it is, a place where can work and live in	
					peace. I am also extremely concerned about the potential adverse effect on me financially	
					due to the interruption of my ability to get on with my work as I know that for a prolonged	
					period of time I would not be able to concentrate on what I need to.	
					Since the property developer, Mr Agam moved into his flat at 44,	
					For a whole year, I had a water leak coming through from his flat which he had just renovated	
					This water leak caused rot and damp to my wooden floor which he simply refused to do	
					anything about. I finally got the environmental health people to call on him and only after	_
					that did  I had to bear the expense of repairing my floor and joists.  In relation to the fence which divides our gardens and the foliage.	
					between our gardens at the front. He thinks that he has ownership over areas of land which	1
					he does not, like greenery on my side of the fence. He has	
					whenever I so much as I clip my hedge or go out into my own garden.	
					His claim to need a basements bunker as his daughter has hearing issues is a spurious	
					and exaggerated claim for the need for silence in what is already a very quiet area, I know	
					this as I live in the same type of flat next door and it is perfectly quiet.	

Application Not Consulties Name:

Consulties Name:

Consulties Addit:

Received:

Consulties

Add Goldhard:

Terrace
Lindon

NW 6-SHT

Consulties

NW 6-SHT

Consulties

NW 6-SHT

Consulties

Consult