From: Nicholas Moore Sent: 07 June 2018 14:52

To: Fowler, David

Subject: RE: 2018/1012/F

In relation to the above application I would like to object to this.

Briefly, my reasons for objection are:-

- I think this is over development by trying to squeeze in maximum square footage of residential space into an area and buildings that would only ever traditionally have been gardens, garaging or garden sheds;
- In particular all the properties along that side of Crediton Hill were originally built as single occupation houses with back gardens facing on to the open space of the playing fields.
 Whilst some buildings including no 20 have been split into flats I think it would set a bad precedent if their gardens could now be further developed;
- The site is also an incredibly tight site for such development with the playing fields and neighbouring gardens immediately adjacent to the boundaries of the site where the developer proposes to pile and then backfill with concrete, I would be very concerned about slippage of the site during such works and then impact on trees and shrubs after such works have been completed:
- Another major concern is the very narrow access into the site. This means that vast
 quantities of excavated spoil would have to be taken out along a narrow driveway that is the
 means of pedestrian entrance/exit to the flats in no 20; equally all materials including large
 amounts of concrete have to go in the same way;
- I note that the developer says they will use skips in suspended residents parking bays and suspended parking bays for concrete mixers, which will wait in adjoining roads until they can take up position, and all of this will be supervised by a banksman. But in reality I suspect there will be total traffic chaos as at that point in Crediton Hill due to parking on both sides traffic can only pass in one direction at a time and when any concrete mixer or skip lorry or delivery arrives the road will in practice be blocked.
- There will also be considerable noise disruption for people living in no 20 and adjoining properties;
- I could not see any limit on the time that it might take to complete all of this disruptive work and anyway I doubt there is any means of forcing the developer to keep to this time limit.

Thanks for permitting me to submit these comments.

Yours Sincerely

Nicholas Moore