

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/1872/P	C E Reynolds	05/06/2018 22:29:34	OBJ	<p>I [REDACTED] submitted my main objection yesterday. Later in the day the temporary protection at second floor was removed and I can see that glass panels have been fixed to the rear of the parapet. They are not very high (maybe 200mm above the parapet), are not shown on any drawings and have no apparent purpose. My concern is that they may be intended as templates for a higher glass balustrade which would contravene the specific condition in the original approval that that roof should not be used as a terrace.</p> <p>That second floor roof is so high that a terrace there would overlook every house and garden in the whole street resulting in loss of privacy for everyone.</p> <p>Obviously I strongly object to any such change.</p>
2018/1872/P	Sally Llewellyn	05/06/2018 09:23:11	OBJ	<p>I would like to object to the variations on the plans that were originally agreed.</p> <p>The building is considerably bigger than agreed.</p> <p>The ground level of the site is considerably higher than Oak Village making the new three story building incredibly dominating from the garden of 18 Oak Village. The increase in height makes it worse.</p> <p>Sliding doors have been installed at second floor level leading onto the flat roof which is clearly intended to be used as a terrace, despite being with the clear intention of using the flat roof as a terrace, despite it being access only.</p> <p>The access-only flat roof at second floor level appears to be prepared for use as a roof terrace. This would have serious privacy and noise implications for the rest of Oak Village.</p> <p>The increase in mass and bulk of the building results in an over dominant building.</p> <p>I would urge the planning officer to visit the site and view the building [REDACTED]. The development is built on an artificial mound of bomb rubble making it much more dominant than a map would suggest.</p> <p>This is a deliberate and thoughtless overdevelopment of the site for reasons of profit. With no regards for the local residents.</p>
2018/1872/P	C E Reynolds	05/06/2018 22:29:32	OBJ	<p>I [REDACTED] submitted my main objection yesterday. Later in the day the temporary protection at second floor was removed and I can see that glass panels have been fixed to the rear of the parapet. They are not very high (maybe 200mm above the parapet), are not shown on any drawings and have no apparent purpose. My concern is that they may be intended as templates for a higher glass balustrade which would contravene the specific condition in the original approval that that roof should not be used as a terrace.</p> <p>That second floor roof is so high that a terrace there would overlook every house and garden in the whole street resulting in loss of privacy for everyone.</p> <p>Obviously I strongly object to any such change.</p>