

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/2226/T	Peter McGinty representing EVTRA (Elm Village Tenant & Residents Association)	06/06/2018 00:03:59	SUPC	<p>We support the need for the proposed tree works.</p> <p>The works are required to preserve the amenity and safety of the estate for residents.</p> <p>The two trees proposed for felling are clearly diseased and this has been getting worse. If they are not removed they will eventually fall and as they are both adjacent to a footpath and roadway they represent an increasing danger to residents.</p> <p>Our proposed amendment to the order is that two felled trees should be replaced with trees more in keeping with the position - e.g. a tall columnar species - rather than the existing Fraxinus Excelsior (common ash)</p>
2018/2226/T	Mike Jackson	06/06/2018 08:46:49	INT	<p>I have managed the green landscape of this estate for 20 years and continue to do so. Whenever I have had the opportunity to replace trees which have had to be felled I have chosen more choice and more appropriate species e.g. Betula jacquemontii 'Grayswood Ghost', Japanese maples, Ginkgo biloba. I strongly recommend that the two ashes to be felled are replaced with Cupressus sempervirens 'Green Pencil'. Practically they will not cause honeydew to shed on cars parked below, they cast very little shade and provide evergreen colour in winter. Aesthetically they will look superb set against the white stucco of the houses behind them and the curve of the Rossendale Way crescent. There are two further ashes T9 and T10 in line with T8/9 which will eventually have to be replaced and I strongly recommend that they too be replaced with the same Cupressus.</p> <p>Tree T23 (the sumach) was never included in the TPO C649 and therefore planning consent is not needed.</p>

Total: 8