

From: Emma Hull [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 June 2018 11:04
To: Planning <Planning@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: objection to Application Nos 2018/0853/P & 2018/114/L

Dear Sirs,

Re Planning Applications Nos 2018/0853/P & 2018/114/L

Further to my recent telephone conversation with your Planning Officer, Laura Hazelton, I am deeply distressed to hear that adjudication in respect of the above two planning applications is to take place in closed session rather than a public committee.

I am extremely concerned that all my objections to the current proposals are fully considered prior to any final decision being taken.

As stated in my previous correspondence, my objections are summarised as follows:

- Despite the wording within the structural engineering method statement, which states "Negligible to Very Slight" risk to the adjacent property. I believe that there is a significant risk of induced instability to my properties foundation and that this will seriously endanger the structural integrity of my property. Any such endangerment would also impact upon my personal safety and that of any other occupants.
- In the event of permission being given to proceed with the proposed works, what reassurance can be given by the council that the works are executed exactly in accordance with the design proposals ? i.e. No shortcuts undertaken or out of sequence operations that would increase the level of endangerment considerably.
- Again in the event of permission being granted, will the council ensure that appropriate insurance is taken out that will cover my property against the inevitable damage.
- With regard to the contents of the submitted Construction Management Plan. Who would monitor and enforce the requirements stated in this document ? You will be aware that works to No 23 have been almost constant for the past ten years, during which the contractors have displayed "**Utter Contempt**" for the adjoining neighbours and the general public at large. To this point I would refer you to my previous letter of objection regarding the manner of traffic control to the site which often blocked my driveway entrance and additionally forced pedestrians into the roadway to pass. Generally speaking I do not believe that the appointed contractors will abide by the contents of the "Construction Management Plan ". The document should be recognised for what it is, a work of "**Fantasy Fiction** ".

To sum up, I am totally against any further works within the demise of No 23 and expect the council to uphold the rights of the adjoining owners to a decent quality of life.

Your faithfully

Emma Hull

