Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/1470/P	Vladimir Chorniy	01/06/2018 16:12:22	OBJ	I would like state my opposition to the proposed plans. Firstly, it is very poor aesthetically. Current architectural design provides that on entrance to Chester Close South there is large opening on a right and left – both sides have low level garages and, note, both main building of Chester Close South are symmetric. Adding a two stories building will change this – this will look ugly. Also it is likely to set precedent for development of garages on other side, turning the location rather claustrophobic. The new construction is close vicinity of large number of residences will be very disruptive. Of course, it is very much a personal view how much space one needs or can affords for family and for staff. However on the balance the main property is large and, unless things changed from old design, already contains a separate (staff) flat. Furthermore small size properties (flats) are readily available for sale in very close vicinity. It seems the request will cause a degradation of the area and inconvenience for residences for little actual need for the owners of the house, who, so far, it seems, often are not even residing at the property (no lights).
2018/1470/P	Sheila and David Hockman	01/06/2018 12:03:19	COMMEMA IL	We wish to object most strongly to this application for the following reasons: 1. It is wholly out of character with the rest of Chester Close South and would set a dreadful precedent. 2. The owner of garage 5 already owns a substantial property in Chester Terrace and the proposed new accommodation will be ridiculously small. 3. The disruption will be substantial especially for adjoining flats in Chester Close South. 4. It will look aesthetically awful - especially in a conservation area. 5. This just seems like an attempt to squeeze in a totally unsuitable plan by a third party who has no connection to the residents who will be adversely affected.