		ar ar a	250	Printed on: 30/05/2018 09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/1564/P	Miss Kit Mee Lim	21/05/2018 07:50:30	OBJ	I am very concerned with the extra loading involved. There is no mention of any engineering structural survey to determine if the building is designed to be expanded. Also, there have been some renovations in the flats below Flat 10 and some of these renovations might not have accounted for load bearing walls, thus making the entire structure of the block less stable for adding more load.
				There are no adequate fire escape routes and increasing the density of the block will not help.
				The amenities in the block are already stretched. The rubbish room cannot accommodate the existing bins and thus have been placed outside in front of the ground floor flat, despite being smelly and unsightly. These bins are always filled up to maximum capacity Before the next collection date.
2018/1564/P	Miss Kit Mee Lim	24/05/2018 08:45:53	COMMNT	Lyndhurst Terrace is in a conservation area. Newmount is not an attractive block. Should we build further on such building blocks in a conservation area? The room on the 4th floor is hardly noticeable as it is in the middle of the 4th floor. If additional rooms are added, then this floor will be more noticeable.
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:06:09	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design`I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse. I would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat`
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:06:08	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design`I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse. I would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat`
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:06:07	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design`I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse. I would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat`
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:06:06	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design` I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat`
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:06:05	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design`I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse. I would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat`
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:06:04	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design 'I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse. I would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat.'
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:06:02	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design 'I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse. I would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat.'

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 30/05/2018 09:10:06  Response:
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:46	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design `I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat `
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:45	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design `I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat`
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:45	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design `I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat `
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:43	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design `I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat `
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:43	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design `I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat `
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:42	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design `I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat `
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:28	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design `I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat `
2018/1564/P	Mrs N Bhandari	20/05/2018 20:05:12	COMMNT	Need to consider the elevation of the new plan is extending the height of the building by one floor and is not in keeping with the building design "I am not in favour of adding an extra floor to the existing structure to increase the value of the penthouse . i would have no objection to remodelling the existing 3rd floor flat"

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 30/05/2018 09:10:06  Response:
2018/1564/P	Christopher Wiekham Assoes	22/05/2018 11:18:39	OBJ	object strongly to this proposal on heritage/design and residential amenity grounds.
				In respect of heritage issues, it is noted that application is not accompanied by any assessment of the scheme's impact on the character and appearance of the Fitzjohns/Netherhall Conservation Area. Indeed, the applicant's Design & Access Statement makes no reference whatsoever to the conservation area. The application is therefore straightforwardly defective, and does not comply with the requirements of paragraph 128 of the NPPF.
				The Council's published Conservation Area statement identifies 11 Lyndhurst Terrace, which is a 1980s block of poor design, as a negative contributor to the area. The building is already taller than its neighbours, and includes a set-back roof storey. The proposal would add unvelcome and architecturally crude additional bulk to the roof line in the form of a second tier of recessed roof accommodation. It would also introduce a proliferation of metal balustrades located at the edge of the roof. The scheme would self-evidently make an unsightly and tall building more unsightly and more intrusive within the street-scene. The existing roof level structure, whilst architecturally inappropriate, is at least smaller and more recessed than the proposed replacement storey. The proposal would therefore harm the character and appearance of the host building and of this part of the conservation area, including the setting of nearby period properties.
				In residential amenity terms, the proposal would extend and intensify use of the existing roof both in respect of the amount of accommodation (by way of the introduction of independent s/c residential unit) and the use of large external terraces on all sides of the roof. This would result in materially increased levels of overlooking and noise for adjacent and nearby residential occupiers. The proposals also make no provision for bin storage at a site where existing storage arrangements are haphazard, visually unsightly and inadequate to meet modern needs.
				The Council is therefore urged to refuse planing permission on the basis that the proposal conflicts with Camden Local Plan Policies A1, D1 and D2, and with the guidance on roof extensions set out in CPG1. The proposal does not constitute sustainable development, and is therefore in direct conflict with the NPPF.
2018/1564/P	Aude Joselyn	22/05/2018 15:46:54	OBJ	I strongly object to this proposal. The building is already taller than the neighbours and the design is really poor. This could make a huge impact on the character of Hampstead conservation area. An extra flat will result in increased noise for residential occupiers and the amount of rubbish bins would be an issue.  The documents refer to « St Edmund Terrace » as an access for the property: there is no such road in the area.