				Printed on: 30/05/2018 09:1	0:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2018/1470/₽	Mr Kenneth Post Ms Suzanne Heneghan	17/05/2018 08:46:31	COMMNT	Dear Mr Gavin Sexton.  We would like to make a strong objection to this application writing as residents at Chester Close South since 1990. If this were to be accepted, we would directly face this new building. The applicant does not live in the Close and has no interests in our community whatsoever - he already has a very large home which should accommodate his staff. It his is a residental Close which has unique chara every large home which should accommodate his staff. It his is a residental Close which has unique character, age, uniformity and design any such construction would be absolutely destroying the design and layout of the Close and would send entirely the wrong signals - setting a precedent for anyone else wishing to build on the similar opposite garages. Where would this stop? the applicant has no regard for Chester Close Residents whatsoever, the proposal will not fit in with the current structure and nature of the Close and will provide an unsightly view upon entering the estate, destroying the beautiful current view at Chester Gate. This proposal to serve ancillary staff accommodation does not form one single part of the community within Chester Close South and makes an absolute mockery of the existing one. There are may elderly and young people living here who really do not need this form of disrution. It simply must be stopped it his is very distressing for mary residents who have made the Close their home for many years to discover that someone from another Terrace can just simply demolish everything that has existed and been protected by the Crown in such a major way for many years. Under no circumstances should this be permitted. We have suffered from road vibrations for many years. Under no circumstances should this be permitted. We have suffered from road vibrations for many years and thankfully via Camden the problems have been resolved - please do not bring any further distress on our residents by unearthing already existing construction with its current consistency of age and uniformi	
2018/1470/P	Simon Dadishoo	28/05/2018 19:41:54	OBJ	To whom it may concern,	
				I am writing in respect to the proposed changes suggested to the garage at the end of Chester Close South.	
				Firstly, I am concerned with the proposal as we already have limited visibility when turning around that corner. I have seen many near misses because people don't see oncoming traffic either way. From my understanding there will be work conducted to add a second level to the existing garage limiting the visibility further.	
				The period of construction will mean we will have to further limit traffic in and out of that junction whilst work is being conducted.	
				I am also concerned that the additional level albeit small will infringe of the existing properties in value. I am assuming they will be dwelling there adding a one bedroom apartment with parking to a complex comprising of two bedroom apartments.	
				Further, I contest to the ownership of that space. As the freehold is owned by the crown estate the leasehold is for the garage space on the first floor only. Any further building should be subject to an additional leasehold to which any one can purchase from the crown estate and build upon.	
				I look forward to speaking with you regarding this plan to change.	

Printed on: 30/05/2018 09:10:06

				Printed on: 30/05/2018	09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2018/1470/P	Mrs R J Simon	26:05/2018 09:09:49	OBI	I object on the following grounds: Disruption during the construction of the building. The alteration will not be aesthetically pleasing with a large 2-storey building attached to the end of the western terrace. This is particularly bad as it is the initial view when entering the Estate. Setting a precedent for further development of the other (4) garages on the east side of the Estate. The premises would be serving as ancillarly staff accommodation to a large house in Chester Terrace that does not form any part of the existing community in Chester Close South. The granting of planning consent will not benefit the conservation area in any way and merely provides staff accommodation for an already large house.	
2018/1470/P	Helen Lyou	23/05/2018 17:05:19	APP	Dear Sirs.	
				Re Garage 5 @ Chester Close South Regents Park London NW1.	
				I object most strongly to the demolition of the garage and the erection of a double-storey building on the small footprint of Garage 5.  *Blocking the airspace will breach the common law rights of the tenants of the close.  *Tenants of the close should not be subjected to disruption, dirt and grime of an unnecessary construction site, smack in the centre of a mature estate.  *The erection will be a constant eyesore and impair the visual and façades of the buildings in the close.  *It will set a bad precedent for owners of other garages in the estate, who will proceed to do the same, resulting in the close being turned into a hodge potch buildings and facades.  *This will encourage further development of all other garages in the Estate.  *There is no ment for the staff quarters of the large house in Chester Terrace, to be erected willy nilly next to the existing peaceful community in Chester Close South.  *It is a preposterous idea and will totally disrupt the peaceful ambience of the close.  The granting of planning consent will definitely detract value from CCS, and will not benefit the whole conservation area of Regents Park in any way whatsoever.  Sincerely  H Lyou	