				Printed on: 30/05/2018 09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/2004/P	Meital Miselevich	18/05/2018 16:44:59	OBJ	I would like to firmly object to the plan suggested The adjacent flats to the pub (6-8 Conway street) are already suffering gravely from is extreme noise pollution generated by the pub's music system and overflow of people. Adding 6 extractor fans working until 23:30 every day would be devastating to the residents.
2018/2004/P	Selwyn Hardy	14/05/2018 10:56:23	OBI	I am the owner which has a roof terrace adjoining the roof at gallery) which has a roof terrace adjoining the roof at gallery) he art gallery) he application includes no report on the extraction fan system which is proposed to be installed in the roof. There is no acoustic report on this equipment. I would hope that a condition could be included about an annual maintenance contract on the equipment and it being required to be shown annually to the Council to avoid smells of cooking and fat deposits accumulating in the filters and a specific restriction on operating hours. The plan showing the yellow coloured roof of 2a Conway Street is incorrect. There are also windows on the rear extension of other floors (other flats) in our building which are nearer than 44 Maple Street widows and sound testing should have included these windows for the proposed air conditioning equipment to be installed behind the louvred screen at the back of the public house. I would hope that the Council's officer is satisfied with the acoustic report which is difficult for non-technical people to understand