

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/2226/T	helene lawcett	30/05/2018 11:00:03	SUPC	<p>I support this application but wish to make some comments about T23.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.I cannot find this tree listed in the TPO C849 2006.</li><li>2.T23 is self seeded growing out of the footpath at the back of no. 83, a private garden, where it supports vigorous ivy growth which has caused damage to the sleeper wall behind neighbouring houses.</li><li>3.The footpath at the back of this terrace was closed off more than 20 years ago and residents were able to include use of it to their gardens on conditions the canal wall was not damaged by weight of growth or structure. The weight of the ivy has pushed the sumac tree on to the canal wall. The tree is strangled by the ivy.</li><li>4 In September 2017 I was concerned to notice that there was movement in the joint in the canal wall directly beneath the sumac tree, T23. I notified relevant parties. The ground on the lowpath is constantly wet and probably encourages root growth. It is possible that the movement in the wall has been affected by the growth of the tree T23.</li><li>5.Ivy growth has been discouraged by Pfp in their letter of 2013. They wrote to all residents saying:- "We would like to remind all residents that the growth of ivy across walls and fences on the estate must be discouraged. If ivy is allowed to become established it can cause structural damage, and you will be charged for the repair of any structural damage caused by ivy grown from your property, regardless of the location of the damage."</li><li>6.The ivy supported by T23 has trunks with diameters in excess of 40cm.</li><li>7.The tree and the ivy need to be removed completely. Their roots should be poisoned so there is no regrowth.</li></ol> <p>Finally, with that condition recognised and accepted, I fully support this application.</p>

