				Printed on: 30/05/2018	09:10:06
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2018/1994/P	Charles and Caroline Medawar	14/05/2018 11:06:37	COMMNT	We have no comments on the substantive application 2018/1994/P – but we do have observations and requests about the actual building process. The lie of the Mews makes it very important that building contractors do their utmost to avoid nuisance and disruption:	
				1. Noise is a real problem round here, [a] because none of the properties have any frontage – i.e. living space is often just a pane of glass away from the roadway; and [b] the acoustics are appalling: sounds echo and travel far.	
				2. These works are to take place at the dead end of the Mews, so vehicles will need to travel the whole length of the roadway to reach the site – along a single lane, with no turning space at the site end. Reversing vehicles with warning sirens are especially unwelcome – and there this no shortage of obstacles for them to hit.	
				3. There are very few (8) parking bays available, any none of them is big enough to safety accommodate anything larger than a small car. Since parking enforcement round here is seriously deficient, we suggest serious consideration is given to suspending two adjacent parking bays at the top of the Mews, for use by contractors during the building works. The alternative, inevitably, means there will be extensive illegal parking and substantial loss of revenue for Camden LBC.	
				4. Full-size skips should not be used: With the skip loaded, vehicles cannot exit the Mews because of the low (3m) archway that gives access pays. But with the skip lowered and swinging, there would be a high likelihood of damage to residential property on the SW side and/or to parked cars on the NE side.	
				5. We suggest contractors provide residents with full contact details of the responsible agent, should any problems arise.	
				We hope these comments are useful.	