Sent: 16 May 2018 14:28 Planning To: Subject: Re: Comments on 2018/1078/P have been received by the council. Dear Sirs, I have not had any contact since I sent this in to you back in March. Please would you be kind enough to respond ASAP Kind regards > On 15 Mar 2018, at 15:17, <planning@camden.gov.uk> <planning@camden.gov.uk> wrote: > Dear Sirs, > Re: Objection letter relating to: application no. Case number > 2018/1078/P > We write to you as the owners of number with objections to the planning application noted above at the neighbouring property; no. 35 Pilgrims Lane. > The proposal is for the extending of basement and construction of a two storey extension and alterations to front and side facades to this end of terrace house,. Having examined the proposals, we have a number of concerns with the application and with the proposed development. > Objection 1- The proposed front elevation indicates a new dormer window, but is slightly at odds to the adjoining houses, and should be located to match number 37 and 39. > Objection 2- Drawing P12 indicates the rear elevation of number 37 as having built a rear single storey extension within the garden. This has not been built and we have no long term plans to build this, therefore this structure will not obscure the view into our garden. The resulting work of number 35 will result in a loss of privacy into our > Objection 3: The size and massing of the proposal is out of context > with the street scene. The council will require all development to > respect the existing context, character and appearance, taking > opportunities available to improve the quality and character of > buildings and the area and the way it functions, including being > inclusive for all. The style and aesthetic of the proposed design is > at direct odds with the traditional character of the conservation > area; the contemporary style is not in context to the other houses in > the road. Where they have introduced large frames to the glazing, it

```
> dwelling.
> Objection 4: The proposed balcony at ground floor level appears to be attached/ take support from the side wall
of our closet wing. There has been no consent sought to us for this. We recently completed a full internal and
external renovation to the house as well as repairs and repointing to the closet wing wall. We are therefore
concerned about future possible damage or water ingress from the balcony. However, no objection will be made if
the balcony is smaller, like a Juliette balcony, that reflects that at the rear of no 41 and not attached to no37's wall.
> Objection 5: The proposed roof terrace at first floor level, although screened by a hit and miss timber screen, still
provides direct views into our garden and will cause a loss of privacy.
> Objection 6:
> The council's policy states:
> a) require development to contribute positively to the townscape
> through the architecture and urban form, addressing matters such as
> scale, height, bulk, mass, proportion, plot width, building lines,
> street form, rhythm, roofscape, materials and historic fabric as well
> as vistas, views, gaps, and open space;
> b) Require development to respond to the local context;
> c) Require the density of development to be optimised, sensitive to context.
> Objection 7: We are highly concerned with the extension to the basement there will be potential damage to our
existing basement, which has just been water proofed, and are worried about cracking and damage which will
invalidate our guarantees. No information has been provided to detail the effect the excavation will have on the
increase of the traffic movement for the removal of spoil which can involve a large number of vehicle movements on
this busy road.
> For the reasons stated above, we would implore the council to refuse the proposed applications in their current
state
> Yours Sincerely.
> Comments made by
                                                           Preferred Method of
> Contact is Email
> Comment Type is Objection- Letter
> This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally
> privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the
> addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the
> sender and delete the material from your computer
```

> becomes an unsympathetic intrusion to the vernacular street scene and > the design resembles that of an apartment block and not a single