

 Job:
 1 Hampstead Hill Gardens

 Job No.:
 17200
 Revision:

 Made By:
 FG
 Page no:

FOUNDATION UNDERPINNING DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUC	CTION)
DESIGN DATA [taken from BS EN1997-1, BS8002:1994, BS81]	10, BS648] :
Grade of basement to be designed:	Grade 3 - Domestic
Typical material weights:	
Concrete [normal reinforced] - (unit load) :	$\rho_{conc} \coloneqq 24 \ kN \cdot m^{-3}$
Soil [Firm clays / Dense Gravel] - (unit load) :	$ ho_{soil}$:= 18 kN \cdot m $^{-3}$
Water - (Bulk density) :	$ ho_{water}$:=9.81 kN \cdot m $^{-3}$
Concrete and Reinforcement specifications:	
Characteristic tensile strength of reinforcement:	$f_y \coloneqq 500 \; N \cdot mm^{-2}$
Characteristic compressive cube strength of concrete :	f_{cu} := 35 $N \cdot mm^{-2}$
Cover to reinforcement:	$C_{cov} \coloneqq 50 \ mm$
Assumed diameter of reinforcing bars:	$\phi \coloneqq 20 mm$
Soil characteristics:	
Soil Type (1=Clay 2=Granular) -	${\boldsymbol{S}}_{typ} \! \coloneqq \! 1$
Angle of friction -	
Granular Soils - [BS8002, 2.2.4 - A & B taken as 0 worst case]	$\varphi'_{gravel} = 30 \ ^{\circ} + A + B$
	φ'_{gravel} := 30 °
Clay Soils - [BS8002 - Table 2, Based on plasticity Index of 30% (London clay)]	${arphi'_{clay}}\!\coloneqq\!21$ °
Cohesion -	
Granular soil types taken as -	$oldsymbol{C}_{gravel} \! \coloneqq \! 0 oldsymbol{k} \! N \! \cdot \! m^{-2}$
Clay soil types-	$oldsymbol{C}_{clay}$:=20 k $Noldsymbol{\cdot}m^{-2}$
[Taken from Geotechdata.info, for inorganic clay of high plas	sticity (London clay) - 15-25kN/m²]

Tel: 022 8346 4321 Fax: 020 8346 1789 Email: Office@bcsconsulting.co.uk Web: www.bcsconsulting.co.uk Director: 8.C. Smith. Corpary Sector: 1. Smith. Registered Police: React Noise, 33 Wood Street, Noise Corporation (Not Corporation (Not Corporation)) Noise Corporation (Not Corporation) Not Registration & Act 201463 a

Created with PTC Mathcad Express. See www.mathcad.com for more information.



Created with PTC Mathcad Express. See www.mathcad.com for more information.

BCS C	Consulting	Job No.: Made By:	17200 FG	Revision: - Page no:
<u>Unde</u>	rpinning Conditions:			
(A)	CASE A			
NOT	ES / ASSUMPTIONS	<u>:</u>		
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath.	: Omm thick and will supporting the buil	be screeded for do ding over supported	mestic use. d on the substrata
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So	ES / ASSUMPTIONS e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long	:: Omm thick and will supporting the buil don Clay as confirm	be screeded for do ding over supported ned by site investiga	mestic use. d on the substrata ations.
1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa	ES / ASSUMPTIONS e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Lon ater - Allow for 9.81kN	: Omm thick and will supporting the buil don Clay as confirm I/m ² at ground leve	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga	mestic use. d on the substrata ations.
1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa	ES / ASSUMPTIONS e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab inst	: <u>:</u> Omm thick and will supporting the buil don Clay as confirm I/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga el. ht in front of base a	mestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe	:: Omm thick and will supporting the buil don Clay as confirm I/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. es not check agains	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga I. ht in front of base a t rotational side fail	mestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped	bin thick and will supporting the build don Clay as confirm l/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. as not check agains d/shored to contract	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga t. ht in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all t	mestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times.
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir 7. Th be	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped e following design doe	E: Omm thick and will supporting the build don Clay as confirm I/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. es not check agains d/shored to contractes not include defle	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga I. It in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all t ction checks as the	ations. ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times. se are assumed to
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir 7. Th be 8. Th	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped e following design doe negligible. e calculation does not	: Omm thick and will supporting the buil don Clay as confirm l/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. es not check agains d/shored to contrac es not include defle	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga at in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all t ction checks as the for either cracking	mestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times. se are assumed to or shrinkage.
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir 7. Th be 8. Th 9. Th	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped e following design doe negligible. e calculation does not e calculation does not e wall.	: Omm thick and will supporting the buil don Clay as confirm /m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. es not check agains d/shored to contrac es not include deflect include SLS checks allow for the effect	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga eff. It in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all f ction checks as the for either cracking t of ground water s	mestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times. se are assumed to or shrinkage. eepage beneath
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir 7. Th be 8. Th 9. Th the	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped e following design doe negligible. e calculation does not e calculation does not e wall.	: Omm thick and will supporting the build don Clay as confirm I/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. es not check agains d/shored to contrac es not include defle include SLS checks allow for the effect	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga t. In in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all t ction checks as the for either cracking t of ground water so	emestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times. se are assumed to or shrinkage. eepage beneath
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir 7. Th be 8. Th 9. Th the	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped e following design doe negligible. e calculation does not e wall.	E: Omm thick and will supporting the built don Clay as confirm I/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. es not check agains d/shored to contrac es not include deflect include SLS checks allow for the effect	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga at in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all t ction checks as the for either cracking t of ground water s	emestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times. se are assumed to or shrinkage. eepage beneath
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir 7. Th be 8. Th 9. Th the	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped e following design doe negligible. e calculation does not e calculation does not e wall.	E: Omm thick and will supporting the build don Clay as confirm I/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. As not check agains d/shored to contract as not include deflect include SLS checks allow for the effect	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga eff. It in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all t ction checks as the for either cracking t of ground water se	mestic use. d on the substrata ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times. se are assumed to or shrinkage. eepage beneath
NOT 1. Th 2. Th be 3. So 4. Wa 5. Pa ex 6. Th pir 7. Th be 8. Th 9. Th the	e basement slab is 30 e basement walls are neath. il type is taken as Long ater - Allow for 9.81kN ssive pressures genera cavations for slab insta e following design doe ns will be fully propped e following design doe negligible. e calculation does not e wall.	E Omm thick and will supporting the build don Clay as confirm l/m ² at ground leve ated due soil preser allation. es not check agains d/shored to contrac es not include defle include SLS checks allow for the effect	be screeded for do ding over supported hed by site investiga at in front of base a t rotational side fail tor's designs at all t ction checks as the for either cracking t of ground water s	ations. ations. are ignored due to lure, it is assumed times. se are assumed to or shrinkage. eepage beneath





BCS Consulting		Job: Job No.: Made By:	1 Hampstea 17200 FC	ad Hill Gardens Revision: - Page po:
		Made by.	10	rage no.
CONDITION A				Wover
Length of conditon A - [Note: Forces calculated	$L_w \coloneqq 38 \ m$ act on 1.0m c	of wall]	GL	
Wall Details:			1	/ Ww
Wall Height	$H \coloneqq 3.5 \ m$		Ps /	
Soil Height -	Hs := H		/ Pe /	- Wh ž
Toe length -	$B_t := 1.7 m$			
Heel length -	$B_h \coloneqq 0.2 \ m$		kk	
Stom Thickonse	$\vec{n} = 0.2 m$			Bh J Bt J
Stem mickenss -	$S_{thk} = 0.5 $	L		\$
Base Thickness -	$B_{thk} := 0.45$	m	<i>fig.1</i> - <u>Retainir</u>	ng wall diagram
Base length (inc. heel) -	$B \coloneqq B_t + S_{th}$	$_{hk} + B_h = 2.2$	m	Note:
Load eccentriciy -	$e_L \coloneqq \frac{B}{2} - B_f$	$_{n}-\left(rac{oldsymbol{S}_{thk}}{2} ight) =% \left(rac{oldsymbol{S}_{thk}}{2$	0.75 m	from architectural plans and sections + 450mm
Stem Height -	$H_{stem} \! \coloneqq \! H \! - \!$	$B_{thk} = 3.05$	m	slab thickening.
Water Height	$H_{water} := H$ -	$-B_{thk} = 3.05$	5 m	
Vertical Loads:				
NOTE: All line loads are a	assumed to be	e acting centr	ally on wall ste	em.
Permanent line load on v	vall -	$G_k := 3$	$35 \ kN \cdot m^{-1}$	
Imposed line load on wa	I -	$Q_k \coloneqq 0$	$5 \ kN \cdot m^{-1}$	
Total line load on wall		$l_{Tot.}$:=	$G_k + Q_k = 41$	$kN \cdot m^{-1}$
Weight of retaining wall	stem -	W_w :=	$ ho_{conc} \cdot S_{thk} \cdot (h)$	$(H - B_{thk}) \cdot 1.0 \ m = 21.96 \ k$
Total wall load		N_{wall} :	$= \langle l_{Tot.} \cdot 1.0 \ m$	$w) + W_w = 62.96 \ kN$
Weight of retaining wall	base -	$W_b := b$	$ ho_{conc} ullet B ullet B_{thk}$	•1.0 <i>m</i> =23.76 <i>kN</i>
Weight of soil over heel		$W_s := b$	$ ho_{soil}ullet B_hulletig(H$ -	$(-B_{thk}) \cdot 1.0 \ m = 10.98 \ kN$

Winston House, 2 Dollis Park, N3 1HF. Tel: 020 8346 4321 Fax: 020 8346 1789 Email: Office@bcsconsult. Director: B.C. Smith, Compary Society of Constraints, Barret, Reported of Office Report, Novel Street, Barret, Ref. Kith Reported of Office Report, Novel Street, Mark Reported For 107 426 85

BCS Consulting	Job: Job No.: Made By:	1 Hampstead Hill 17200 FG	Gardens Revision: - Page no:
Foundation Design (A) continued:			
Horizontal forces:			
Active Pressure :		NOTE: Temporary ca	se consider drained only.
$P_a \coloneqq \rho_{soil} \cdot Hs \cdot k_m = 37.18 \ kN \cdot m^{-2}$			
$P_e := 0.5 \cdot P_a \cdot Hs \cdot 1.0 \ m = 65.06 \ kN$	J		
Surcharge magnitude -		$F_s \coloneqq 5.0 \ kN \cdot m^{-2}$	
$P_s \coloneqq F_s \cdot Hs \cdot k_m \cdot 1.0 \ m = 10.33 \ kN$	-		
CHECK OVERTURNING:		Factor of safety:	γ_{ot} := 2.0
$\begin{split} M_{ot} &\coloneqq \left(\boldsymbol{P}_{e} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \frac{Hs}{3} \right) + \left(\boldsymbol{P}_{s} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \frac{Hs}{2} \right) = 93.97 \\ M_{resist} &\coloneqq \left(\left(N_{wall} \right) \boldsymbol{\cdot} \left(\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{B}_{h} - \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{thk}}{2} \right) \right) \right) \\ \end{split}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{W} \\ \end{pmatrix} + \left(\mathbf{W}_b \cdot \frac{\mathbf{H}}{2} \right)$	$\left(\frac{B}{2} \right) + \left(W_s \cdot \left(B - \frac{B_h}{2} \right) \right)$	$= 165.67 \ kN \cdot m$
$\frac{\text{Check:}}{M_{ot}} > \gamma_{ot}$;	$Fos_{ovr} = 1.76$	RESULT = "FAII
CHECK SLIDING:		Factor of safety:	$\gamma_{slide}\!\coloneqq\!1.5$
Angle of base friction :		$arphi'\!=\!21$ °	[See deisgn data]
Base adhesion: <i>Soil_type</i> ="Clay	y"	$\therefore C_b = 20 \ kN \cdot r$	n^{-2} [See deisgn data]
$\therefore F_{resist} \coloneqq \left(N_{Tot} \cdot \tan(\varphi') \right) + \left(C \right)$	$(\boldsymbol{B}_{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{2}) = 13$	4.3 <i>kN</i>	
F_{slide} := P_e + P_s =75.39 kN			
$rac{ extsf{Check:}}{ extsf{F}_{slide}} {=} \gamma_{slide}$;	$Fos_{slide} = 1.78$	RESULT ="SO C
IN TEMPORARY CASE WALL TO BOTH OVERTURNING AND SLIE) <u>be proppei</u> Ding. In per	D at a 1/3 AND MID MANENT CASE CON	HEIGHT TO RESIST

Winston House, 2 Dollis Park, N3 1HF. Tei: 020 8346 4321 Fax: 020 8346 1789 Email: Office@bcsconsulting.co.uk Web: www.bcsconsulting.co.uk Director: B.C. Smith. Compary Statester, E. Smith, Registered Officer Register on 3284299 Winston H. R. Statester, C. S. Statester, Stat

BCS Consulting	Job: 1 Hampstea Job No.: 17200 Made By: FG	d Hill Gardens Revision: - Page no:
Foundation Design (A) contin	nued:	
CHECK BEARING PRESSURE:		
$GBP_{Allowable} = 100 \ kN \cdot m^{-2}$		$Soil_type$ = "Clay"
$M_{resist} \! \coloneqq \! \left(\left(\! N_{wall} \! \right) \! \cdot \! \left(\! \frac{B}{2} \! - \! \left(\! B_h \! \right. \! \right. \! \right. \! \right)$	$+\frac{S_{thk}}{2} ight) ight)+\left(W_s\cdot\left(\frac{B}{2}-\frac{B_h}{2}\right) ight)=58$	3.2 <i>k</i> N• <i>m</i>
$M_{ot} = 93.97 \ kN \cdot m$		
$M_{net} \coloneqq M_{ot} - M_{resist} = 35.77$	$kN \cdot m$	
Resultant eccentricity, <i>e</i> :	$e \coloneqq \left \frac{M_{net}}{N_{Tot.}} \right = 366.2 \ mm$	
<u>Check within middle 3rd:</u>	$e < \frac{B}{6}$; $\frac{B}{6} = 366.67 mm$	RESULT = "SO OK
Max/ Min pressures: Pressure @ heel:	$q_{heel} \coloneqq \frac{N_{Tot.}}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e}{2}\right) = 0$	$0.06 \ kN \cdot m^{-2}$
	$B \cdot 1 m (B)$	
Pressure @ toe:	$q_{toe} \coloneqq \frac{N_{Tot.}}{B \cdot 1 \ m} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e}{B}\right) = 8$	$8.76 \ kN \cdot m^{-2}$
Check:	$GBP_{Max} < GBP_{Allowable}$	RESULT = "SO OK
DESIGN REINFORCING FOR P	ERMANENT, UNDRAINED CONDITIO	<u>ON:</u>
Single pin width : $b := 1$	000 mm GL & W	L
<u>Active Pressure :</u> (Permanent case case undr	ained)	
$P_a \coloneqq \rho_{soil} \cdot H_{stem} \cdot k_m \cdot 1.0 \ m$		
$P_a = 32.4 \ kN \cdot m^{-1}$	Ps Ps	stam.
Horizontal forces:	Pe& Pw	
$P_e \coloneqq 0.5 \cdot P_a \cdot H_{stem} = 49.4 \ k_a$	v/	
$P_s \coloneqq F_s \cdot H_{stem} \cdot k_m \cdot 1.0 \ m =$	9 <i>kN</i>	-
$\boldsymbol{P}_{w} \coloneqq \boldsymbol{\rho}_{water} \cdot \frac{\left(1.0 \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{water}\right)^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{\left(1.0 \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{water}\right)^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} $	$1.0 \ m = 45.63 \ kN$ fig.2 - R	etaining wall diagram.
Design moment at base of v	vall, M [Water tabl	e taken at full height of wa
$M \coloneqq 1.5 \cdot \left(\left(P_e \cdot \frac{H_{stem}}{3} \right) + \left(P_s \right) \right)$	$\cdot \frac{H_{stem}}{2} + \left(P_w \cdot \frac{H_{stem}}{3} \right) = 165.5$	$1 \ kN \cdot m$
Winston Hi	use, 2 Dollis Park, N3 1HF.	

This Son Foulse, 2 Dollis Fark, NJ THF. Tel: 020 8364 8321 Fax: 020 8346 1759 F Email: Office@bcsconsuling.co.uk Web: www.bcsconsuling.co.uk Director: 8.C Smith. Compare Sconsuling.co.uk Director: 8.C Smith. Compare Sconsuling.co.uk Ministrian Compare Sconsuling.co.uk

BCS Consulting	Job: 1 Hai Job No.: 1720 Made By: FG	mpstead Hil)	l Gardens Revisio Page	on: - no:
Foundation Design (A) continued	<u>1:</u>			
Effective depth, d				
$d \coloneqq S_{thk} - C_{cov} - \frac{\phi}{2} = 240 \ mm$				
Ultimate moment of resistance, N	Mu			
$M_u := 0.156 \cdot f_{cu} \cdot b \cdot d^2 = 314.5 \ k$	$N \cdot m$			
Check:	$M_u > M$		RESULT	="SO C
∴ <u>so no c</u>	OMPRESSION REINFO	RCEMENT NE	EDED.	
DESIGN WALL AS SINGLY REINFOR	RCED BEAM:			
$k \coloneqq \frac{M}{f_{cu} \cdot b \cdot d^2} = 0.08 \qquad ;$	$\boldsymbol{\mu_z} \coloneqq 0.5 + \left(\sqrt{0.25} \right)$	$-\frac{k}{0.9}\Big)$		
<u>Check:</u> $\mu_z < 0.95$	RESULT = "PAS	S" .:	$\mu_z = 0.9$	
Lever arm, z :	$z := \mu_z \cdot d$		z =215.63	mm
So area of steel required is :				
$As_{req} := \frac{M}{0.95 \cdot f_y \cdot (0.95 \cdot d)} = 152$	$28.27 mm^2$	[in p	er meter i.e.	. mm²/n
Reinforcing bar dia. specified :	$\phi_{vertF} \coloneqq 20 \ mm$	<u>Spacing :</u>	$b_{sv} \coloneqq 200$ r	nm
$As_{actual} = 1570.8 \ mm^2$				[mm²/
Check:	$As_{actual} > As_{req}$		RESULT	="SO C
So use - H20 bars @ 20	<u>10 centres (1570 mr</u>	<u>n²/m) in FF [</u>	Far Face]	
Provide reinforcement to NF a [Definitions below taken from EC	n <mark>s well, steel area re</mark> C2 9.6.2(1) & 9.6.3(1)]	equired is m	inimum ste	el area
$As_{vmin} \coloneqq 0.002 \cdot b \cdot S_{thk} = 600 mm$	m^2 (half in each	n face so divi	de by 2 to g	et NF re
As_{NFmin} := $As_{vmin} \cdot 0.5$ = 300 mm	$h^2 \qquad \phi_{vertN} \coloneqq 12$	$mm b_{sv} =$	200 <i>mm</i>	[mm²/
Ohaali	As , w>Asur		BESULT	- "SO C

Winston House, 2 Dollis Park, N3 1HF. Tel: 020 8346 4321 Fax: 020 8346 1789 Email: Office@bcsconsulting.co.uk Web: www.bcsconsulting.co.uk Director: 8.C. Smith. Compary Secretary 1. Smith, Registrated Offices Registrates, 33 Web Street. Bereter, 195 64 Registrates in Secretary 7.2 266 35







Job:	1 Hampstead Hill Gardens			
Job No.:	17200	Revision:	-	
Made By:	FG	Page no:		

	Condition A	- Detailing summ	ary	
Wall dimension summ	nary	*	-	1
Wall Height	- $H = 3500 m$	m		Stink
Stem Thickenss	$-S_{thk} = 300 m$	ım		NE
Base length (not inc. heel) - $B_l = 2000 \ m$	m I		FF
Heel length	- $B_h = 200 \ mr$	n		<u>ل</u> ب
Base Thickness	- B _{thk} =450 n	าฑ		
		Bh		
Reinforcement summ	ary			
Far face reinforceing ba	ar diameter :	$\phi_{vertF} {=} 20 mm$	at b_{sv} =	=200 mm c/c
<u>Near face reinforceing</u>	<u>bar diameter :</u>	$\phi_{vertN} = 12 mm$	at b_{sv} =	=200 <i>mm</i> c/c
Horizontal distribution b	<u>ar diameter :</u>	$\phi_{horiz} = 10 mm$	at b_{sh} :	=200 <i>mm</i> c/c
Concrete cover sumr	nary			
Internal bar cover:	<i>C_{int.}</i> :=35 <i>mm</i>	(ext		(int.
<u>External bar cover :</u>	$C_{ext.} \coloneqq 50~mm$,, ,
<u>Underpin base cover :</u>	$C_{base} = 70 mm$			~
				<u> </u>
				Chase
	END OF I	DETAIL SUMMARY		

Email: Office@bcsconsulting.co.uk Web: www.bcsconsulting.co.uk
Director: B.C. Smith, Company Secretary: E. Smith, Repistered Offices: Regency House, 33 Wood Street,
Barreet, Netts, USA 54 K Registered in Expland no. 3284259
Warganization No. 177 426 33