## Sexton, Gavin

From:	Mark Furlonger <mark.furlonger@templegroup.co.uk></mark.furlonger@templegroup.co.uk>
Sent:	17 May 2018 14:59
To:	Sexton, Gavin
Subject:	RE: MHA Aods (2018/1789/P) Condition 26 response
Categories:	Deal with THIS

Gavin

We have now received a response to your question on condition 26 below. For ease, I have provided the information in red, below. I hope that is sufficient to answer the question.

Regards

## Mark Furlonger Senior Technical Director



Registered in England, Company number: 3305849, VAT number: 683313828.

\*The content of this e-mail (and any attachment) is confidential. It may also be legally privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure.\* This e mail should not used by anyone who is not the intended recipient nor may it be copied or disclosed to anyone who is not the intended recipient without prior agreement of the you have received this e mail by mistake please notify us by e-mailing the sender and then delete the e-mail and any copies from your system.\* This e mail mean checked by Trend Micro Antivirus for the presence of computer viruses.

From: Sexton, Gavin <gavin.sexton@camden.gov.uk>
Sent: 18 April 2018 15:07
To: Mark Furlonger <mark.furlonger@templegroup.co.uk>
Subject: RE: MHA Aods (2018/1789/P)

Hi Mark

SE1 3UD

Thanks for the notification – I have registered the application and have included the WSI in the public documents.

I await GLAAS consultation feedback on the WSI but on the other two I have a couple of comments/queries:

## **Condition 24**

 I haven't included condition 24 in the proposal description because there isn't a condition to formally discharge and in any event you haven't provided evidence.

## **Condition 26**

- The condition wording is: inspect, approve and monitor the critical elements of both permanent and temporary basement construction works throughout their duration to ensure compliance with the design which has been checked and approved by a building control body
- Your cover letter is clear about the general process of later appointment of the contractor. The letter goes on to discuss that the contractor may require "changes in the methodology and/or design assumptions" - but I am not clear how this relates to AECOM's *responsibilities* and how they will overlap with the contractors.
- Can you please clarify what the respective responsibilities will be for each of Aecom and the Contractor in respect of each of those 4 areas (inspect, approve, monitor, ensure compliance) for both the temporary and permanent works? It is important that we understand which party has final signoff on each role.

AECOM is responsible for the design of the permanent structural works. In the context of Condition 26, this includes the new basement construction.

AECOM are currently discussing with the client the provision of a Resident Engineer during the groundworks stage of the construction phase. On complex city centre sites such as this, it is not uncommon to use the services of a visiting Engineer.

During this period, AECOM will make periodic inspections of the works, either as a site visitor or in a Resident Engineering role to ensure that compliance and quality issues are monitored regularly.

The main contractor will be equally responsible for the four areas you note- inspect, approve, monitor and compliance- in regards to their groundworks sequencing, methodology and the design and installation of temporary works. The responsibilities of the contractor will be clearly set out in the Employer's contract documents.

Thanks

Gavin Principal Planner

Telephone: 020 7974 3231

