Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response: Printed on: 14/05/2018	09:10:04
2018/1980/T	Polly Shields and Justin Russell	13/05/2018 21:27:17	OBJ	I can see this tree from my flat. It's lovely. One of the nice things about living here is that it is so leafy and green behind the flat. In the 16 years I've lived here, two mature trees have had to be removed from adjacent gardens because they were diseased. Therefore, the tree in question in this application is the only remaining large tree in these back gardens. Its loss will affect the outlook from all the surrounding flats, and cause the loss of a significant habitat for bird life and other wildlife. Since there is nothing wrong with the tree, nor is it causing major structural problems, I think it should be retained. The significant problem with air quality in central London will not be improved by the needless removal of healthy trees. Please do not consent to this tree's removal.	
2018/1980/T	Laura Gregory	11/05/2018 16:46:46	PETITNOBJ E	I, Laura Gregory, a resident on Rugby Street, object to the proposal to cut down the sycamore tree at 16 Rugby street.	
2018/1980/T	Viola Fort	12/05/2018 19:40:54	OBJ	The removal of this tree will cause further loss of privacy from neighbours (after the much lamented, unexpected recent removal of the mature fig next door). At present it provides screening and privacy from surrounding flats and houses. It also provides a much needed habitat for birds and other wildlife, needed more than ever now that the other trees have been removed. I object to this application.	
2018/1980/T	Sarah Tyrrell	11/05/2018 16:26:26	АРР	I appeal for the tree to not be removed and just trimmed. It is a vital part of the neighborhoods green space and is enjoyed by bird life and general wildlife thrives in this very urban part of London. I have lived in the flat overlooking this beautiful tree and as a family who enjoys it immensely it will be hugely missed. Please do not remove this if possible. Many Thanks!	
2018/1980/T	Michael Heath	11/05/2018 17:12:12	OBJ	I implore you not to remove this beautiful tree that we all enjoy from the back gardens and rear windows of our homes.	
2018/1980/T	David Saunders	13/05/2018 22:15:39	OBJ	I live at 12 rugby st london, this tree has been part of my life for 14 years. This is one of the only ways i get to see and enjoy nature. Many birds and squirrels live in this tree and they bring me great joy. I will be truly heartbroken if this tree is cut down as it is one of the only pleasures i have. Not to mention that it is a vital home for the nature that thrives in the gardens. Please Please stop this from happening. Your faithfully David Saunders	
2018/1980/T	Michael Pountney	11/05/2018 11:10:00	COMMEMA IL	The Rugby & Harpur Residents Association's view is that the loss of any trees in our conservation area is to be deplored. In this case, the reason given for removing the tree is 'excessive damage to a listed wall' – we find it difficult to believe that felling the tree is the only way of preventing further damage to the wall. We therefore oppose this application.	
2018/1980/T	Laura Gregory	11/05/2018 16:51:31	PETITNOBJ E	I, Laura Gregory, a resident on 12 Rugby Street, object to the proposal of the removal of the sycamore tree at 16 Rugby Street. That is the home of many species of birds (woodpeckers, magpies, and other species of birds) and squirrels.	
2018/1980/T	Laura Gregory	11/05/2018 16:51:41	PETITNOBJ E	I, Laura Gregory, a resident on 12 Rugby Street, object to the proposal of the removal of the sycamore tree at 16 Rugby Street. That is the home of many species of birds (woodpeckers, magpies, and other species of birds) and squirrels.	

Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:		09:10:04		
Laura Gregory	11/05/2018 16:46:05		I, Laura Gregory, a resident on Rugby Street, object to the proposal to cut down the sycamore tree at 16 Rugby street.			
Rachel Escott	13/05/2018 22:52:26	OBJBOBXI	I object to the proposed removal of this sycamore tree. It is a flourishing and healthy tree, and provides much of the access to nature for people living in the upper floor flats in this part of Lambs Conduit Street and around. The tree cover has already been vastly reduced by the removal of 2 fig trees and the wildlife they attract will have few other places to go. The tall trees attract many birds including song birds and even a pair of woodpeckers which bring great joy. The eco system of the vegetation in the gardens in the past has attracted enough insects to even attract bats that fee there in the evenings - this will be put at risk if the the vegetation is cut back yet more. None of this would be provided by the proposed replacement tree for decades (if at all) given the age, height and leaf cover of the existing tree.			
			In regards to the reason given for the removal - 'excessive impact on listed wall' - this wall has in fact already been removed and the other walls around are being rebuilt using other (or possibly re-used) brick, so it's listed status has already been impaired. In addition, the environment of these 'listed' walls has already been allowed to be compromised also by building of extensions in to the back gardens for commercial reasons, leaving little space around the trees.			
			On the level of residential amenity for residents of the flats, the trees provide considerable privacy from being overlooked by other flats across the area and providing shade in the summer.			
			I feel sure that a solution could be found that retains the tree while re-building the wall on either side of it in a way that would honour the concept of the old walls while retaining a natural asset.			
Gabby Deeming	12/05/2018 11:56:54	COMMNT	I would like to oppose the removal of the large Sycamore from behind 16 Rugby St. Why was it changed from 20% removal to full removal? In this case I'm much more interested in preserving a large living tree, teeming with bird life (inc beautiful woodpeckers) than the brick wall which can be easily restored.			
-	Rachel Escott	Laura Gregory 11/05/2018 16:46:05 Rachel Escott 13/05/2018 22:52:26	Laura Gregory 11/05/2018 16:46:05 OBJEMAIL Rachel Escott 13/05/2018 22:52:26 OBJBOBXI	Laura Gregory 11/05/2018 16:46:05 OBJEMAIL I, Laura Gregory, a resident on Rugby Street, object to the proposal to cut down the sycamore tree at 16 Rachel Escott 13/05/2018 22:52:26 OBJBOBXI I object to the proposed removal of this sycamore tree. It is a flourishing and healthy tree, and provides much of the access to nature for people living in the upper floor flats in this part of Lambs Conduit Street and around. The tree cover has already been vastly reduced by the removal of 2 fig trees and the wildlife they attract will have few other places to go. The tail trees attract many birds including song birds and even a pair of woodpeckers which bring great joy. The eco system of the vegetation in the gardens in the past has a tracaded enough insects to even attract bats that fee there in the evenings - this will be put at risk if the the vegetation is cut back yet more. None of this would be provided by the proposed replacement tree for decades (if at all) given the age, height and leaf cover of the existing tree. In regards to the reason given for the removal - 'excessive impact on listed wall' - this wall has in fact already been removed and the other walls around are being rebuilt using other (or possibly re-used) birk, so it's listed status has already been inspired. In addition, the environment of these 'listed' walls has already been allowed to be compromised also by building of extensions in to the back gardens for commercial reasons, leaving little space around the trees. Gabby Deeming 12/05/2018 11:56:54 COMMNT I would like to oppose the removal of the large Sycamore from behind 16 Rugby St. Why was it changed from 20% removal to full removal? In this case I'm much more interesetion ing alarge living tree, teeming		

Total: 16