Application No:Consultres NameConsultres Addr:Received:CommentResponse:2018/1254/PLinus ReesFitzrovia Association 39 Tottenham 30 Tottenham 30 Tottenham26/04/2018 13:25:16OBJOur association strongly objects to a change of use from permanent self-contential accommodation to use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation to use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation of use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation of use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation of use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation of use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation of use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation and against policy as stated in Camden's Local Plan, the London Plan, and the National Planning Policy Framework.Verify						Printed on: 2//04/2018	09
Neighbourhood Associationaccommodation to use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation and against policy as stated in Camden's Local Plan, the London Plan, and the National Planning Policy Framework.Street London W1T 4RXThe applicant states flats 1, 2, and 3 have been in use as an apart-hotel continuously for more than 10 years. We however find that this is not correct.The three flats are currently listed for council tax on the VOA register at Council Tax Band D. There is no record of the flats listed with a business rates valuation.Furthermore, a check of the current electoral register reveals that two people are registered to vole at the first floor flat.The applicant relies on statements by people making assertions about the use of the apartments, yet provides no hard documentary evidence from reputable sources to support their application.We find it probable that the flats have been used from time to time for short stays – as is quite common in central London – but we find no evidence to suggest they have been used for short-stays for a continuous period of 10 years or more.The applicant tas failed to meet the test of "the balance of probability" to support a certificate of lawfulness.	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
			Fitzrovia Neighbourhood Association 39 Tottenham Street London			Response: Our association strongly objects to a change of use from permanent self-contained accommodation to use as an apart-hotel because it would mean a loss of residential accommodation and against policy as stated in Camden's Local Plan, the London Plan, and the National Planning Policy Framework. The applicant states flats 1, 2, and 3 have been in use as an apart-hotel continuously for more than 10 years. We however find that this is not correct. The three flats are currently listed for council tax on the VOA register at Council Tax Band D. There is no record of the flats listed with a business rates valuation. Furthermore, a check of the current electoral register reveals that two people are registered to vote at the first floor flat. The applicant relies on statements by people making assertions about the use of the apartments, yet provides no hard documentary evidence from reputable sources to support their application. We find it probable that the flats have been used from time to time for short stays as is quite common in central London but we find no evidence to suggest they have been used for short-stays for a continuous period of 10 years or more. The applicant has failed to meet the test of "the balance of probability" to support a	
For the reasons stated above we recommend that the application be refused.						The government record states the flats are in residential use.	
						For the reasons stated above we recommend that the application be refused.	