

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/0620/P	Mr Maz Iqbal	FIRST FLOOR FLAT 295 EUSTON ROAD NW1 3AD	10/04/2018 22:25:46	OBJ	<p>As a local resident in a flat at 295 Euston Road I strongly object to this application. BT refuse to remove a problem anti-social hotspot telephone box outside 295 to 305 Euston Road despite pressure from Camden Council (Gordon Hamilton, Streetworks Coordinator-Streetworks Authorisation and Compliance Team) and Councillor Adam Harrison. Keir Starmer MP's office stated they will get involved if the Council cannot convince BT remove the hotspot anti-social telephone box. BT should not be allowed to install a InLink unit based on a dishonest and fraudulent application unless they remove the problematic telephone box outside 295 to 305 Euston Road which is literally a 10 second walk to the proposed site for the InLink.</p> <p>Section 25 of the Application Form is dishonest as it states, "Is an existing advertisement(s) to be removed and replaced by the advertisement(s) in this proposal?" The Applicant says "Yes" and state "Existing payphones including all advertisements to be removed". This is dishonest as a problem telephone box with advertising at 295-305 Euston Road is not being removed but two telephone boxes that are not in the direct vicinity and therefore advertising at two completely irrelevant sites which are at least 10 minute's walk away and have nothing to do with the proposed site. Accordingly, the declaration is fraudulent when it states "I/we confirm that, to the best of my/our knowledge, any facts stated are true and accurate and any opinions given are the genuine opinions of the person(s) giving them." The applicant will be clearly aware that the telephone boxes they note for removal are simply not relevant to this location and will be aware of the anti-social hotspot telephone box at 295-305 Euston Road.</p> <p>In the "Planning, Design, and Access Statement" document submitted, on page 1, the applicant notes the removal of two phones boxes which are simply nowhere near to the proposed site. The telephone box that needs to be removed is the one at the location at 295-305 Euston Road which is 10 seconds walk and on the same street so is the relevant telephone box to remove.</p> <p>In the "Planning, Design, and Access Statement" document submitted, on page 6 the applicant states "A range of factors are considered when choosing the site for each InLink, including Council and community feedback". This is dishonest as the Council have been putting pressure on BT to replace the telephone kiosk at 295-305 Euston Road for 2 years due to resident concerns about drug use and anti-social behaviour, yet BT have to date continued to be evasive and rather unprofessional and childishly refuse to remove the box. They are as evasive as possible as simply do not care about resident concerns and are more committed to their revenue from advertising alone.</p> <p>In the "Planning, Design, and Access Statement" document submitted, on page 8 the applicant states, "Our teams work closely with local authorities and other relevant local stakeholders to identify suitable sites for InLinks and to select which payphones are to be removed". Again, dishonest as Gordon Hamilton of Camden Council has tried tirelessly to get the telephone box outside 295-305 Euston Road removed and a new InLink to be put in place if need be and BT have not worked closely with Gordon Hamilton about feedback and about removal of problematic telephone kiosks.</p>

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

In the "Planning, Design, and Access Statement" document submitted, on page 9 the applicant states we "will help to deliver a comprehensive network of connectivity within the borough whilst decluttering the street scene and removing 'hotspots' of anti-social behaviour". Again, dishonest as Gordon Hamilton has highlighted the hotspot anti-social telephone kiosk at 295-305 Euston Road and he is repeatedly passed around between Open Reach and BT as BT clearly feel they can bully the council and refuse to remove a known hotspot of anti-social behaviour at 295-305 Euston Road which is used for urinating, pooing, graffiti, alcoholics and drug users on a daily basis.
