

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/0117/P	J L Chiazzari and P J Welch	Flat 1 and Flat 4 Chandos Court 61 Haverstock Hill London NW34SN	15/03/2018 10:03:09	INT	<p>We are leaseholders of two of the six properties within Chandos Court. 61 Haverstock Hill, NW34SN. and have been for over 15 years. Clearly we are interested parties and directly impacted by the proposed development. We have reviewed the relevant planning application in detail and are very pleased to support it. Not only do we feel that it will improve the aspect of a relatively unattractive block, but it will also blend in much better with the surrounding buildings and enhance the appearance of the T junction of Eton Rd and Haverstock Hill - which is quite a major route.</p> <p>Naturally there is likely to be some disruption caused by the works - however, we believe that the local environment (not to mention the housing stock) would benefit from its approval. Many thanks Chiazzari and Welch, flats 1 and 4</p>
2018/0117/P	Philip Welch and Jayne Chiazzari	Flat 4 and Flat 1 Chandos Court 61 Haverstock Hill London NW3 4SN	13/03/2018 15:04:54	COMMNT	<p>We support the application for the additional two units on the roof space of Chandos Court as we believe that it will enhance the appearance of the building as a whole and complete the block when viewed from the surrounding roads. Our support for this application is conditional upon the Heads of Terms agreed between Matthew Segal and ourselves for the removal of Flat 4's rooflight are met in full.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/0117/P	Eton CAAC	% Eton Villas London NW£ 4SX	15/03/2018 15:52:49	OBJ	Eton Conservation Area Advisory Committee

Advice from Eton Conservation Area Advisory Committee: 15.03.2018

Re: Chandos Court, 2018/0117/P

We recognise that the addition of two floors to Chandos Court makes sense in relation to No.63, and to its being a corner site.

But the use of pale bricks to make the proposal blend with No.63 works at the front only so long as the colour of No.63 stays the same colour. To base an important aspect of this design on the adjoining property's present colour of stucco seems such a flimsy idea as to make it unacceptable. If No.63 changed colour, the proposed pale bricks could stand out in a wholly inappropriate way. And even if No.63 were to stay the colour it is at present for ever, the idea of pale bricks works only at the front - at the back it does the opposite.

We have no objection to the black zinc, as such. But the use of it over two floors is not fully shown. The topmost floor is only ever shown faintly in outline, never as the black clad presence it would be. Without being properly shown, the full effect of the material on two floors cannot be accurately assessed.

In its present condition, this application should very definitely not be given approval.

Yours sincerely,

Eton CAAC
