

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/0935/P	Garrad Wayling	Flat 18 Aria House 5-15 Newton Street WC2B 5EN	13/03/2018 14:29:02	OBJ	<p>I object to the proposal on the basis of the loss of sunlight and the increased noise as a result of the alteration to the hotel.</p> <p>The hotel currently generates a lot of street noise due to deliveries onto Newton Street. There is no reasonable way in which these deliveries will not increase as a result of the development. The current deliveries already spill out onto the street and cause a potential danger to children who enter and leave the nearby school St Josephs. Cars and trucks delivering to the loading dock are routinely lined up in Newton Street where they sometimes mount the kerb or park across the bicycle lane, reducing the capacity of the street. This routinely occurs from 7:30am weekdays and the daily restriction on deliveries is not honoured. This is never enforced by the Council. I have no reason to believe that future additional delivery problems will be enforced by the Council either, therefore I would urge that it be stopped before it becomes a problem. Such a development should only be considered once the Hoxton Hotel has managed its deliveries in a way which respects its local neighbours.</p> <p>Noise will also be generated by the equipment on the roof. The report already identified the noise which was generated from the Hotel on Friday and Saturday nights, as well as noise from deliveries and emptying of bottles. The additional noise from the plant equipment will make it unbearable for residents living close by.</p> <p>Furthermore the daylight report highlights a significant loss of light to nearby residents. My own flat will lose almost 20% of sunlight in summer as a result of the additional floor. I cannot reinforce how important it is to the wellbeing of residents that they can enjoy natural sunlight. Permitting this development will seriously affect residents who were living around this site long before it ever became a hotel. Through no fault of their own the Hotel is expecting the residents to enjoy a reduced quality of life. This is unacceptable.</p> <p>I implore you to please recognise the impact of this development on local residents and do not approve it. The existing hotel has a sufficient number of rooms to operate commercially and allowing such overdevelopment of a site which sits adjacent to multiple residents will cause additional unnecessary distress to ratepayers of Camden Council.</p>
2018/0935/P	Meredith Whitten on behalf of the Covent Garden Community Association	Covent Garden Community Association 42 Earlham Street WC2H 9LA	03/04/2018 04:48:54	NOBJ	No objection

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/0942/P	Peter Silverton	12 King Henry's Rd	26/03/2018 10:06:47	COMMNT	<p>main point I do not support this application.</p> <p>While I do support the idea of a memorial/museum, I don't think this is the right approach in a residential area without much visitor parking and on an awkward junction</p> <p>The best model would be the one used for the Beatles houses in Liverpool where visitors assemble on another site and come in small groups to the main house.</p> <p>objections etc</p> <p>There are errors on the application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Work did not start on 01/11/17. It was far earlier than that. I have documentary evidence of that, photos from the day they pulled the hedge down (see below) 2. There was a hedge on site and there are still trees in the back garden. 3. It does involve a change in non-residential floor space - the back extension which has been built as a conservatory. 4. There are no hours of opening proposed - how can the application be judged without that? 5. The photographs purporting to show the state of the building are unrepresentative. The ground floor was pretty much as it was when built, preserved by poverty. These original features were removed by the museum team. 6. The works done, while done by good workmen, were shoddily thought out. For example, there are four different kinds of tiles and slabs where the front steps interact with the road. 7. No consultation was done with residents. <p>full disclosure</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. i live next door and am clearly inconvenienced etc 2. i knew the previous tenants - who had lived there for many many years and had an intimate connection with the area since before WW2 - Lou and Gwen were good friends - she was a Welsh nurse and he was a Sri Lankan (or as he preferred) aircraft engineer - both were very proud of their blue plaque but I do not think this development would have agreed with them. 3. The works, particularly the inappropriate sand-blasting made a lot of mess for us. We've had to have the windows cleaned again and again, for example. 4. I made repeated attempts to contact someone with responsibility for the works. The guardian of the property always said he would give me a name but never would. The Indian Embassy does not seem to answer its phone.

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**
