

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/6978/P	Paul Crosfield	40 Randolph Street London NW1 0SR	20/03/2018 18:37:21	OBJ	<p>As a resident of the Camden Broadway Conservation Area, I object to this planning application based on the guidance and rules clearly set out in the Camden Broadway Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy of 2009 (<a href="http://www.camden.gov.uk/ccm/cms-service/download/asset?asset_id=2658849">www.camden.gov.uk/ccm/cms-service/download/asset?asset_id=2658849</a>).</p> <p>There are three main areas where the proposed designs fall short:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The elevation onto Royal College Street is essentially a flat façade of “monolithic” concrete, which clearly is not in keeping with the uniformity of the row of original buildings and will harm the reading of the history of these historic buildings.</li> <li>2) The materials and colours are not at all in keeping with the area in any way. There is no pink concrete to be found in the Conservation Area.</li> <li>3) The scale of the development is completely out of proportion with the area. The elevations above the parapet of the adjacent buildings destroys the uniformity of the terraced row, and atop that is a further storey-height chimney. Together these are far too large.</li> </ol> <p>The applicant has used the example of Bruges Place as the main context for the design, a development which is very much at odds with the rest of Camden Broadway Conservation Area, and therefore should immediately be discounted as a basis for new development.</p> <p>I am very supportive of a new building on the site, and would not be averse to a modern statement. This proposal is not the right one, being unsympathetic to the history of the site in design, scale and materials. I therefore oppose this planning application.</p>
2017/6978/P	Geoff Stevens	3 Wilmot Place London NW19JS	09/03/2018 14:13:39	OBJ	<p>I hope the planning committee will reject this application on two grounds</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) the proposed elevations are an insult to the existing 19th century brick terraces</li> <li>2) the excavation of a basement which undermines surrounding properties and provides unsatisfactory residential accommodation with out daylight and liable to damp ingress (it will be below the water table in this location)</li> </ol> <p>The developer should be asked to revise the scheme and offer elevatiuons to Royal College Street matching the existing terrace and to the side with decorative brickwork sympathetic to Bruges Place</p>