

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2018/1611/P	R J Dowsett	6 Gayton Crescent London NW3 1TT	09/04/2018 15:16:52	OBJEMAI L	<p>Dear Sir or Madam, Application No. 2018/1611/P</p> <p>I object strenuously to the grotesque construction which the applicant described as having a "coppered hammered 'distressed steam punk' effect". It is ghastly and looks as if the owner has failed to complete the painting of the fence. It most certainly is both "out of place or visually out of place in the locality" despite the applicant pleading the opposite. I have lived in my house (6 Gayton Crescent, NW3 1TT) for well over 20 years and am familiar with all the streets surrounding Gayton Road. There is nothing which compares with this monstrosity.</p> <p>My detailed points are:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> At 1.3 it is stated as follows:- "The green fence was constructed and this implemented through the installation of a small brick wall and shrubbery clad timber fence sited on top of the brickwork, however the condition was not discharged." I walk past this property at least every day on my way to the tube station and the village. I believe that the shrubbery was there only for a very short period. From the photograph submitted with the application, the shrubbery fence looks a sufficient barrier. At 1.4 it is stated as follows:- "After living in the property the current owners were forced to install a more secure structure and replaced the timber fence and shrubbery clad panel with a copper hammered steel fence and entrance door". Clearly "forced to" is a self-serving comment. It is also incorrect. There are plenty of bushes which after a year or two provide a sufficient barrier. They are many many thousands of miles of such hedgerows in England. At 1.5 it is stated as follows:- "The installation of a more secure boundary was required to combat continual littering of the hedge and regular interruption of the quiet enjoyment of the property by passers-by stopping and staring into the lightwell and through the window of bedroom 1." As stated immediately above the words "forced to" or in this case "was required" is a self-serving comment and patently incorrect. In addition, as also noted previously there are plenty of bushes which after a year or two provide a sufficient barrier even for a bedroom. So far as the "continual littering" is concerned, this does not thankfully occur in this area as I can testify myself. I have a front garden open to the streets and the only problem I have occasionally is from dog-fouling, a problem impossible to conceive of when there is a low wall in place. I do not remember seeing any litter in the front of any houses in Gayton Road. At 2.3 it is stated as follows:- "The design approach has built upon the previous design and attempts to blend contemporary design within a conservation area." The design is no way imaginable "blend[s]" in with the surrounding Conservation Area.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>5. At 2.4 it is stated as follows:- "The use of a coppered hammered steel fence was selected for a number of reasons that included the unique design nature of the material but also through the similarity of a greenish/brownish copper finish to the previous green screen that was replaced." There is none of the claimed "similarity" as can be easily observed from the photographs submitted.</p> <p>This Application should be rejected and the original condition re the fence be enforced.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, RJ Dowsett</p> <p>6 Gayton Crescent London NW3 1TT</p>

Total: 84