



Dear Camden Planning

This Impact Assessment appears not to live up to its own expectations because on the one hand it says: "This Impact Assessment has been produced to quantify any damage to the superstructure due to potential differential settlement of individual piles caused by the tunnelling ground movement (i.e. the effects of pile downdrag)" (1.0), while on the other hand it acknowledges that "the report did not consider the effects of pile downdrag, .. **AECOM acknowledged that greater settlement would occur as a result of this downdrag**, and they recommended that the effects of pile downdrag be considered in the next stage of assessment. (1.0)

With out this assessment condition 17 appears to be incomplete as it is necessary to:

- *Accommodate ground movement and associated effects arising from the construction thereof*

Condition 17 is also incomplete as no location of the proposed tunnels have been given:

- *Accommodate the proposed location of the HS2 structures and tunnels*

Condition 17 has also not been met because HS2 has not provided site specific noise and vibration data. Which means it is not possible for EL to:

- *Mitigate the effects of noise and vibration arising from the operation of the HS2 railway within the tunnels, ventilation shaft and associated below & above ground structures*

This Report and HS2's agreement to it appears to be so casual and inadequate that one wonders why HS2 requested the condition in the first place. It certainly does nothing to convince me that there will be no adverse impact either to the building or to HS2.

My own insecurities aside, it would vey much appear to be the case that condition 17 has not been adhered to, which means it cannot be discharged.

Regards

Elaine Cambers Chair Winchester rd residents assoc (WRRRA)  
Reply Reply All Forward